





Global Environment Facility (GEF)

Ministry of Construction and Urban Development (MCUD)

TERMINAL EVALUATION REPORT

Nationally Appropriate Mitigation Actions in the Construction Sector in Mongolia

UNDP PIMS no.: 5315 GEF ID no.: 5830 UNDP Project Id.: 00086244

MONGOLIA

GEF-5; GEF Climate Change Mitigation; CC2-Promote market transformation for energy efficiency in industry and the building sector

Evaluation timeframe: May 2016 – January 2020

January 2020

Jan VAN DEN AKKER (Mr)

DORJPUREV Jargal (Dr)



Disclaimer

Please note that the analysis and recommendations of this evaluation report do not necessarily reflect the views of the United Nations Development Programme, its Executive Board or the United Nations Member States. This publication reflects the views of its authors.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank UNDP Mongolia, MCUD, the Project Implementation Unit and the stakeholders met during the evaluation mission for the assistance and information provided.

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

ADB	Asian Development Bank
AWP	Annual Work Plan
BEEP	Building Energy Efficiency Project
BCNS	Building Construction Norms and Standards
Cal	calorie
CBB	Consumption-based billing
CDC	Construction Development Center
CEO	Chief Executive Officer
CEO ER	CEO Endorsement Request
СО	Country Office
CO _{2-ea}	Carbon dioxide (equivalent)
CSO	Civil society organization
EA	GEF Executing Agency (UNDP Implementing Partner)
ECF	Environment and Climate Fund (of MET)
EE	Energy efficiency
EoP	End of project
ERC	Energy Regulatory Commission
ESMAP	Energy Sector Management Assistance Program
ESCO	Energy service company
Gcal	Gigacalorie
GCF	Green Climate Fund
GEF	Global Environment Facility
GGGI	Global Green Growth Institute
GHG	Greenhouse gas
GIZ	Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit GmbH (Germany)
GJ	Gigajoule (= 1 billion Joule)
GWh	Gigawatt-hour (= 1 billion Watt-hour)
HE	High efficiency
HPUA	Housing and Public Utility Authority
IA	GEF Implementing Agency
ICLEI	'Local Governments for Sustainability
IGES	Institute for Global Environmental Strategies
К	Kelvin
ktCO ₂	Kilotons of CO ₂
ktoe	kiloton of oil equivalent
kW	Kilowatt
LED	Light-emitting diode
M&E	Monitoring and evaluation
MACC	Marginal abatement cost curve
MEPS	Minimum energy performance standard
MOE	Ministry of Energy
MOU	Memorandum of understanding
ME(GD)T	Ministry of Environment (Green Development) and Tourism
MGCF	Mongolian Green Credit Fund (MGCF
MRV	Monitoring, Reporting, and Verification
MCUD	Ministry of Construction and Urban Development
MUST	Mongolian University of Science and Technology
MW	Megawatt (= 1 million Watt)
NAMA	Nationally Appropriate Mitigation Actions
NDC	Nationally Determined Contributions
NGO	Non-governmental organization
NPC	National Project Coordinator
	-

NPD	National Project Director
OECD	Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development
PIF	Project Identification Form
PIR	Project Implementation Review
PIU	Project Implementation Unit
PSC	Project Steering Committee
RE	Renewable energy
RTA	Regional Technical Advisor
SDG	Sustainable Development Goal
TNA	Technology needs assessment
ТоС	Mongolian Sustainable Finance Association
ToR	Terms of Reference
tCO ₂	Ton of carbon dioxide
UB	Ulaanbaatar
UBHD	Ulaanbaatar District Heating Company
UNDP	United Nations Development Programme
UNEP	UN Environment (formerly known as UN Environment Programme)
UNFCCC	UN Framework Convention on Climate Change
USD	United States dollar
WB	World Bank

