



ONUDI

UNITED NATIONS INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT ORGANIZATION ORGANISATION DES NATIONS UNIES POUR LE DEVELOPPEMENT INDUSTRIEL

Progress Report

(01 July 2018 - 30 June 2019)

Name of country: [Ukraine]

Title ¹	Improving energy efficiency and promoting renewable energy in the agro-food and other small and medium entreprises (SMEs) in Ukraine
GEF ID:	3917
UNIDO SAP ID:	11004
GEF Replenishment Cycle:	GEF-4
GEF Focal Area:	ССМ
Integrated Approach Pilot (IAP) Programs²:	IAP-Food Security
GEF Project Size:	Medium-sized Project (MSP)
UNIDO PTC Department:	ENE
UNIDO Project Manager:	Mr. Mark Draeck

I. Brief description of the project

I.1 Objective:

[The answer to the question should include: (i) the project's objective consistent with the one introduced in the CEO Endorsement/Approval document and (ii) core indicators.

The main goal of Project is to develop a market environment for scaling up energy efficiencies and enhanced use of renewable energy technologies for fuel switching in the energy intensive manufacturing small and medium enterprises (SMEs) in Ukraine as a basis for promoting their competitiveness while ensuring an integrated approach for lower carbon intensity and improvement in the local environment.

Project C	Core Indicators	Expected at Endorsement/Approval stage
	2.2 million tonnes (over 10 year lifetimes) by 2015 of CO2eq emission reductions as a result of the investments in industrial energy efficiency	1.9 million tonnes CO2eq emission reductions was achieved by 2018 resulting from investments made by industrial entities in energy efficiency and renewable energy. This excludes the investments made in Crimea which if verified and counted in the emission reduction estimate, likely would have resulted in the Project meeting or exceeding its target of 2.2 million tonnes CO2eq of emission reductions.

¹ As per approved CEO Endorsement document

² Only for **GEF-6 projects**, if applicable

2.	US\$44 million of investment mobilized	Only US\$ 9.6 million of investment was mobilized.					
3,	20 Gwh/yr energy saved as a result of the project	960 MWh/yr of energy saved as a result of the Project					
4.	30 GWh/yr of energy generated by renewable sources as a result of the project	208 MWh/yr of energy generated by renewable energy sources					

I.2 Baseline:

[Project manager is encouraged to use the baseline description from the earlier PIRs, if applicable, unless changes to the project's baseline have occurred during the reporting period.

The aim of the project is to develop and promote a market environment for introducing energy efficiency and enhanced use of RE technologies the Agro-Food and other Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in Ukraine with an aim towards scaling up activities to a nation-wide level in order to reduce energy use per unit of product, improve the productivity and competitiveness of units, and reduce overall carbon emissions/improve the local environment. The project worked at the organization level as well as at the policy level for achieving its aim. The project facilitates investments by EE and RE wherever required by using grants judiciously in order to overcome the inertia within sectors which inhibits from businesses. The project develops local expertise in the country through capacity building initiatives that focus on areas such as the development of implementation capabilities, and strengthening of financial and technical services providers to the energy-efficient sector.

II. Targeted results and progress to-date

II.1 Describe in tabular form the project's progress made in achieving its outputs against key performance indicator's targets in the project's M&E Plan/Log-Frame at the time of CEO Endorsement/Approval. Please expand the table as needed.

