

Independent Terminal Evaluation of the UNIDO-GEF Project:

PROJECT TITLE: “ENVIRONMENTALLY SOUND MANAGEMENT AND DISPOSAL OF POPS PESTICIDES AND PCBS”

PROJECT NUMBER: GF/NEP/10/001; SAP ID: 104052

CHECKLIST ON EVALUATION REPORT QUALITY

Report Quality Criteria	UNIDO Evaluation Group Assessment notes	Rating
A. The terminal evaluation report presented an assessment of all relevant outcomes and achievement of project objectives in the context of the focal area program indicators if applicable.	The evaluation report provides a sound assessment of the relevant outcomes.	5
B. The terminal evaluation report was consistent, the evidence presented was complete and convincing, and the ratings were well substantiated.	The terminal evaluation report was consistent; evidence presented complete and convincing; and the ratings well substantiated. All the main issues mentioned in the TOR were dealt with. It is not reported whether and to what extent the recommendations of the MTR were considered and implemented.	5
C. The terminal evaluation report presented a sound assessment of sustainability of outcomes.	The TE report presented a sound assessment of sustainability of outcomes and provided reasons which led to the given ranking of sustainability of outcomes.	5
D. The lessons and recommendations listed in the terminal evaluation report are supported by the evidence presented and are relevant to the GEF portfolio and future projects.	Recommendations are supported by evidence; however, these are only addressed to national authorities. Recommendations should have been made to include recommendations to project manager, UNIDO, national government, and GEF, separately.	3
E. The terminal evaluation report included the actual project costs (totals, per activity, and per source) and actual co-financing used.	The TE report did not include the actual project costs per outcome. A table on actual co-financing should have been included.	2
F. The terminal evaluation report included an assessment of the quality of the M&E plan at entry, the operation of the M&E system used during implementation, and the extent M&E was sufficiently budgeted for during preparation and properly funded during implementation.	The TE report included information on the M&E plan at entry, as well as implementation.	4

Rating system for quality of evaluation reports

A number rating 1-6 is used for each criterion: Highly Satisfactory = 6, Satisfactory = 5, Moderately Satisfactory = 4, Moderately Unsatisfactory = 3, Unsatisfactory = 2, Highly Unsatisfactory = 1, and unable to assess = 0.