Project Management 1	nformation System	🚪 aagarwal5@thege
Do 📃 Projects	Reports 🖗 Administratio	n 🥎 Help 🌛 Lo
	Terminal Evaluation Review - Entry	γ Form
Quick Select: GEF Project ID	▼ Select	
< Back to Project Edit	Save Data	
Collapse all sections		
Project		
GEF ID	3708	
Project Title	Rapid Assessment of Chemical Cont Earthquake in Sichuan Province	tamination of the Wenchuan
Project Type	Medium Size Project	
Funding Source	GEF Trust Fund	
Focal Area	POPs V	
Agency	World Bank	
World Bank ID		
Country	China	
Project Status	Project Completion	
Duration	years	
CEO Endorsement	07/02/2008	
Agency Approval		
Project Effectiveness		
GEF Agency Execution Partners	(Select Execution Partners)	
	Civil Society Private Sector Indigenous Community	
	Other	

E Reviewer	Oreste Maia Andrade		
E Peer Reviewer	Baljit Wadhwa]	
Completion, Submission &	Delays		
Project Completion			
Project Expected Completion]	
Project Actual Completion	10/31/2009		
Project Completion Difference		Months	
FE Completion			
E Completion	01/30/2010]	
E Submission to EO	11/30/2011]	
E Submission to EO Difference	22	Months	
TER Completion			
ER Completion	03/18/2012		
ER Submission to EO	03/18/2012]	
ER Submission to EO Difference	e 0	Months	
that the GRM had been prev	to GEFEO in November 2011. Howe viously submitted to GEFSEC RBM a EC RBM PIR folder. Final project rep	nd uploaded to	
Funding and Co-Financing			
	Amounts at CEO Endorsement	Amounts at Completion	Ratios
GEF Amount (US\$)	1,000,000	997,970	99.80 %
Cofinance Amount (US\$)	500,000	1,000,000	200.00 %
Total Amount (US\$)	1,500,000	1,997,970	133.20 %
Comments on Cofinancing			
According to the CPM as a	post-disaster rapid response, this p	project was not	

Quality of Lo	gical Framework			▼			
Project Obj	iectives -						
responses identifyin with the r (Chengdu Municipal Municipal PDO. Ider included i safety an	s to the May 12, g and assessing j eleases of hazar I Municipality, Sic ity, Sichuan) and ity, Sichuan). ntified sites with nto the MEP prog	2008 Wenchuan potential enviror dous chemicals chuan), Shifang l Anxian and Bei potential risks o gram for compre	t the Recipient's Earthquake in S mental impacts and wastes in Pe and Mianzhu Citi chuan Counties (f chemical contar chensive assessm medium- to long	iichuan, by and risks asso ngzhou City es (Deyang Mianyang mination were nent of enviror	nmental		
Activities	Outputs	Outcomes	Assumptions & Risks	Impact Enablers	Intermediary States	GEB / Impact	
A1 – Identification, Classification and Screening of Risk Areas for potential chemical contamination releases.	O1 - Identified, Classified and Screened Risk Areas: Out of the initially identified 346 potential sites, 121 had potential chemical contamination risks, and 58 out of the 121 were considered priority risky sites that could have become source of chemical contamination. An inventory of the 58 prioritized locations with pollution sources and potential chemical contamination risks were prepared according to project schedule and associated with hazard maps. Based on results of rapid monitoring and assessment for the 53 priority sites, three categories of sites were classified:	O1 - No causal linkage was identified between likely sources of chemical releases and the ambient environmental data: Assessment results and proposed mitigation recommendations were reported to MEP and Sichuan EPB for consideration. Assessment results were also reviewed against ambient environmental quality data obtained from other components of the MEP program to evaluate possible linkage between likely sources of chemical releases and the ambient environmental data. O2 - Cause was unconfirmed but	A1: Full commitment of the national and local Chinese authorities. R1: Personal safety of consultants involved in site investigations and proper handling and disposal of wastes collected from site investigation or generated during laboratory analysis were the main risks identified at project approval. At project completion, no accidents were reported that all wastes collected or generated under this project had been properly disposed by a qualified hazardous waste management company.	IE1: Full and interested commitment of all involved stakeholders, which allowed for timely delivery of results and cooperative teamwork. IE2: Strong cooperative communication between external agencies and local authorities: China and Sichuan have fully embraced the project in all aspects, and all components were completed. Performance of MEP and Sichuan EPB team was very impressive. Both MEP and Sichuan EPB contributed from its own resources to	IS1: A proposed follow-up GEF project targeting on identified disaster waste management issues was submitted to the GEF in September 2009. Although GEFSEC cleared the proposed project technically, it suggested that the project be considered under its GEF V work program due to its resources constraints under GEF IV. It will be MEP's decision on whether it will continue pursue this proposed GEF Project. The current PMIS status of the proped (GEFID 4153).	GEB1: With the environment at the local level having not suffered major contamination, and the population more prepared to deal with future disasters, local biodiversity has been safeguarded and is likely to remain so.	Cedit