Project Strategy	KPIs/Indicators Ta	uget level	Progress to-date
Component 1 – Project com policies and development p	ponent 1. Policy sup rograms on Agro-foc	port. Integrating EE and od industry and SMEs in	RE priorities into national industrial Ukraine
Outcome 1: Policy and regula	tory framework regard	ing energy management a	nd use of renewable energy revised
Output 1.1: Analysis of the existing policy and regulatory framework regarding energy management and use of renewable energy performed Output 1.2: Recommendations for changing the policy and regulatory framework prepared Output 1.3: Policy incentives and institutional tools to promote EE and RE in SMEs put in place	measures and mechanisms	policy measures and mechanisms are introduced. Recommendations for primary and secondary legislation are debated in parliament / enacted by GoU. EE/RE objectives are integrated into national	- A broad analysis of Ukraine's changes of legislative policy in the field of energy efficiency and the use of renewable energy sources has been carried out in order to amend the regulatory framework in accordance with the norms of the European Union and the Association Agreement with the EU; - The Law of Ukraine "On Energy Efficiency" was developed; - The Law of Ukraine "On the energy efficiency of buildings" was analyzed; - The analysis of Ukrainian legislation in the sphere of biodiesel production was made; - review of legislation in the field of RE/EE submitted to and being under consideration in Parliament was made;
Component 2 – Energy Effic	iency and Renewab	le Energy Interventions	s krijek zaj waar graningespensoues koues zijske gran
Outcome 2: 10 Pilot projects, renewable energy, implement		uced energy costs due to	better energy management and use of
Output 2.1: Sector diagnostic reports on energy consumption prepared		newable energy / EMS	2 pilot projects were realized: - the cooling system on the State Organization Plant "Progress" was installed;

Output 2.2: Sector level energy management plans prepared Output 2.3: Projects/technologies selected for demonstration Output 2.4: Technology supply chain strengthening Output 2.5: Returns on investments in EE and RE pilot projects demonstrated	food and energy intensive SMEs, allowing greater profitability to be achieved. Number of energy efficiency / renewable energy projects in the agro-food sector implemented as a result (at least partially) of the demonstration effect achieved through the demonstration projects. Number of agro-food and energy intensive SMEs implementing ISO EMS as a result (at least partially) of the demonstration effect achieved through the demonstration effect achieved through the demonstration project	project development can be attributed in part to the demonstration effect achieved through the demonstration projects. Profitability of enterprises implementing demonstration projects is increased by project completion as a result of adopting EE and	The equipment was transferred to the balance sheet to 15 pilot projects: -LED lighting systems at 7 enterprises of agro-food complex to PJSC "Rivne Nonwovens Factory", LLC "Favor" (Kyiv), LLC "Dorment" (Mykolaiv), LLC "Lvivholod" (Lviv), Swat LLC (Dergachiv district, Kharkiv region), Agrotrans LLC (Bolgrad district) and AgroPlus 1 LLC (Stanytsya-Luhansk district,
Component 3 – Scaling up t	implemented	heine Investmente	
			tment in improved EE and RE
Output 3.1: Scaling up strategy on EE and RE in energy intensive SMEs prepared and operationalized Output 3.2: Technical and financing packages for SMEs developed	investments (domestic and foreign) in EE and RE projects in the agro-food	agro-food sector, in particular to investments that significantly improve energy efficiency or	- Road-maps of energy-efficient modernization on 8 agro-food industries for stakeholders was developed (namely confectionery and beverage, canning, dairy, meat, dairy, sugar, livestock) was conducted on July 24, 2018; - the biodiesel producer, LLC "Kilgan Ivan Stepanovych", was certified by the German company SGS and received a certificate of sustainability in accordance with the
			REDCert certification scheme;
Component 4 – Capacity Bu	uilding		
Outcome 4: Capacity of key p develop and implement energ			s and EE & RE technology suppliers to
Output 4.1: Key representatives of private		climate change mitigation	- The Expert discussion on topic "Green Bonds in Ukraine as a Mechanism for Attracting Investments in Renewable Energy

energy management standards developed for 2 selected universities Output 4.5: Best practices disseminated	result of attending training or study tours, using guidebooks, using the website or studying on the university study	Efficiency in Ukraine was made and published on website of UNIDO, Project website, YouTube and social pages; - The news about Project activities was published on Project website, mass media, and social pages (www.ree.org.ua);
	courses.	

