

## Leveraging geospatial science for tracking the SDGs through measurement and evaluation of GEF interventions

Anupam Anand Evaluation Officer

Anna Viggh Senior Evaluation Officer

Geeta Batra
Deputy Director and Chief Evaluation Officer



**United Nations** Framework Convention on Climate Change













## The Global Environment Facility



#### Thematic Area Specific tracking tools and indicators











GHG Emissions Avoided

Number of beneficiaries

Management Effectiveness(METT)

PA coverage

Reduced nutrient load

Marine protected areas (ha)

Environmental management

Chemical Use

Area restored

Area under SLM



#### The GEF and the SDGs



Credit: Stockholm Environment Institute

Q: How many SDG Goals, Targets and indicators are there ?

# A: SDGs-17 goals, 169 targets and 232 indicators

Huge Data Needs

## SDGs and Earth Observation(EO)



Data from satellite imagery and sensor networks make environment and development indicators increasingly measurable

## Geospatial Information and SDGs

EARTH	<b>Sustainable Development Goals</b> EARTH OBSERVATIONS Earth Observations in Service of the Agenda 2030									THE GI	THE GLOBAL GOALS			
	Target								Goal	Indicator				
	Contrik	bute to pro	gress on th	he Target y	et not the l	Indicator p	er se	1.0	Direct measure or indirect support				rt I	
							1.4	1.5	A:**:*	1.4.2				
						2.3	2.4	2.c		2.4.1				
					3.3	3.4	3.9	3.d		3.9.1				
	[]	[]	[!	[]	[]	[!	$\begin{bmatrix} \\ \end{bmatrix}$	5.a	5 (ME)	5.a.1				
		6.1	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.6	6.a	6.b	6 diferences	6.3.1	6.3.2	6.4.2	6.5.1	6.6.1
					7.2	7.3	7.a	7.b	7 commution	7.1.1				
								8.4	B DECENT HORE AND					
					9.1	9.4	9.5	9.a		9.1.1	9.4.1			
						10.6	10.7	10.a						
	11.1	11.3	11.4	11.5	11.6	11.7	11.b	11.c		11.1.1	11.2.1	11.3.1	11.6.2	11.7.
				12.2	12.4	12.8	12.a	12.b		12.a.1				
d					13.1	13.2	13.3	13.b	19 0.842	13.1.1				
		14.1	14.2	14.3	14.4	14.6	14.7	14.a		14.3.1	14.4.1	14.5.1		
	15.1	15.2	15.3	15.4	15.5	15.7	15.8	15.9		15.1.1	15.2.1	15.3.1	15.4.1	15.4.
								16.8						
17.2	17.3	17.6	17.7	17.8	17.9	17.16	17.17	17.18		17.6.1	17.18.1			

Targets and indicators that can be supported by Earth Observations Credit: GEOS

SU		Population distribution	Cities and infrastructure mapping	Elevation and topography	Land cover and use mapping	Oceanographic observations	Hydrological and water quality observations	Atmospheric and air quality monitoring	Biodiversity and ecosystem observations	Agricultural monitoring	Hazards, disasters and environmental impact monitoring
1	No poverty								_		
2	Zero hunger										
3	Good health and well-being										
4	Quality education										
5	Gender equality										
6	Clean water and sanitation										
7											
8	Decent work and economic growth										
9	Industry, innovation and infrastructure										
10	Reduced inequalities										
11	Sustainable cities and communities										
12	Responsible consumption and production										
13	Climate action										
14	Life below water										
15	Life on land										
16	Peace, justice and strong institutions										
17	Partnerships for the goals										

Alignments of the Goals with Geospatial information

## Questions we seek to answer through evaluation



Relevance of the intervention—is it in the right context?



Trends in performance and impacts going far back in time...even if we didn't have baseline data?



Attribution: Did the GEF make a difference? – counterfactuals



Does the intervention deliver value for money?

# **Biodiversity**



Goal 15: Sustainably manage forests, combat desertification, halt and reverse land degradation, halt biodiversity loss

Indicators

- > Annual change in forest area and land under cultivation\*:Geospatial data
- Area of forest under sustainable forest management as a percent of forest area: Geospatial data/Administrative data
- > Red List Index: Telemetry, Tracking Data, Surveys/International monitoring
- Protected areas overlay with key biodiversity areas(KBAs)



#### **Biodiversity: Relevance**



■KBA ■International Designation ■National Importance

Study the impact of GEF support to 1292 global protected areas across 147 countries.







Hanssen et al., 2013, Sexton et al. 2013. International Journal of Digital Earth 6: 427-448; Kim et al. 2014. Remote Sensing of Environment.