project management related costs.

Project

resources were efficiently

deployed, and

a strong working relationship

has been

developed

still considered likely: Although no causal linkage was identified

sources of chemical releases

and the ambient

environmental data, the project identified that

mismanagement

and disposal of

between likely

risky sites with hazardous

chemical releases and chemical

wastes remained on sites; (b) 13 medium to low

risks sites with no direct chemical releases but with some wastes

remained on sites;

	and (c) 42 low risk sites with no chemical releases. The remaining 288 sites in the initial inventory list were classified as either no risk or at very low risks. All sites were screened for potential PCB releases from in- use electrical equipment and investigated for potential releases of POPs (persistent organic pollutants) pesticides and site specific hazardous chemical or wastes. Waste management facilities, in particular waste incinerators, were investigated for potential dioxin and furan releases. In addition, suspected owners of PCP equipment and POP pesticides were consulted on potential sites of POP storage and releases.	disaster waste management might have become a key source of uncontrolled releases of dioxin and furan, the two most potent POPs. Based on this finding, China requested the Bank assistance to mitigate potential risks posted by mismanagement of disaster wastes by mobilizing additional resources from the GEF. (A project proposal was submitted for GEF consideration, but it was later dropped – see GEFID 4153.)		between the MEP and provincial and local EPBs. The counterpart team was very committed and worked diligently to complete all project activities on time and satisfactorily.		
A2 – Equipment procurement and field assessment of contamination risks.	O2 - The Ministry of Environment and Protection (MEP) procured all necessary equipment for site assessment in Sichuan. All equipment was delivered to Sichuan in less than three months after project effectiveness. O3 - Field assessment: Samples were taken and tested on sites and in laboratory. Investigated wastes were properly disposed. Site assessment and field visits were conducted in the 58 priority sites; and final assessment and mitigation recommendations were submitted to MEP.	O3 - Cooperative approach from all involved stakeholders: The project has also demonstrated that close coordination and cooperation between national, provincial and local EPBs has helped in the smooth and efficient delivery of project outcomes. MEP and Sichuan EPB had responded to the emergency situation very promptly and effectively. Necessary equipment were procured with coordinated efforts from both agencies and delivered quickly to Sichuan for rapid assessments. Sichuan EPB#s support was crucial for the consultants to complete their assignments and incorporate their results into the overall MEP program on a timely manner. O4 -	R2: Information on risks associated with hazardous chemicals/wastes was not easily available in the province. During implementation, the consultants relied on available environmental impact assessment regorts and pollutant registration information and direct consultation with local EPB officials to identify potential sites. This approach was time-consuming and impossible to collect full information. The project has promoted that environmental information such as hazardous chemical and wastes risks and hazards and related mitigation measures be incorporated into the disaster preparedness plans.	IE2: Expedited Process for a Urgency: GEF was very supportive of China's request and the Bank to proceed with this project. It simplified its review and approval process for this project, which provided precious time for the project to have needed monitoring equipment procured and delivered to the earthquake area in a very short period of time. Without this strong support and cooperation, the value of this project.		Edit <u> Delete</u>