III. Project Risk Management

III.1 Please indicate the <u>overall risk management</u>: (i) as identified in the CEO Endorsement document, and (ii) progress to-date.

[Describe in tabular form the priority activities undertaken during the reporting period in line with the project document. Note that risks, risk level and mitigations measures should be consistent with the ones identified in the CEO Endorsement/Approval document.

Risk level: H - High risk, S - Substantial risk, M - Modest risk, L - Low risk]

	(i) Risks	(i) Risk level	(i) Mitigation measures	(ii) Progress to-date	New defined risk ³
1	Policy and legislation promoting energy efficiency and renewable energy in the industrial sector of Ukraine not adequately developed and adopted	M	The Government of Ukraine is working towards creation of a comprehensive legislative basis supporting energy efficiency measures and promotion of renewable energy. Repeated disruptions of energy supply, as well as volatile energy prices, will ensure that the Ukrainian authorities place this issue high on their agenda. Strong cooperation with relevant public authorities (Ministry of Agrarian Policy and NAER) in the course of project implementation will also help to mitigate the risk and ensure that policy proposals are given proper consideration.		L
2	Low interest of the agro-food and energy intensive SMEs / industry in EE&RE projects		Businesses in the agro-food industry and energy intensive manufacturing SMEs operate in a highly competitive market and cutting production costs is imperative if they want to succeed. Energy costs are much easier to cut than, for example, wages or transport expenses, therefore, agro-food enterprises and other energy intensive manufacturing SMEs will be motivated to engage in energy efficiency activities and increased use of renewable energy. During the PPG phase enterprises showed a		L
			high level of interest and cooperation. This leads to expectation for their further strong participation within this project. Further, the risk will be mitigated by a comprehensive awareness-raising campaign conducted as part of the project.		
3	Financial attractiveness of investments in RE and EE investments	М	This risk also will be mitigated by the project policy-support activities in the framework of which the issue of creating innovative investment environment to promote EE & RE	tariff" creates an alarming investment climate	L

³ New risk added in reporting period. Check only if applicable.

			technologies in energy intensive manufacturing SMEs taking into account changing market conditions will be considered. Recently the Parliament of Ukraine has received a draft law that opens a possibility for international finance organizations to provide loans in local currency. This draft law has a support from the National Bank of Ukraine. The GEF contribution to the selected projects will enhance project security and respectively favorable loan conditions can be expected. The project will capitalize on the expertise gained by the financial sector and the selected SMEs from implementing the demonstration projects and disseminate the results of the demonstration project to mitigate the impact of the absence of conducive policies in engaging the financial sector. Ukrainian Government cooperation with the International Monetary Fund promotes		
4	EE and RE technologies prove to be not economically efficient for the agrofood industry and energy intensive manufacturing SMEs	L	macroeconomic stabilization. In this project proven EE & RE technologies that have been successfully introduced in the agro-food industry and energy intensive manufacturing SMEs of the EU member-states and other middle income and developing countries such as India and China, will be used, adjusted to Ukrainian needs and peculiarities. Involvement of international and national experts/companies with demonstrated and successful past experience will help to develop financial mechanisms, technological provisions and infrastructure support for improvement of energy efficiency and renewable source of energy usage in SMEs. Price raise on natural gas for all types of consumers creates a framework for broad use	Cancellation of VAT on components of energy- efficient equipment which are manufactured in Ukraine	L
5	Implementation risk	L	of biomass energy UNIDO has proven experience in the development and implementation of Industrial EE and RE projects and it has a strong knowledge of the key variables that determine the success and the failure of project implementation. The risk will be mitigated by close cooperation with Project partners, stakeholders and executors, detailed development of activities plan as well as efficient and transparent monitoring and evaluation system.		L

III.2 If the project received a <u>sub-optimal risk rating (H, S)</u> in the previous reporting period, please state the <u>actions taken</u> since then to mitigate the relevant risks.

