A. Basic Information					
Country:	Armenia	Project Name:	GEOFUND 2: ARMENIA GEOTHERMAL PROJECT		
Project ID:	P114409	L/C/TF Number(s):	TF-93653		
ICR Date:	03/05/2013	ICR Type:	Core ICR		
Lending Instrument:	APL	Borrower:	REPUBLIC OF ARMENIA		
Original Total Commitment:	USD 1.50M	Disbursed Amount:	USD 1.24M		
Revised Amount:	USD 1.24M				
Environmental Category: C Global Focal Area: C					
Implementing Agencies:					

Renewable Resources and Energy Efficiency Fund

Cofinanciers and Other External Partners:

B. Key Dates					
Process	Date	Process	Original Date	Revised / Actual Date(s)	
Concept Review:	06/16/2008	Effectiveness:	04/30/2009	04/22/2009	
Appraisal:	07/15/2008	Restructuring(s):		06/29/2010	
Approval:	02/24/2009	Mid-term Review:			
		Closing:	04/30/2011	09/30/2012	

C. Ratings Summary				
C.1 Performance Rating by ICR				
Outcomes:	Satisfactory			
Risk to Global Environment Outcome	Low or Negligible			
Bank Performance:	Satisfactory			
Borrower Performance:	Satisfactory			

C.2 Detailed Ratings of Bank and Borrower Performance					
Bank	Ratings	Borrower	Ratings		
Quality at Entry:	Satisfactory	Government:	Satisfactory		
Quality of Supervision:	Satisfactory	Implementing Agency/Agencies:	Satisfactory		
Overall Bank Performance:	Satisfactory	Overall Borrower Performance:	Satisfactory		

C.3 Quality at Entry and Implementation Performance Indicators					
Implementation Performance	Indicators	QAG Assessments (if any)	Rating		
Potential Problem Project at any time (Yes/No):	No	Quality at Entry (QEA):	None		
Problem Project at any time (Yes/No):	No	Quality of Supervision (QSA):	None		
GEO rating before Closing/Inactive status	Satisfactory				

D. Sector and Theme Codes				
	Original	Actual		
Sector Code (as % of total Bank financing)				
Other Renewable Energy	100	100		
Theme Code (as % of total Bank financing)				
Climate change	100	100		

E. Bank Staff				
Positions	At ICR	At Approval		
Vice President:	Philippe H. Le Houerou	Shigeo Katsu		
Country Director:	Henry G. R. Kerali	Asad Alam		
Sector Manager:	Ranjit J. Lamech	Gary Stuggins		
Project Team Leader:	Ani Balabanyan	Ani Balabanyan		
ICR Team Leader:	Artur Kochnakyan			
ICR Primary Author:	Arsen Petrosyan			

F. Results Framework Analysis

Global Environment Objectives (GEO) and Key Indicators(as approved)

The project development objective is to assess the feasibility of exploratory drilling of the geothermal site with the estimated highest geothermal potential.

Revised Global Environment Objectives (as approved by original approving authority) and Key Indicators and reasons/justifications $N\!/\!A$

(a) GEO Indicator(s)

Indicator	Baseline Value	Original Target Values (from approval documents)	Formally Revised Target Values	Actual Value Achieved at Completion or Target Years
	Decision whether to drill osites, based on the results			for the geothermal
Value (quantitative or Qualitative)	N/A	"drill" or "not to drill" decision made		The Government decided to drill exploratory wells at the Karkar geothermal site
Date achieved	03/16/2009	04/30/2011		09/30/2012
Comments (incl. % achievement)	100% achievement. The achievement is due to results of studies confirming likelihood of existence of geothermal resource at Karkar site.			

(b) Intermediate Outcome Indicator(s)

Indicator	Baseline Value	Original Target Values (from approval documents)	Formally Revised Target Values	Actual Value Achieved at Completion or Target Years	
Indicator I ·	Surface geological map is	•	•	es, potential	
	recharging zones and surfa	ace geological mani	festations.		
		Surface geological		Surface geological	
Value		map is produced		map was produced	
(quantitative or	N/A	with proposed		with proposed areas	
Qualitative)		areas for further		for further	
		investigations		investigations	
Date achieved	03/16/2009	04/30/2011		09/30/2012	
Comments (incl. % achievement)	100% achievement. The results were achieved due to good quality results of field scouting and magneto-telluric (MT)sounding studies.				
Indicator 2 :	Two cross sections with the visualization of the rock formation resistivity are produced; also the need for performing 3D seismic is assessed.				

		Two cross sections	Two cross sections	
Value		are produced and	were produced and	
(quantitative or	N/A	the justification for	the justification for	
Qualitative)		3D survey is	3D survey was	
		assessed	assessed	
Date achieved	03/16/2009	04/30/2011	09/30/2012	
Comments (incl. % achievement)	100% achievement. The N results generated the requ		d interpretation of MT study ata.	
Indicator 3 :	extent and thickness of pe	rmeable zones are pro	n fault zones and the depth, duced; at least 3 cross sections, prepared. Drilling decision is	
		3D images of the site subsurface structure are	3D images of the site subsurface structure were	
Value	N/A	produced; and the cross sections are prepared.	produced; and the cross sections were prepared.	
(quantitative or		Justification,	Justification,	
Qualitative)		number, depth and	number, depth and	
		precise locations	precise locations of	
		of potential	potential	
		exploratory wells	exploratory wells	
		is determined.	were determined.	
Date achieved	03/16/2009	04/30/2011	09/30/2012	
Comments	100% achievement. The 3	D MT study and indep	pendent interpretation of results	
(incl. %			structures and provided the	
achievement)			drilling at the Karkar site.	
Indicator 4 :	The economic and financi conducted.	al rates of return are a	ssessed and sensitivity analysis	
Value (quantitative or Qualitative)	N/A	The economic and financial analysis is completed.	The economic and financial analysis for the Karkar site was completed.	
Date achieved	03/16/2009	04/30/2011	09/30/2012	
Comments (incl. % achievement)	100% achievement. The economic and financial analysis of the potential geothermal plant at the Karkar site was completed in timely manner to allow the Government to make a final decision on exploratory drilling.			

G. Ratings of Project Performance in ISRs

No.	Date ISR Archived	GEO	IP	Actual Disbursements (USD millions)
1	06/22/2009	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	0.00
2	10/14/2009	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	0.34

3	04/23/2010	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	0.61
4	10/09/2010	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	0.61
5	03/24/2011	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	0.62
6	08/12/2011	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	0.64
7	05/25/2012	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	0.98
8	08/12/2012	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	1.31
9	09/25/2012	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	1.31

H. Restructuring (if any)

Restructuring Date(s)	Board Approved GEO Change		tings at cturing IP	Disbursed at Restructuring in USD	Reason for Restructuring & Key Changes Made
				millions	
06/29/2010	N	S	S	0.61	The project was restructured to replace 3D seismic study of the Karkar field with 3D MT sounding study given the geology specifics identified during early phases of field studies. Moreover, closing date was extended by seventeen months to allow sufficient time for completion of the 3D MT study.

I. Disbursement Profile