	Environmental Safeguard - No major chemical release or contamination accident: Implementation of the MEP Program and this project helped Sichuan avoid major chemical releases and contamination accidents and thus contributed to environmental safeguard of disaster relief, recovery and reconstruction process of the province.			
A3 - Training of Aides / Capacity Building / Awareness Raise on Post-Disaster Management.	O5 - Society in general and key stakeholders in particular are more prepared to deal with occasional disasters in the future: The support to China was successful to develop its capacity on post- disaster rapid assessment and mitigation of environmental impacts and risk associated chemical hazardous sites resulting from natural disasters.			Edit î Delete

Add	workshop on December 2009 to disseminate lessons/experience learned from the MEP's overall emergency response actions to the earthquake (including this project).		
ew ow			
Project F	Performance		
by Agency R	eview Ratings To G	EFEO Ratings Copy Agency F	Review Comments To GEFEO Comments
verall Proje	ct Rating	5 - Satisfactory	▼
Comment			
With succ	essful implementa	tion of all components in a time	ly manner, the
		ctives and outcome indicators w	
Criteria	Document	Rating	Comment
	Last PIR:	5 - Satisfactory	
	Evaluation:	5 - Satisfactory	components in a timely manner, the project#s development objectives and outcome indicators were achieved satisfactorily. The project has succeeded in supporting China to develop its capacity on post-disaster rapid assessment and mitigation of
Dutcomes (environmental impacts and risk associated chemical hazardous sites resulting from natural disasters. The project has also demonstrated that close coordination and cooperation between national, provincial and local EPBs has helped in the smooth and efficient delivery of project outcomes. MEP and Sichuan EPB had responded to the emergency situation very promptly and effectively. Necessary equipment were procured with coordinated efforts from both agencies and delivered quickly to Sichuan for rapid assessments. Sichuan EPB#s support was crucial for the consultants to complete their assignments and incorporate their results into the overall MEP program on a timely manner. Implementation of the MEP Program and this project helped Sichuan avoid major chemical releases and contamination accidents and thus contributed to environmental safeguard of disaster relief, recovery and reconstruction process of the province.
Dutcomes	Agency Review:	NA - Not Applicable	chemical hazardous sites resulting from natural disasters. The project has also demonstrated that close coordination and cooperation between national, provincial and local EPBs has helped in the smooth and efficient delivery of project outcomes. MEP and Sichuan EPB had responded to the emergency situation very promptly and effectively. Necessary equipment were procured with coordinated efforts from both agencies and delivered quickly to Sichuan for rapid assessments. Sichuan EPB#s support was crucial for the consultants to complete their assignments and incorporate their results into the overall MEP program on a timely manner. Implementation of the MEP Program and this project helped Sichuan avoid major chemical releases and contamination accidents and thus contributed to environmental safeguard of disaster relief, recovery and reconstruction process of the province.

	Terminal Evaluation:	UA -	Unable to assess	~	
Relevance 🕖	Agency Review:	NA -	Not Applicable	~	
	GEFEO Review:	5 - S	atisfactory	~	In face of an post-earthquake situation, all efforts aimed at minimizing immediate and preventable consequences are extremely
	Terminal Evaluation:	UA -	Unable to assess	~	
Effectiveness ᠑	Agency Review:	NA -	Not Applicable	~	
	GEFEO Review:	UA -	Unable to assess	~	
Efficiency	Terminal Evaluation:	5-8	atisfactory		The project has succeeded in supporting China to develop its capacity on post-disaster rapid assessment and mitigation of environmental impacts and risk associated chemical hazardous sites resulting from natural disasters. The project has also demonstrated that close coordination and cooperation between national, provincial and local EPBs has helped in the smooth and efficient delivery of project outcomes. MEP and Sichuan EPB had responded to the emergency situation very promptly and effectively. Necessary equipment were procured with coordinated efforts from both agencies and delivered quickly to Sichuan for rapid assessments. Sichuan EPB#s support was crucial for the consultants to complete their assignments and incorporate their results into the overall MEP program on a timely manner. Implementation of the MEP Program and this project helped Sichuan avoid major chemical releases and contamination accidents and thus contributed to environmental safeguard of disaster relief, recovery and reconstruction process of the province.
	Agency Review:	NA -	Not Applicable	~	
	GEFEO Review:	5 - S	atisfactory	~	Agreed with the GRM.
Criteria	Docum	nent	Rating		Comment
	Las	t PIR:	UA - Unable to assess	~	
Sustainability	Evalua	minal ation:	4 - Likely		Overall risk is estimated to be negligible to low. China and Sichuan have fully embraced the project. Results of the project have already been integrated in the overall MEP program for recovery and reconstruction efforts.
		gency view:	NA - Not Applicable	~	
				_	
	G	GEFEO	4 - Likely	\checkmark	Agreed with the GRM.