IV Environmental and Social Safeguards (ESS) & Stakeholder Engagement

IV.1 As part of the requirements for projects from GEF-6 onwards, and based on the screening as per

	UNIDO Environmental and Social Safeguards Policies and Procedures (ESSPP), which category is project?
	Category A project
	Category B project
\boxtimes	Category C project
	(By selecting Category C, I confirm that the E&S risks of the project have not been escalated to Category A or B).

[Notes on new risks:

- If new risks have been identified during implementation due to changes in, i.e. project design or context, these should also be listed in (ii) below.
- If these new/additional risks are related to Operational Safeguards # 2, 3, 5, 6, or 8, please consult with UNIDO GEF Coordination to discuss next steps.
- Please refer to the UNIDO Environmental and Social Safeguards Policies and Procedures (ESSPP) on how to report on E&S issues. 1

	N/A dentified at time of leent sks N/A during tation e, please	Mitigation measures undertaken during the reporting period	Monitoring methods and procedures used in the reporting period
(i) Risks identified in ESMP at time of CEO Endorsement	N/A	N/A	N/A
(ii) New risks identified during project implementation (if not applicable, please insert 'NA' in each box)	N/A	N/A	N/A

IV.2 Please provide any feedback submitted by co-financiers, and other Partners/Stakeholders of the project (e.g. private sector, CSOs, NGOs, etc.).

IV.3 Please list and provide any relevant stakeholder consultation documents:

[Examples: Project Steering Committee minutes, Aide Memoire, Meeting Agenda, etc.
All attachments are to be named as per the GEF required format, i.e.: "GEFID_Document Title"]

V Knowledge Management

V.1 Please provide any **relevant knowledge management mechanisms** / **tools** that the project has generated:

[Examples: online information exchange/sharing platforms, relevant technical reports, UNIDO Indicator Tracking Tools, GEF Tracking Tools/Core Indicators, project websites, videos, publications, flyers, etc.

All attachments are to be named as per the GEF required format, i.e.: "GEFID_Document Title"]

Building on the lessons learned and requests received, UNID0 Project developed the website which allowed knowledge management and coordination in a more systematic manner. The website helped to strengthen the knowledge management component. Lessons learned, and insights gained from the implementation of Project was shared amount national stakeholders and national and international partners. The project website is a platform where information, lesson learned, and success stories of Project were shared. It is a platform for collecting and exchanging by information between investors pilot projects and participants, etc. Also, Project information was shared on social pages of team members, mass media.

VI Financial report

VI.1 Financial implementation of the project:

[Provide a description of the main expenditures as of 30 June 2019 (by major outputs and budget line, etc.) during the reporting - (attach copy of the latest Project Delivery Report for more detailed information). Also describe the current status of funds mobilization activities and their implications for programme implementation.]

Example:

*****	ပ်ရုံးရှဲပ	PROJECT DELIVERY REPORT	Propert	TRIDE - PROMOTING PRESPACED BOWASE AND BUILD - MORD BOWASE AND BUILD - MORD BOWASE IN CAMBROOK CAMBROOK	Project Wensger	Smi Sugi	Project Valuelly Status	Dr. of Acres - 2 s f 2 doris Brokersen
	Raporting Parted	M. 61.2016 - 2C.04.2615	Project Theres:	Ereig are Considered	Coentry	Carrelson	Region	Alfsa
4	Sponser III	4pertur	Corpor	Crack Description	Punt (1. Salvida).	Careny	Grand Status	Dress Valledy
- 1	사하(함 ~~ b=~~~~~~~	Cities Environment Fasting	200000000 Antonio Mario Mario Mario 1	CAMEROON PY BICHASE	<u></u>		ALDONY IS PROPERTY.	25 12 2014 - 21.12 2023

