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Background

- Council document plus technical note
- As requested by Council, this work program and budget are more detailed and reflect my first assessment, after 9 months in the job
- Council is requested to review and approve principles and overall scope of the four year program
- Council is requested to review and approve the proposed FY06 work plan and budget and the funding for the two special initiatives
Changing context

- M&E unit still growing into independent Office
- GEF growing into a more complex network; more needs to be evaluated: more focal areas (OPs and SPs), more partners (EAs, conventions, private sector), more types of grant modalities (small MSPs), RAF
- More activities on the ground: growing number of projects approved, under implementation and completed
- M&E budget is product based: more funding more products
FY06 Work Program

- A new GEF M&E policy, including GEF minimum standards for M&E
- Follow up to OPS3
- GEF Annual Performance Report
- Local Benefits Study
- Evaluations on capacity building, country portfolio reviews (one pilot), impact assessment (one pilot), incremental cost analysis
- Approach paper on replication/demonstration/catalytic action
- Various knowledge products and dissemination
- Continuation with consultative process
- Proposals for new ways to interact with Council
- Program indicators for land degradation and IW
- Joint evaluation on activity cycle (special initiative)
- Biosafety evaluation (special initiative)
# Work Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>FY06</th>
<th>FY07</th>
<th>FY08</th>
<th>FY09</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Evaluations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Studies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country Portfolio Reviews/ Impact Evaluations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-cutting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional and Procedural Issues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monitoring</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program indicators</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Performance Report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultative process</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPS4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What needs to be evaluated?

Disbursements (cumulative)
Approved commitments (cumulative)
Work program allocations (cumulative)
Annual disbursement scenario’s