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SECTION ONE

ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2018
SPECIAL FOCUS ON SUSTAINABILITY
PERFORMANCE
Outcomes

Performance

Satisfactory outcomes

- GEF overall: 80%
- 2017 cohort: 76%

Projects with satisfactory outcomes

- Pilot to GEF-2
- GEF-3 onwards

- Europe and Central Asia
- Regional projects
- Fragiles states
Quality

PERFORMANCE

Implementation
✓ Effective steering committee

Execution
✓ Effective steering committee
✓ Country support

Cofinancing
✓ 100% or more expected cofinancing

GEF overall 2017 cohort:
- Implementation: 79% 84%
- Execution: 81% 78%
- Cofinancing: 6.1:1 8.4:1
PERFORMANCE

Monitoring and Evaluation

Design improved

Implementation improved

Quality of terminal evaluations remains high but gaps in coverage

Satisfactory 83%
Gender M&E

Improvements in gender reporting in terminal evaluations and project implementation reports

1. Gender disaggregated data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe and Central Asia</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America and Caribbean</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Africa leads
SUSTAINABILITY
At project completion (62%)

Factors
✓ Quality of project preparation
✓ Country context
✓ Government support
✓ Quality of implementation and execution
✓ Materialization of cofinancing
At project completion (62%)
Higher sustainability ratings at project completion are associated with higher levels of:

- Broader adoption
- Environmental stress reduction
- Post project completion outcomes
Ba Be: Sustainable Forest Management, Viet Nam

SUSTAINABLE OUTCOME
Forest loss did not increase despite unprecedented increase in the buffer and at country level.

Loss rate (%/year)

**Forest cover loss**

- **Viet Nam loss**
  - 2%
- **Loss in the buffer**
  - 0.55%
- **Loss in the project site**
  - 0.1%

2000

*Graph showing the loss rates over the years.*
NO INDICATION OF SUSTAINABLE OUTCOME
Forest loss increased at a similar rate compared to the buffer and at country level.

**Forest cover loss**

Loss rate (%/year)

Forest cover loss of Phnom Aural relative to its forest cover in 2000.

- **Cambodia loss**: 2.1%
- **Loss in the buffer**: 1.4%
- **Loss in the project site**: 1.1%

**Year**
- Before 2001
- During 2002-2007
- After 2008-2016

**2000**

**Map**
- **Cover**:
  - Forest
  - Non-forest
  - Forest gain
  - Forest loss
  - Water
  - No data

**Region**
- GEF2_1086_Phom Aural
NO INDICATION OF SUSTAINABLE OUTCOME
Forest loss increased (0.1% to 0.3%) compared to decrease in buffer (1.0 to 0.8%)
SUSTAINABLE FOREST CONSERVATION

Forest loss stable (<0.05%) compared to increase in the buffer (0.13 to 0.2 %)

Pre-project loss

During and post project loss

25 km buffer

National park

% loss

Project start

Project end

Buffer

Protected area

0.13-0.2%

<0.05%
SECTION TWO

ECOLOGICAL FORECASTING USING GEOSPATIAL ANALYSIS

Kenya
Kenya study

12 terrestrial protected areas (5035 km²)
Diversity of land cover types and sizes
Study periods: 1995-2016, 2020 and 2030
Kenya study: Results

- **Little to no net change in above-ground carbon stocks**
- **Proximity to rivers and irrigated agriculture contribute to land cover change**
- **Community engagement and infrastructure contribute to benefits**
Kenya study: Kakamega Forest Reserve

- +4760 ha
- +1698 ha

**Land Cover Change**
- Forest
- Non-vegetated
- Shrub

**NDVI**
0.70 - 0.85

**Carbon Sequestration**
- 1999: +0.8 Mt C
- 2010: +0.8 Mt C
- 2015: +0.8 Mt C
- 2020: +0.8 Mt C
- 2030: +0.8 Mt C

ECOLOGICAL FORECASTING USING GEOSPATIAL ANALYSIS
Aboveground carbon estimates, projections

Gigagrams of carbon

Effective maintenance of carbon stocks

ECOLOGICAL FORECASTING USING GEOSPATIAL ANALYSIS
Applications of this tool

- Establish baselines
- Measure impact
- Measure sustainability
- Help set realistic targets
- Inform locations for GEF interventions
EVALUATION WORK IN PROGRESS

Knowledge management

Sharing OPS6

Sharing

Training

Geospatial methods

Writing effective evaluations
Management action record

- Evaluation of the GEF CSO Network
  - SUBSTANTIAL ADOPTION

- Joint GEF-UNDP Small Grants Program Evaluation (SAER June 2015)
  - MEDIUM ADOPTION

- Annual Performance Report 2015
  - MEDIUM ADOPTION

- Annual Country Portfolio Evaluation Report 2012
  - RETIRED
SECTION FOUR

WORK PROGRAM FOR FY19

IEC
Independent Evaluation Office
GLOBAL ENVIRONMENT FACILITY
EVALUATION WORK IN PROGRESS

Evaluations underway for FY19

November 2018
Evaluation of GEF’s Approach to Mainstreaming Biodiversity
Evaluation of the Clean Technology Program
Evaluation of GEF Support to Scaling Up Impacts
Framework for Measuring GEF’s Additionality
M&E Policy Update
Additionality in the GEF

June 2019
June: Strategic Country Cluster Evaluations: LDCs, African Biomes

Fall 2019
Evaluation GEF Medium-Sized Projects, SIDS
### Estimated IEO budget for FY19

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$ millions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fixed costs</td>
<td>$4.211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable costs</td>
<td>$0.170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluations</td>
<td>$0.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$4.571</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SEMI-ANNUAL EVALUATION REPORT

June 2018
RECOMMENDED COUNCIL DECISION