TABLE OF CONTENTS

AB	BREVIATIO	INS AND ACRONYMS	3
TA	BLE OF COI	NTENTS	5
us		S	7
CVI	COTIVE SC		ō
- E>	cecutive Su	immary (Mongolian)	
1.	INTROD	UCTION	.24
	1.1 Pur	RPOSE OF THE TERMINAL EVALUATION AND OBJECTIVES	24
	1.1.1	Background	24
	1.1.2	Purpose of the Terminal Evaluation (TE)	24
:	1.2 Scc	DPE AND METHODOLOGY	25
	1.3 Str	RUCTURE OF THE TE REPORT	27
2.	PROJECT	T DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND	.28
	2.1 Coi	NTEXT AND PROBLEMS THAT THE PROJECT SOUGHT TO ADDRESS	28
2	2.2 Pro	DJECT DESCRIPTION AND STRATEGY	31
	2.2.1	Objective, outcomes, and indicators	31
	2.3 Pro	DJECT PARTNERS AND STAKEHOLDERS	35
	2.3.1	Main project partners and project implementation arrangement	35
	2.3.2	Stakeholders	36
3.	FINDING	SS: PROJECT DESIGN AND STRATEGY	.38
	3.1 Rei	EVANCE AND DESIGN	38
	3.2 Col	NCEPTUAL 74TION AND RESULTS FRAMEWORK	41
	3.3 RAT	TINGS FOR PROJECT DESIGN	44
4.	FINDING	SS: PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION	.45
	4.1 Imf	PLEMENTATION AND MANAGEMENT	45
	4.1.1	Management arrangements and adaptive management	45
	4.1.2	Monitoring and evaluation	45
4	4.2 Sta	AKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT AND RELATIONS	47
4	4.3 Pro	DJECT FINANCE AND CO-FINANCING	48
4	4.4 RAT	TINGS OF PROJECT M&E AND PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION/EXECUTION	49
5.	FINDING	SS: PROGRESS TOWARDS OUTCOMES AND OBJECTIVE	.50
ļ	5.1 INT	RODUCTION	50
!	5.2 Pro	DGRESS IN ACHIEVING OUTPUTS AND OUTCOMES	50
	5.2.1	Outcome 1 Effective EE policymaking informed by robust energy consumption monitoring and reference	се
		baselines for the construction sector	50
	5.2.2	Outcome 2 Prioritized NAMA in the construction sector developed and funded for implementation	53
	5.2.3	Outcome 3 Effective climate change mitigation policies strengthened by NAMA impacts ascertained	
		through the established MRV system	57
!	5.3 Pro	OGRESS TOWARDS THE OBJECTIVE	58
	5.3.1	Gender and capacity building	58
	5.3.2	Objective and GHG emission reduction	59
	5.4 Sus	STAINABILITY	61
6.	CONCLU	ISIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	.64

UNDP/GEF Mongolia	Term
NAMA in Construction Sector	

6.1	GENERAL CONCLUSIONS	64
6.2	RECOMMENDATIONS	67
6.3	LESSONS LEARNED	
ANNEX A.	TERMS OF REFERENCE (TOR)	71
ANNEX B.	ITINERARY OF THE EVALUATION MISSION	75
ANNEX C.	LIST OF DOCUMENTS COLLECTED AND REVIEWED	79
ANNEX D.	QUESTIONNAIRE AND EVALUATION MATRIX	80
ANNEX E.	CONSULTANT CODE OF CONDUCT FORM	83
ANNEX F.	ABOUT THE REVIEWERS	84
ANNEX G.	AUDIT TRAIL	85

