

Enhancing global environmental benefits through excellence in evaluation



Evaluating Global Environmental Benefits: Lessons from the GEF

Dr. Juha Uitto Director, Independent Evaluation office, Global Environment Facility juitto@TheGEF.org

University of Tsukuba – 21 November 2018

Outline

1 GEF and the global environment
2 Evaluating performance and impact
3 Innovative approaches

 Land degradation and value for money
 Transformational change
 Additionality

4 Conclusions



SECTION 1 GEF and the Global Environment

Scale



Global public subsidies that lead to overexploitation of natural resources and environmental degradation



Climate change



International waters





Biodiversity



Forests



Land degradation

Strategic relevance

Conventions. Main funding mechanism for:





United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change







Also relevant to the



Countries

More than 140 recipient countries Support for middle income countries remains important

Support to LDCs and SIDS has increased

IFO Evaluation Office

SECTION 2 Evaluating Performance and

Role of evaluation

- Evaluation is judgment made of the relevance, appropriateness, effectiveness, efficiency, impact and sustainability of development efforts, based on agreed criteria and benchmarks among key partners and stakeholders
- It involves a rigorous, systematic and objective process in the design, analysis and interpretation of information to answer specific questions
- It provides assessments of what works and why, highlights intended and unintended results, and provides strategic lessons to guide decision-makers and inform stakeholders

Evaluation criteria



Source: Van den Berg 2011

OPS6 Overview Objective

Methodology

Limitations

To provide solid evaluative evidence to inform the replenishment negotiations for **GEF-7**

29 evaluations and studies

Mix of qualitative and quantitative approaches including geospatial analysis

Formative approaches to evaluate ongoing programs Limitations imposed by data and timing

Site visits to all regions

Performance and Impact



Satisfactory outcomes

63%

of projects have outcomes that are likely to be sustained

Drivers of good performance

- Project design
- Quality of implementation and execution
- Materialized co-financing

- Performance and sustainability of outcomes > in middle income countries
- Institutional capacity challenges in Africa

PERFORMANCE AND IMPACT Broader adoption and transformational change

of projects achieved **broader adoption**

61%



of projects achieved environmental stress reduction

lechanisms for broader adop

Mainstreaming and replication Scaling-up and market change

Success factors for transformational change:

- Clear ambition in designs
- Addressing market reforms through policies
- Mechanisms for financial sustainability
- Quality of implementation and execution
- May be achieved by projects of different size

FOCAL AREA STUDIES Common findings

Relevant to conventions

Strong performance ratings on outcomes with limited variation Sustainability of outcomes (Land degradation & Biodiversity) M&E Design (International Waters and Chemicals) M&E Implementation (International Waters, Chemicals and Multifocal) Variation in private sector engagement Transformational change



Innovative Approaches



Land Degradation and Value for Money

LAND DEGRADATION **Evolution of the strategy**

GEF-1-2

Operational Program on Integrated Ecosystem Management LD seen as a "linkage activity GEF the

GEF-3

Operational Program on SLM. LDFA established as a focal area. financial mechanism for the UNCCD.

GEF-4

Focal area strategy on LDFA Shift towards multifocal and programmatic approaches

GEF-5

Focal area strategies linked with the UNCCD's 10 year strategy

GEF-6

Focal area strategies alignment towards LDN

LAND DEGRADATION Portfolio

\$3.4 billion

618 projects with an LD component (58% multifocal)

Cofinancing 20.4 billion

Central Asia, 8% Global, 15% Asia, 17% Latin America and Caribbean, 22%

Regional, 1%

Shift towards integrated landscapes

Distribution of GEF land degradation projects

Results: Performance



Impact assessment Mixed methods and triangulation of findings



amboo Forest Has the project allowed for Village creating of new jobs and Display options without

Apr 2009

Impact assessment Mixed methods and triangulation of findings



Value for money analysis: 3 main objectives

Impact of GEF land degradation interventions?

Factors associated with the environmental outcomes?



Value for money in terms of carbon sequestered?

Delan 2 0 Geocoding [£] 6. Valuation of Carbon RES sequestration atial data 5. Causal tree ٠. analysis 11 3. Data integration 4. Matching analysis

Methodology

LAND DEGRADATION Quasi-experimental method



LAND DEGRADATION Machine learning and causal tree



LAND DEGRADATION Repeated model simulation



Estimated Mean Impact NDVI Diff pre-post implementation

LAND DEGRADATION Value for money

\$1:1.08

43.52

tC/ha

Vegetation productivity

Lag time of 4.5 to 5.5 years for impacts to be observed Access to electricity associated with higher impact Higher impactforest loss andobserved in areas withland fragmentationpoor initial conditions

LAND DEGRADATION Bang for the buck





Land degradation

Strategy

Portfolio

Shift towards integrated landscape

Shift from linkages towards land degradation **neutrality**

High level of effort in Africa

Addresses the local socioeconomic **drivers**



Climate risks, contextual factors, restoration

Fransformational Change & Additionality

Transformational change

Deep, systemic, and sustainable change with large-scale impact

Criteria:

- (1) Relevance
- (2) Depth of change
- (3) Scale of change
- (4) Sustainability

Eight cases purposefully selected

PERFORMANCE AND IMPACT Examples: transformational change



Areas of GEF's Additionality

Specific Environmental Additionality

- Value added to achieve global environmental benefits
- Legal/Regulatory Additionality
 - Transforming legal/regulatory forms to support environmental sustainability

Institutional Additionality/Governance Additionality

Support to existing institution to efficient/sustainable transformation

Financial Additionality

Incremental cost from national/local benefits to global environmental benefits

Socio-economic Additionality

Livelihood and social benefits through GEF activities

Innovation Additionality

Technology and knowledge



SECTION 4 Conclusions

Conclusions on the GEF

RELEVANCE

- Serves multiple conventions and broad range of environmental issues
- 2. Strong Support to LDCs and SIDS

PERFORMANCE

- 3. Long history of good performance
- 4. Ability to address linkages and synergies between focal areas

TRANSFORMATIONAL

- Ability to Create an enabling environment in countries through legal and regulatory reforms
- Delivers innovative financial models and risk-sharing approaches

Lessons for evaluation

Use mixed approaches and methods

Partner with global institutions

Mixed Methods

Continue exploring new technology

Approach evaluation as a dynamic learning process

Implications for evaluation

Evaluation: How? Why? Under what conditions? Dynamic!

- Must look beyond individual projects
- Define system boundaries
- Methodological rigor and credibility, adaptability
- Unintended consequences
- Do interventions make a difference?

Sustainable development lens!

Thank you!

http://www.gefieo.org