Country portfolio evaluations (CPEs) conducted by the Global Environment Facility (GEF) Evaluation Office use the country as the unit of analysis to examine the totality of GEF support across all GEF Agencies and programs. The final GEF evaluation report will assess the relevance, results, and efficiency of GEF projects at the country level—that is, how these projects perform in producing results, and how the results are linked to national environmental and sustainable development agendas as well as to the GEF mandate of generating global environmental benefits in its focal areas.

The GEF Vanuatu and SPREP Portfolio Evaluation will assess the GEF Portfolio in Vanuatu as well as the portfolio of regional projects executed by the Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (SPREP). It will thus provide feedback and knowledge sharing to the GEF Council in its decision making on resource allocation and policy and strategy development, to the national governments on their participation in the GEF, and to the various agencies and organizations involved in the preparation and implementation of GEF-funded projects and activities.

The GEF Evaluation Office has conducted CPEs in Costa Rica, the Philippines, Samoa, Benin, Cameroon, Madagascar, South Africa, Egypt, Syria, Moldova, Turkey, Nicaragua, and Brazil, and in a cluster of Eastern Caribbean countries. The full CPE reports and summaries (Signposts) of their conclusions and recommendations can be found at www.gefeo.org. Alongside the Vanuatu and SPREP Portfolio Evaluation, the GEF Evaluation Office is conducting CPEs in Cuba, India and Sri Lanka as well as in Tanzania.

The CPE will answer questions related to the relevance, efficiency, effectiveness, sustainability and results of the GEF in Vanuatu and in the countries benefiting from SPREP executed GEF regional projects.

The output, outcome, or impact (intended or unintended, positive and/or negative) of a GEF activity.
- What are the results (outcomes and impacts) of completed projects?
- What are the aggregated results at the focal area and country levels?
- What is the likelihood that objectives will be achieved for projects still under implementation?
- How successful is the dissemination of GEF project lessons and results?
- What is the sustainability of GEF-supported activities?

The extent to which the objectives of a GEF activity are consistent with beneficiary requirements, country needs, global priorities, and partner and donor policies.
- Is GEF support to Vanuatu and the countries benefiting from SPREP executed GEF regional projects relevant to the national sustainability development agenda and environmental priorities, national development needs and challenges, and action plans for GEF national focal areas?
- Are the GEF and its Agencies supporting the environmental and sustainable development prioritization and decision-making process in Vanuatu and the countries benefiting from SPREP executed GEF regional projects?
- Is GEF support to Vanuatu and the countries benefiting from SPREP executed GEF regional projects relevant to the GEF objectives for global environmental benefits regarding biodiversity, climate change, international waters, persistent organic pollutants, land degradation, and ozone depleting substances?
- Are Vanuatu and the countries benefiting from SPREP executed GEF regional projects supporting the GEF mandate and focal area programs and strategies with its own resources and/or support from other donors?

A measure of how economically resources/inputs (such as funds, expertise, and time, etc.) are converted to results.
- How much time, effort, and financial resources are needed to develop and implement projects?
- What are the roles, types of engagement, and coordination among different stakeholders in project implementation?
- What are the synergies between GEF project programming and implementation among GEF Agencies, national institutions, GEF projects, and other donor-supported projects and activities?

1 Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (SPREP)
**Evaluation Team**
The GEF Vanuatu and SPREP Portfolio Evaluation will be conducted under the overall coordination of Carlo Carugi, Senior Evaluation Officer, team leader for the CPE stream of the GEF Evaluation Office. A team of national consultants is assembled to support the GEF Evaluation Office in the conduct of the evaluation.

**Role of the Focal Point**
The GEF’s Operational Focal Point (OFP) in Vanuatu, Mr. Albert Abel Williams, as well as the OFPs in the countries benefiting from SPREP executed GEF regional projects play a critical coordination role in GEF matters at the country level. The Operational Focal Points are responsible for the operational aspects of GEF activities, such as endorsing project proposals to affirm that they are consistent with national plans and priorities. During the CPE, the Operational Focal Points are expected to provide the following:
- **Coordination**—facilitate and coordinate consultations on GEF operational matters and among GEF Agencies, partners, and relevant stakeholders
- **Information sharing and learning**—provide key stakeholders with feedback on GEF activities in the country, including project implementation, project results and impacts, and mainstreaming of GEF objectives in national policy frameworks.

In the case of this particular evaluation, support will also be sought from the SPREP Director General, Mr. David Sheppard, for coordination and information sharing during the evaluation.

**CPE Process**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1. Communication</strong></td>
<td>The GEF Evaluation Office Director sends a letter to the GEF focal point in the country to inform the government that the country has been selected, and to propose to conduct the Country Portfolio Evaluation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2. Scoping</strong></td>
<td>The GEF Evaluation Office undertakes a first mission to identify key issues for the evaluation, promote stakeholder participation, and officially launch the evaluation. The GEF focal point is asked to facilitate the process by identifying interviewees and source documents, and organizing interviews, field visits, and meetings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3. Terms of reference</strong></td>
<td>The GEF Evaluation Office finalizes country-specific terms of reference based on feedback obtained from stakeholders.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4. Research</strong></td>
<td>An evaluation team composed of Evaluation Office staff and local consultants conducts a literature review to extract existing reliable evaluative evidence, and produces the GEF portfolio database, the country environmental framework, a global environmental benefits assessment, and project protocols.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5. Evaluation</strong></td>
<td>The evaluation team undertakes the evaluation; the GEF focal point provides logistical support, coordinates meetings, visits project sites with the evaluation team, and liaises with Agencies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6. Draft Report</strong></td>
<td>The evaluation team produces a draft report; the GEF focal point consults with government and assists in preparing a response.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>7. Consultation workshop</strong></td>
<td>The evaluation team holds a workshop with major stakeholders to discuss the main findings of the evaluation and receive feedback; the GEF focal point organizes, coordinates, and participates in the workshop.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>8. Final Report</strong></td>
<td>The evaluation team produces a final report, incorporating the feedback received in the workshop.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GEF Agencies in the countries, non-governmental organizations, and other major stakeholders will participate in the evaluation.

---

*The GEF Evaluation Office is an independent entity within the GEF reporting directly to the GEF Council. The Office assesses GEF policies, strategies, principles, and procedures as well as focal area programs. The goals of evaluation in the GEF are to learn from experience, provide an objective basis for assessing the results of the GEF’s work, and provide accountability in the achievement of its objectives. It also promotes knowledge sharing on results and lessons learned among the GEF and its partners.*