LIST OF BOXES

Box 1	RATING AND RATING SCALES FOR EVALUATION CRITERIA IN UNDP/GEF PROJECTS	25
Box 2	EVALUATION METHOD AND APPROACH	26
Box 3	CHARACTERISTICS AND ENERGY DEMAND IN BUILDING SECTOR	28
Box 4	WHAT ARE NAMAS?	32
Box 5	SUMMARY OF THE PROJECT OBJECTIVE, OUTCOMES, AND OUTPUTS	33
Box 6	PROJECT MANAGEMENT SETUP	36
Box 7	LIST OF PROJECT STAKEHOLDERS	36
Box 8	ACTION PLAN, GREEN DEVELOPMENT POLICY	39
Box 9	SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS WITH RELEVANCE TO THE NAMA PROJECT	40
Box 10	SUMMARY OF THE UNDP/GEF BEEP PROJECT	42
Box 11	EVALUATION RATINGS OF PROJECT DESIGN AND RELEVANCE	44
Box 12	TIMEFRAME OF PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION	46
Box 13	UNDP/GEF BUDGET AND ACTUAL EXPENDITURES AND CO-FINANCING DATA	48
Box 14	EVALUATION RATINGS OF PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION AND EXECUTION	49
Box 15	GHG CALCULATION METHODOLOGY FOR DISTRICT HEATING AND ELECTRICITY CONSUMPTION IN THE CONSTRUCTION SECTOR	52
Box 16	MARGINAL ABATEMENT COST CURVE FOR SIX EFFICIENT TECHNOLOGIES IN BUILDINGS	55
Box 17	APPLICABLE BUILDING ENERGY EFFICIENCY TECHNOLOGIES	56
Box 18	DEMO PROJECT AND TRAINING BENEFICIARIES BY GENDER	58
Box 19	DIRECT GHG EMISSION REDUCTION ESTIMATES (PILOT/DEMO PROJECTS)	59
Box 20	DEVELOPMENT PROGRESS (OBJECTIVE AND INDICATORS)	60
Box 21	EXAMPLES OF MONGOLIAN PROPOSED ENERGY LABELS	63
Box 22	ACHIEVEMENTS OF NAMA PROJECT IN ADDRESSING BARRIERS TO ENERGY-EFFICIENCY IN THE CONSTRUCTION SECTOR	64
Box 23	EVALUATION RATINGS OF THE NAMA PROJECT	66
Box 24	DEEPENING EFFORTS TO ACCELERATE NDC IMPLEMENTATION IN MONGOLIA	68
Box 25	IMPACTS OF ENERGY-EFFICIENCY INITIATIVES IN THE CONSTRUCTION/BUILDING SECTOR	69
Day 26		

Box 26 MONITORING OF GHG EMISSION REDUCTION IN THE DEMO PROJECTS IN BUILDINGS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Project Title:	Nationally Appropriate Mitigation Acti	ons in the Constr	uction Sector in M	longolia
GEF Project ID:	5830		<u>at endorsement</u> <u>(USD)</u>	<u>at completion</u> (USD)
UNDP PIMS ID:	5315	GEF financing:	1,269,863	
Country:	Mongolia	IA/EA own:	100,000	
Region:	Asia and the Pacific	Government:	3,350,000	
Focal Area:	Climate Change	Other:	3,450,000	
FA Objectives, (OP/SP):	CCM2 for GEF 5: Promote market transformation in the energy efficiency industry and building sector	Total co- financing:	6,900,000	
Executing Agency:	Ministry of Construction and Urban Development (MCUD)	Total project Cost:	8,169,863	
		Approve implementati	d by GEF for ion: 16 May 2016	
Other Partners involved:	Ministry of Environment and Tourism ¹ (MET); Energy Regulatory	ProDoc (date pro	c Signature Dject began):	28 June 2016 ^{*)}
Commission (ERC); Construction Development Center (CDC)	Operational closing date	Proposed: 31 Dec 2019	Actual: 31 April 2020	

*) Actual project inception took place in April 2017 due to the restructuring of the government after the 2016 parliamentary election.

Description of the Project

With an increase in housing demand from economic growth and a surging rural to urban migration, the construction sector in Mongolia has been thriving over the past decade. As the building stock continues to grow, energy demand will simultaneously escalate. The heating season lasts for eight months during the cold winter period which exerts additional constraints on energy demand. Energy production and consumption form by far the largest contributor to total greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions in the country, while the building subsector is the largest contributor with the energy sector. Mongolia's GHG mitigation policy is primarily directed towards burning coal by more environmentally friendly technologies, as well as focusing on the efficient use of the electricity and heat produced from coal burning, using energy-efficient appliances and equipment and by reducing heat losses in buildings. Concerning the latter, the Government aims to reduce 20% of heat loss from buildings by 2020 and 40% by 2030 compared to 2014 levels. However, at the time of the formulation of the NAMA project, several regulatory, institutional, technical, financial and social barriers for the scaling up of initiatives in the construction sector remained.