Financial 🔍		Agency Review:	NA - Not Applicable	\checkmark	
Financial 🕙		GEFEO Review:	UA - Unable to assess	~	
		Terminal aluation:	UA - Unable to assess	\checkmark	
~		Agency Review:	NA - Not Applicable	~	
Socio-political 🔍		GEFEO Review:	4 - Likely	~	China and Sichuan have fully embraced the project. Results of the project have already been integrated in the overall MEP program for recovery and reconstruction efforts.
		Terminal aluation:	UA - Unable to assess	\checkmark	
Institutional and Le	egal	Agency Review:	NA - Not Applicable	~	
		GEFEO Review:	UA - Unable to assess	~	
Environmental ᠑		Terminal aluation:	4 - Likely	~	The main risks identified at project approval were related to personal safety of consultants involved in site investigations and proper handling and disposal of wastes collected from site investigation or generated during laboratory analysis. At project completion, no accidents were reported. The PMO reported that all wastes collected or generated under this project had been properly disposed by a qualified hazardous waste
					management company. Therefore, such risk was
		Agency Review:	NA - Not Applicable	~	management company. Therefore, such risk was
			NA - Not Applicable	>	Agreed with the GRM.
Critoria	Documor	Review: GEFEO Review:	4 - Likely		Agreed with the GRM.
Criteria	Documer	Review: GEFEO Review:	4 - Likely Rating		Agreed with the GRM. Comment
Criteria	Documer Termir Evaluatio	Review: GEFEO Review: nt nal 5 - S	4 - Likely		Agreed with the GRM.
Criteria M&E 🜒	Termir	Review: GEFEO Review: nt n: 5 - S r; NA -	4 - Likely Rating		Agreed with the GRM. Comment
	Termir Evaluatio Agen	Review: GEFEO Review: nt n: 5 - S NA - w: EO 5 - S	4 - Likely Rating Batisfactory		Agreed with the GRM. Comment
	Termir Evaluatio Agen Review GEFE	Review: GEFEO Review: t t c c c c c c c c	4 - Likely Rating Satisfactory Not Applicable	>	Agreed with the GRM. Comment
M&E 🕑	Termir Evaluatio Agen Revieu GEFE Revieu	Review: GEFEO Review: Image: Comparison of the second se	4 - Likely Rating Satisfactory Not Applicable Satisfactory	> >	Agreed with the GRM. Comment
M&E 🕑	Termir Evaluatio Agen Review GEFE Review Termir Evaluatio Agen	Review: GEFEO Review: Image: Compare the service of the service	4 - Likely Rating Satisfactory Not Applicable Satisfactory Unable to assess		Agreed with the GRM. Comment
M&E 🕑	Termir Evaluatio Agen Review GEFE Review Termir Evaluatio Agen Review	Review: GEFEO Review: all 5 - S n: 50 5 - S w: 60 70 60 70 71 72 73 74 74 75 </td <td>4 - Likely Rating Satisfactory Not Applicable Satisfactory Unable to assess Not Applicable</td> <td>> > ></td> <td>Imagination Agreed with the GRM. Comment No comment provided. </td>	4 - Likely Rating Satisfactory Not Applicable Satisfactory Unable to assess Not Applicable	> >	Imagination Agreed with the GRM. Comment No comment provided.
	Termir Evaluatio Agen Revieu Termir Evaluatio Agen Revieu GEFF Revieu	Review: GEFEO Review: Image: Comparison of the series of the se	4 - Likely Rating Gatisfactory Not Applicable Gatisfactory Unable to assess Not Applicable Inderately Satisfactory		Imagination Agreed with the GRM. Comment No comment provided.