	Certification (Certification)	Parentari Oscopi Carroni Year	Congressor Congressor	Estparentualis Control Proc (c)	Espenditures Cuttack Term (475-4)	Yeari Septembri Sedgal po	Rosted Battet Ph	Geografica - Tribus recordis 145	Punda Gersalia Anti-gi	nepolices El	Total Controller Gre-iq
motemast.				vensivición			ini vektadir.			Course Alle	
125326 (61-91	Compound to REPORT	USB	610	1) 23	U10	U#D	UND	LID	β£Ç	មនេ	U/O
1190	Sun Eleven Consumaria	100.01	335	Ç.22	£26	11286 25	11 574 24	12,783,24	¥35.00	t a	10 720 3
1500	LIGH Speed	253	400	\$70	0.07	22.55125	\$3,550.29	37,542,25	0.03	218	M.600 1
1120	STATE TOWN	0,60	763	6,35	7.53	0,70	2.00	9 000	C.02	8.00	90
1750	Test Control (State	6,791.64	\$2,638.3°:	Liz n	127 40	134,237.57	134,937 20	126,591 20	8,3142 02	600	128,507 8
324	Tope opposite tacy	\$11.00)).c	Live	3,151,92	2,507.63	2 851 45	1 773 68	(4,597 27)	5.50	6,790.0
4160	Managara Managa	t.as	323	4.00	E CA	17,062 46	13,950 48	12 982.46	9450	925	12,567.
492.0	Ednikari.	262	\$ 500	4.00	c es	12,305.83	10,781.84	*1,342.65	COC	200	17.146
11%	Count Cred Cong	(1,777.25)	ಿದ	(204)	101)	te,seest	13.266.82	17,012.05	(5 T7L 34,	6.35	17.542.0
1000	Lengton To A SC	rec	50	615		323	C.to	153	E.03	7 (21.4	21,823.4
12-141-141-21	3964	LARG	(2,826.5T)	02E13E	2745	273,545,84	257 500.69	£13,272.46	3,767.84	21,37247	241,135.5
Tell CESTIFIE COST	Company 2 meetings a		Transferencia	507746±5077746±5	ELABOR GREEN	verser a starter a .	et formage et difference	Carlestantes Callestantes	مشروب المسروب	Commercial Company	*1.6**********
123351-01-21	Sramohod .	l ve	5 10	VM	U 503	UIO	UNG	บนา	410	uto.	שנט
1150	Sur! & Plan Coractaria	10,000.20	200	201	2.50	129,541 72	128,607.72	75.447.12	\$4,20,00	\$100	79.947.
1503	Logic Szeri	174428	(1:52:15)	1,132,75	0.25	2271236	22/23	\$27262	7734036	i. iii.	C2726
170	NAME OF PROPERTY AND PARTY.	\$6.52* 55	2.00	e.sc.	0.59	89.673.37	67,925 27	३३,५६७ हा	55,525.80	30.3	24.507.5
2104	Convector Services	127,125.55	32,774.60	20,227.75	10,621.13	471,626.11	471,025,71	X2,942.61	127,17450	2.32	201,000 1
3020	The Technological	03TT22	2,572.58	50.0	291292	113,72610	\$13,750.13	67.302.00	£53.12;	107	67,206.6
1525	harmon temp		že.	3,211,84	321188	450	î S	1.241.12		620 (
450 5	Premotes	6,566.00	E-45	202	222	10,007 10	15,006.15	4,173.10	3268 E2	ear)	1721
4120	Earteman	70,300 80	εω)	₹0€	6.00	215,281,88	214 331 9F	711,531.95		6.02	117,301,5
EIRG	Ester Direct Coults	17,112.15	\$153	¢æ	200	C) F) 1.11	64,995.63	7.593.40	91,514,70	0,01	7 880 4
120	Servit Con DC	100	305	7.03	822	ESC	\$27	and 7 yr tamp from the tame. NASS	•	TO SEC. 23	71324
en a contra contra a contra a contra		A decision of the decision									

VII Work Plan and Budget

VII.1 Please provide an updated project work plan and budget for the remaining duration of the project, as per last approved project extension. Please expand/modify the table as needed.