#### **Forest Cover Change Analysis**



Decadal Forest Cover, Gain and Loss (2000 – 2012)



#### Percent Tree Cover (2000)



#### DEMONSTRATING IMPACT Biodiversity: Global







GEF-supported PAs have 23% less forest loss

#### Attribution: Did the intervention cause the change?

Quasi-experimental evaluation design based on Propensity score matching



NASA DigitalGlobe NextView

### Land degradation



- Goal 15: Sustainably manage forests, combat desertification, halt and reverse land degradation, halt biodiversity loss
  - Indicator for Goal 15
  - > Annual change in degraded or desertified arable land (% or ha): Remote sensing/satellite and administrative data.
- UNCCD Indicators for Land Degradation Neutrality(LDN)
  - > Vegetation productivity (NDVI)
  - Landuse and landcover change and
  - > Carbon sequestration

Distribution of GEF land degradation projects

#### LAND DEGRADATION Value for money analysis: 3 main objectives



Impact of GEF land degradation interventions

2

Factors associated with the environmental outcomes



Value for money in terms of carbon sequestered

iningi 0 दिने. Geocoding 6. Valuation of Carbon sequestration atial data 5. Causal tree analysis • : .... 3. Data integration 4. Matching analysis

Methodology

#### LAND DEGRADATION Quasi-experimental method



#### LAND DEGRADATION

#### Machine learning and causal tree



#### LAND DEGRADATION Finding: value for money





# Vegetationforest loss andproductivityland fragmentation

#### LAND DEGRADATION Findings: value for money

Lag time of 4.5 to 5.5 years for impacts to be observed Access to electricity associated with higher impact Higher impact observed in areas with poor initial conditions

.....

#### LAND DEGRADATION Bang for the buck



\$1:1.08

#### **DEMONSTRATING IMPACT**

#### International waters: Lake Victoria



14 LIFE BELOW WATER

Vegetation Water



## **Climate Action**





Areas vulnerable to sea level rise

Aboveground biomass

**Ecological forecasting: Predicting the future** 



#### Estimating the impact



## Project design



#### Scenario building

#### **Kenya Ecological Forecasting**

"Estimating Carbon Sequestration within Global Environment Facility (GEF) Funded Protected Areas in Kenya to Aid Future Policy"

- Research collaboration between the Global Environment Facility's Independent Evaluation Office (GEF-IEO) and NASA DEVELOP program
- Evaluated land cover and aboveground carbon stocks for 12 GEF protected areas in Kenya





#### **Case Study:**

Kakamega Forest Reserve

## Triangulating Across Methods



# **Challenges and Limitations**



Cannot always answer "how" and "why" questions



Uneven availability and accuracy of contextual variables across sites

High computing power and technical skills needed



Need for field verification/ groundtruthing

#### Beneficiary survey



# Bamboo Forest

Question	Response
Whats the current date and time	2016-09- 18T13:27:00.000+05:30
Where is this interview taking place?	21.76722166205057 78.66110602300134 486.3959563433866 24.0
Can I take a picture?	
Name of Interviewee(s)	Premlal anke
What is your role in the project?	beneficiary
Name of Organization	Borpani
Is the project creating any positive impact in the area/region/site?	yes
Did this project contribute to better land management ?	to_a_moderate_
Has the project increased productivity in rangelands? (Y/N)	yes
Has the project allowed for creating of new jobs and livelihood?	yes
Do you believe project technicians listened to you and took your voice into account when planning or implementing the project?	to_a_moderate_
Did the project involve men and women equally?	yes
To what extent is the local community involved in	to_a_moderate_

Time series analysis using Satellite data



Mixed methods and triangulation of findings Qualitative methods

- Case study
- Field visits
- Focused group interview
- Stakeholders interview

Has the project allowed for creating of new jobs and ivelihood?

Not Specified

#### Lessons for the future

Use mixed approaches and methods

Partner with global institutions

Mixed Methods

Continue exploring new methodologies and data sources

Approach evaluation as a dynamic learning process



# Thank you

<u>aanand2@thegef.org</u> <u>aviggh@thegef.org</u> gbatra@worldbank.org

TANZANI

GEF-KWS-IFAD MKEPP, Kenya



## **GEF:** Institutional Framework

## **Earth Observation Data**



#### Abstract:

Measuring, monitoring and evaluating the sustainable development goals(SDGS) would be a daunting task given the 169 targets and 230 proposed indicators. The support of the Global Environment Facility (GEF) to various interventions across the globe closely aligns with the SDGs on climate, biodiversity, land degradation, terrestrial and marine ecosystems and resources. Through this session, we share the experience of the GEF on the use of geospatial science complementing other mixed methods approaches for evaluating GEF projects. We draw from both our past and ongoing evaluations in focal areas such as biodiversity(SDG 15), Land degradation(15), International Waters (SDG 14) and Climate change (SDG 13). We demonstrate the use of geospatial science, earth observation and big data, analytics, e-devices and the relevance and applicability of these innovative mixed methods for keeping track on the progress of the SDGs.