			GEFEO has only received on GRM as if it was an ICM. ICM itself has been retrieved from PMIS uploaded as a PIR.
	Terminal Evaluation:	UA - Unable to assess	
M&E Funding and Budget Utilization ①	Agency Review:	NA - Not Applicable	
	GEFEO Review:	UA - Unable to assess V	
Criteria	Document	Rating	Comment
Citteria	Terminal	UA - Unable to assess	Comment
Quality of Implementation	Evaluation:		
and Execution	Agency Review:	NA - Not Applicable	
	GEFEO Review:	5 - Satisfactory	Average of sub-criteria sum.
	Terminal Evaluation:	5 - Satisfactory	
Quality of Implementation -			


		Performance of MEP and Sichuan EPB team was very impressive. Both MEP and Sichuan EPB
		contributed from its own resources to support project management related costs. Project resources were efficiently deployed, and a strong working relationship
		has been developed between the MEP and provincial and local EPBs. The counterpart team was very committed and worked
		diligently to complete all project activities on time and satisfactorily. Timely delivery. The Bank has responded
		immediately to the request of the Government and prepared the project in record time (in seven weeks from the date of request to
		Grant Agreement signing). The project was prepared in close cooperation with and strong support from GEF.
		Implementation also started immediately upon signing, and the project was completed on time. The project team has provided continuous
		support to China during implementation. MEP and MOF have reiterated their appreciation of the Bank for timely delivery and implementation of this project.
		Client Responsiveness. The team was on a China mission when China requested the Bank to prepare this project as a part of
		the MEP Program. With strong support from the management, the team extended its stay and held extensive discussions with
		the client on project design. By communicating closely with MEP and the Sichuan EPB through MEP, the team completed draft
		project documents in a week and delivered the project in six weeks, including two weeks required by the GEF for council review and approval.
		Teamwork. The project received strong support from the management and staff members from CMU, EAPVP Office, EAPCO,
		EASRE, EASUR, EASOP, LEG, OPCS and LOA. The EAPVP Office and OPCS supported the project to perform the review meeting with minimal review time. The EAP Quality and
		Results Team, the Procurement Team and the Safeguards Secretariat involved in project preparation actively and ensured that
		the project was designed properly with minimal fiduciary and safeguards risks The Project has received a 2009 Green Award for
		its responsiveness and efforts to mainstream environmental concerns into disaster relief, recovery and reconstruction process, and a VPU Team Award 2009 for its
		responsiveness in preparation and implementation
Agency Review:	NA - Not Applicable	
GEFEO Review:	5 - Satisfactory	Agreed with the GRM.
Terminal Evaluation:	5 - Satisfactory	