Outputs By Project Compane int		2:	0	O 4 ort. In	O 1	<u> [23]</u>	Q.7	RE pi	o 1	6 2	ar 3 - Ci 3 - o natio	onal in	Q 1	0 2	3	o and d	1463	0.2		g 4	131	Q Z	er 6 Q 3	io 4	i Ci ti	G V	er,7- G 3	G 4	GEF Grant Budget Available (US\$)
Outcome 1:	Policy	and r	egulat	ory fra	amewo	ork reg	jarding	g ener	gy ma	nagen	nent a	nd use	e of re	newat	le ene	ergy re	evised		(f) (f)		1500	2-16-1		10000	3.00	10.00	Grade C	100000	Sanda Service
Output 1.1: Analysis of the existing policy and regulatory framework regarding energy managem ent and use of renewable energy performed	D on e	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	D on e	Do ne	
Output 1.2: Recomme ndations for changing the policy and regulatory framework prepared	D on e	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	D on e	Do ne	

Output 1.3: Policy incentives and institutiona I tools to promote EE and RE in SMEs put in place	D on e	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	D on e	Do ne																
Component	2 – E	nergy	Effic	ency	and F	lenew	able l	Energ	y Inte	venti	ons															44 (S)			
Outcome 2:	stcome 2: 10 Pilot projects, demonstrating the reduced energy costs due to better energy management and use of renewable energy, implemented.																30 (SARS) (SAS												
Output 2.1: Sector diagnostic reports on energy consumpti on prepared	D on e	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	D on e	Do ne																
Output 2.2: Sector level energy managem ent plans prepared	D on e	Do ne	Do пе	Do ne	D on e	Do ne																							
Output 2.3: Projects/te chnologies selected for demonstra tion	D on e	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	D on e	Do ne																
Output 2.4: Technolog y supply chain strengthen ing	D on e	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	D on e	Do ne																

Output 2.5: Returns on investment s in EE and RE pilot projects demonstra ted	D on e	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do пе	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	D on e	Do ne									
Component	3=8	calin	g up S	trate	y and	l Cata	lyzing	Inve	stmen	ts				G-107-10 															
Outcome 3:	Energ	y-inte	nsive (SMEs	in Ukr	aine ii	ncreas	e thei	rinves	tment	in im	orovec	EE a	nd RE	Tech	nologi	es				365.25					51155 530			
Output 3.1: Scaling up strategy on EE and RE in energy- intensive SMEs prepared and operationa lized	D on e	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	D on e	Do ne													
Output 3.2: Technical and financing packages for SMEs developed	D on e	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	D on e	Do ne	Done												
Component	4 - C	apaci	ty Bui	lding					grag at	NO. 1010			100			(9)										8 (8.16)			

Outcome 4: Capacity of key players such as senior managers of SMEs, ESCOs and EE & RE technology suppliers to develop and implement energy efficiency projects enhanced

Output 4.1: Key representa tives of private and public institutions trained on EE and RE opportuniti es	D on e	Do ne	Do ле	Do ne	D on e	Do ne												
Output 4.2: Guidebook s on EE and RE for energy intensive SME prepared	D on e	Do ne	Do пе	Do ле	Do ne	Do пе	Do ne	D on e	Do ne									
Output 4.3: Website launched and maintaine d	D on e	Do ne	Do пе	Do ne	D on e	Do ne												
Output 4.4: Study course on energy managem ent standards developed for 2 selected universitie s	D on e	Do ne	D on e	Do ne														
Output 4.5: Best practices disseminat ed	D on e	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do пе	Do ne	Do пе	Do пе	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	Do ne	D on e	Do ne	

VIII Synergies

VIII.1 Synergies achieved:

[Describe potential synergies arising out of closer integration of the service modules within the project or cooperation with (external) multilateral and bilateral projects/programmes.]