Quality of Execution - EA 🕑			This project is one of ten components of a Program on Post Wenchuan Earthquake Environmental Safety Assessment and Countermeasures initiated on June 3, 2008 by the Ministry of Environmental Protection of China (the MEP program). The MEP program aims to provide support to Sichuan and local EPBs to evaluate a full range of environmental impacts and risks caused by the Wenchuan earthquake on May 12, 2008. MEP leads the implementation of the program with technical support from key national environmental research institutes. Findings of this project were reported to MEP and Sichuan EPB and used by MEP and Sichuan in their follow-up recovery and reconstruction activities.
	Agency	NA - Not Applicable	
	Review: GEFEO		Agreed with the CDM
	Review:	5 - Satisfactory	Agreed with the GRM.
Jeancy Specif	ic Project Cr	iteria	
Criteria	Document	Rating/Verification	Comment
Processes Affec Projec	ting Attainm t Results	ent of	
Country Ownership /	Terminal Evaluation:	5 - Satisfactory	
Driveness / Alignment to Country or	Agency Review:	NA - Not Applicable	
Regional Priority	GEFEO Review:	5 - Satisfactory	
Regional Priority		5 - Satisfactory NA - Not Applicable] []
Regional Priority	Review: Terminal		Image: state
Regional Priority	Review: Terminal Evaluation: Agency	NA - Not Applicable	Based on findings of this project, the client prepared a GEF proposal requesting additional \$4 million to help Sichuan address disaster waste management issues. The proposal was submitted to the GEF in September 2009 but was not funded under GEF IV
Regional Priority	Review: Terminal Evaluation: Agency Review: GEFEO	NA - Not Applicable NA - Not Applicable	Based on findings of this project, the client prepared a GEF proposal requesting additional \$4 million to help Sichuan address disaster waste management issues. The proposal was submitted to the GEF in September 2009 but was not funded under GEF IV (ended in June 2010) due to resource constraints in the GEF.
Regional Priority	Review: Terminal Evaluation: Agency Review: GEFEO Review: Terminal	NA - Not Applicable NA - Not Applicable 3 - Moderately Unsatisfactory	Based on findings of this project, the client prepared a GEF proposal requesting additional \$4 million to help Sichuan address disaster waste management issues. The proposal was submitted to the GEF in September 2009 but was not funded under GEF IV (ended in June 2010) due to resource constraints in the GEF.
Regional Priority	Review: Terminal Evaluation: Agency Review: GEFEO Review: Terminal Evaluation: Agency	NA - Not Applicable NA - Not Applicable 3 - Moderately Unsatisfactory 5 - Satisfactory	Based on findings of this project, the client prepared a GEF proposal requesting additional \$4 million to help Sichuan address disaster waste management issues. The proposal was submitted to the GEF in September 2009 but was not funded under GEF IV (ended in June 2010) due to resource constraints in the GEF.
Regional Priority	Review: Terminal Evaluation: Agency Review: GEFEO Review: Terminal Evaluation: Agency Review: GEFEO	NA - Not Applicable NA - Not Applicable 3 - Moderately Unsatisfactory 5 - Satisfactory NA - Not Applicable	Based on findings of this project, the client prepared a GEF proposal requesting additional \$4 million to help Sichuan address disaster waste management issues. The proposal was submitted to the GEF in September 2009 but was not funded under GEF IV (ended in June 2010) due to resource constraints in the GEF.
Regional Priority	Review: Terminal Evaluation: Agency Review: CEFEO Review: Agency Review: GEFEO Review: Terminal	NA - Not Applicable NA - Not Applicable 3 - Moderately Unsatisfactory 5 - Satisfactory NA - Not Applicable 5 - Satisfactory 5 - Satisfactory	Based on findings of this project, the client prepared a GEF proposal requesting additional \$4 million to help Sichuan address disaster waste management issues. The proposal was submitted to the GEF in September 2009 but was not funded under GEF IV (ended in June 2010) due to resource constraints in the GEF.

		Evaluation:	<u> </u>	Yes	~	
Criteria / Categorization Results / Foundationa	n of	ocument Terminal	V	Verification		Comment
Progress to Impact	GEF Revie	0 - 01	gnifica	ant Progress	~	Overall outcome of this project has met the original expectation at project approval. As results of this project has been used by MEP and Sichuan EPB in their follow-up post- disaster recovery and reconstruciton activities, the outcome is liekly to be sustained.
	Agei Revie	ew:	Not A	pplicable	~	
	Termi Evaluatio		Not Aj	pplicable	~	
Progress to	Impact					
	0	Review: GEFEO Review:		Not Applicable	~	
Democratic Gov		Evalua	tion: ency	Not Applicable	✓✓	
			ninal	Yes Not Applicable	 	
			ency /iew:	Not Applicable	~	
Crisis Preve Rec	ntion and overy 🕑					(MEP) after the Wenchuan Earthquake in late May 2008. It was approved by the GEF on July 2, 2008, and signed by CMU on July 8, 2008 (shwoned as board approval date in the project data table below by a system error). The project has been managed by MEP on behalf of the Sichuan Environmental Protection Bureau (EPB). (MEP has listed this project as one of ten components of a MEP Program on Post Wenchuan Earthquake Environmental Safety Assessment and Countermeasures.)
		Terr Evalua	ninal tion:	Yes	~	The project was prepared at the request of MOF and the Ministry of Environmental Protection
		GEFEO Rev		Not Applicable	\checkmark	
Poverty Redu	uction 🔍		ency /iew:	Not Applicable	\checkmark	

Results / Demonstrational				
Piloting /	Terminal Evaluation:	N - No	\checkmark	
Demonstration of technologies and	Agency Review:	NA - Not Applicable	\checkmark	
approaches ᠑	GEFEO Review:	N - No	\checkmark	
Criteria / Categorization of Results / Investment	Document	Verification		Comment
Financial mechanisms	Terminal Evaluation:	N - No	~	
to facilitate adoption of the promoted technologies and	Agency Review:	NA - Not Applicable	\checkmark	
approaches 🔍	GEFEO Review:	N - No	~	
Criteria / Causal Pathway	Document	Verification		Comment
Replication 🕑	Terminal Evaluation:	Y - Yes	~	Implementation of this project has helped China gain experiences on rapid assessment, mitigation and risk management of hazardous chemicals released from natural disaster. Sichuan had been able to apply experiences learned from this project to the remaining 35 counties that have been severely damage by the earthquake. Lessons an experiences learned will also benefit China in future disaster responses and recovery operations in the country and in other developing countries.
	Agency Review:	NA - Not Applicable	\checkmark	
	GEFEO Review:	Y - Yes	\checkmark	Agreed with the GRM.
	Terminal Evaluation:	N - No	\checkmark	
Upscaling 🔍	Agency Review:	NA - Not Applicable	\checkmark	
	GEFEO Review:	N - No	~	
	Terminal Evaluation:	Y - Yes	~	GEF: Sound disaster waste management is a new area that GEF could suppor to avoid or minimize environmental and health risks associated with waste incineration. MEP: MEP may want to pay special attention to post-disaster environmental management, in particular on hazardous chemical
Mainstreaming 🕑				and disaster waste management. Policies, technical guidelines and relevant training may need to build capacity of local environmental officials and make them more prepared for future disasters.

	05550						
	GEFEO Review:	Y - Yes					
Criteria / Evaluative Evidence	Docur	nent					
	Terminal Evaluation		Visibility	Bounda	ry Inten	t Comments	
			NA - Not Applicable				🥖 Edit 🛅 Dele
			Add Nev Row	N			
	Agency Review		Visibility	Bounda	ry Inten	t Comments	
			NA - Not Applicable				🧷 Edit 前 Dele
			Add Nev Row	N			
	GEFEC) Review	Visibility	Boundary	/ Intent	Comments	
Environmental Stress Reduction			Y - Yes	Demonstratio		Component 1. Out of the initially identified 346 potential sites, Sichuan and local EPBs later confirmed that 121 are sites with potential sites with chemical contamination risks. Site investigation confirmed that 58 out of the 121 sites as priority risky sites that may become sources of chemical contamination. An inventory of these prioritized pollution sources and associated hazard maps were prepared according to project schedule. Two training manuals were prepared. A total of 62 project staff and 36 volunteers were trained prior to site visits and sampling to raise their awareness and professional efficiency.	Edit Dele
			Y - Yes	Demonstratio Site	n Intended	Based on results of rapid monitoring and assessment for the 58 priority sites, three categories of sites were classified: (a) three high risky	🥒 Edit 🗍 Dele





		🗗 Add New		
TE Report Qua	lity			
Criteria	Document	Rating		Comment
	Agency Review:	NA - Not Applicable	\checkmark	
TE Quality 🕖	GEFEO Review:	4 - Moderately Satisfactory	~	The Final Report has not provided evaluation ratings. The GRM Report had some information missing, such as sub-criteria for Sustainability/Risk Assessment and M&E.
Outcome	Agency Review:	NA - Not Applicable	\checkmark	
Assessment 🔍	GEFEO Review:	5 - Satisfactory	~	
	Agency Review:	NA - Not Applicable	~	
Consistency ᠑	GEFEO Review:	5 - Satisfactory	~	
	Agency Review:	NA - Not Applicable	~	
Sustainability Assessment ᠑	GEFEO Review:	4 - Moderately Satisfactory	~	The Final Report has not provided evaluation ratings. The GRM Report had some information missing, such as sub-criteria for Sustainability/Risk Assessment.
Evidence-based Lessons and	Agency Review:	NA - Not Applicable	~	
commendations	GEFEO Review:	5 - Satisfactory	\checkmark	
Clear Financial	Agency Review:	NA - Not Applicable	~	
Assessment 塱	GEFEO Review:	5 - Satisfactory	\checkmark	
	Agency Review:	NA - Not Applicable	~	
&E Asssessment	GEFEO Review:	2 - Unsatisfactory	~	The Final Report has not provided evaluation ratings. The GRM Report had some information missing, such as sub-criteria for M&E.
gency-Specific Criteria	Document	Rating		Comment
Attainment of	Agency Review:	NA - Not Applicable	~	
esults based on Indicators	GEFEO Review:	5 - Satisfactory	~	
onsultation with	Agency Review:	NA - Not Applicable	~	
Stakeholders 塱	GEFEO Review:	5 - Satisfactory	~	
	Agency	NA - Not Applicable	\checkmark	

	_												
Compliance with	Agency Review:	NA -	Not Applica	ble	\checkmark								
UNEG Norms ᠑	GEFEO Review:	NA -	Not Applica	ble	\checkmark	Not A	pplica	ble to	WB pro	ojects			
Addressing of ToR	Agency Review:	NA -	Not Applica	ble	\checkmark								
requests ᠑	GEFEO Review:	UA -	Unable to a	ISSESS	\checkmark								
Independence of	Agency Review:	NA -	Not Applica	ble	\checkmark								
Report ᠑	GEFEO Review:	5 - Sa	atisfactory		\checkmark								
Vo data entered yet. C	lick> 📲	Add N	lew Row	< to add	l new L	esson L	earned						
D	If ot	her e,	lew Row			esson L							
No data entered yet. C	If ot	her e, ise	lew Row										
No data entered yet. C Type of Recommendation	If oti typ plea	her e, ise :ify	lew Row Sound disast to avoid or n waste incine	F ter waste ma ninimize env	Recom	imenc ent is a n	lation ew area	S that GI			Edit	D	elet
No data entered yet. C Type of Recommendation	If oti typ plea spec	her e, ise :ify vities	Sound disasi to avoid or n	ter waste ma ninimize env ration. may want to ial managem r need to bui	anageme ironmen o pay sp eent, in p ient. Pol ld capac	ent is a n ital and l ecial atte particular icies, teo	ew area nealth ri ention to r on haz chnical g ral envir	S that Gl sks asso post-di ardous o uideline	saster chemical s and re	and levant		Î D	
No data entered yet. C	If oti typ plea spec	her e, ise :ify vities	Sound disast to avoid or n waste incine China's MEP environment disaster was training may	ter waste ma ninimize env ration. may want to al managem r need to bui more prepard uld help the managemer ntify and mi disaster waa	Recom anageme irronmen o pay sp eent, in p eent, Pol ld capac ed for fu client to t and pu tigate po ste man.	ent is a n tal and l ecial atte articular icies, teo ity of loc ture disa mainstr reparedm sst-disas	lation ew area health ri on haz hnical g al envir asters. eam of ess plar ter secc	S that GI sks asso post-di ardous o uideline onment environr ning to ndary e	saster chemical s and re al officia mental ri avoid or nvironm	and levant ls and sks into	Edit	_	elet

A product of the Global Environment Facility