Nationally Appropriate Mitigation Actions (NAMA) refer to a set of policies and voluntary actions that countries undertake as part of a commitment to reduce greenhouse gas emissions; a concept introduced at the Bali Conference of the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) in 2007. The Government of Mongolia recognizes NAMA) as a comprehensive instrument to translate the targets into action. To address the before-mentioned barriers to energy efficiency (EE) in the construction sector, the Ministry of Construction and Urban Development (MCUD) and the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), with financial support from the Global Environment Facility (GEF) formulated the Nationally Appropriate Mitigation Actions in the Construction Sector in Mongolia Project (hereafter referred to as the 'NAMA Project'). The objective of the NAMA Project is to "facilitate market transformation for energy efficiency in the construction sector through the development and implementation of Nationally Appropriate Mitigation Actions (NAMA) in Mongolia". This objective will be achieved by removing barriers to increased adoption of energy efficiency technology in the construction sector through three components:

• Establishment of baseline energy consumption and GHG emissions in the construction sector;

¹ Before known as Ministry of Environment, Green Development and Tourism (MEGDT)

- Development and implementation of NAMA in the construction sector;
- Measurement, Reporting, and Verification (MRV) system for NAMA in the construction sector

The Project Document was signed in June 2016, but due to the restructuring of the government resulted from the 2016 parliamentary election, project inception only took place in April 2017. Nonetheless, thereafter implementation proceeded smoothly and the Project's operations were closed in December 2019, while the Project was extended until the end of April for closure purposes.

Achievements – summary

Objective:	To facilitate market transformation for energy efficiency in the construction
	sector through the development and implementation of NAMA;
Goal:	Reduced GHG emissions in the construction sector ²
.Indicators and end-of-project (EoP) target:	
 Cumulative CO2 emissions reduced from 	Based on the first five (demo) projects the lifetime (taken conservatively as
the start of the project to EoP: 10,709 tCO_{2eq}	14 years) energy savings and GHG emission reduction are 134 GWh and
from baseline, 2,014 tC _{O2e})	48,140 tCO ₂ . The target values (GHG emission reduction) of the project
 Cumulative heat and electrical energy 	logframe are not very well chosen: with demos just installed by EoP, the
savings due to the Project by EoP: 18,722	savings at EoP are just a fraction of lifetime energy savings (which is a better
MWh, from baseline 3,521 MWh)	indicator for direct emission reduction). Direct emission reduction will be
	higher if the sixth demo is added (calculations still need to be done)
 Number of construction sector NAMA 	This indicator has created a lot of confusion, due to different interpretation
developed and implemented (target: one).	by stakeholders of the 'NAMA' concept, ranging from the individual demo
	project labeled as 'NAMA', to the formulation of a follow-up NAMA
	programme (in construction). The latter has not happened (even though this
	was also discussed during the Mid Term Review but perhaps due to project
	management changes not taken up in the project execution, and also because
	of the limit in the budget available in this medium-size project). The
	Evaluation Team feels that the Project as such has been 'the NAMA' having a
	GHG baseline methodology development, a MRV system, capacity building,
	and with specific investment (demonstratration) projects.

Outcome indicators and outputs	Achievement	
Outcome 1		
sector	energy consumption monitoring and reference baselines for the construction	
Indicators and end-of-project (EoP) target:		
a) Number of energy consumption and	The GHG inventory methodology was developed during 2017-2019. The	
GHG emission inventory systems	methodology was reviewed by an inter-ministerial Science and Technology	
operational and adopted for the	Committee of MCUD, MET, and MOE; and formally adopted by Ministerial	
construction sector NAMA Target: one	Order. A web-based energy consumption and GHG inventory system	
system by Year 3	operational and adopted.	
b) Number of MOU to operationalize the	A MOU between MCUD and ERC was signed on 4 January 2019. In addition,	
data collection frameworks for the	"conducting GHG inventory and MRV activities in the construction sector" is	
energy consumption and GHG inventory	included in the State Policy on the Construction Sector (Clause No.5.3.2) and its	
system	Action plan, an official document approved by the government in February	
Target: one by EoP	2019. It also will support future climate change mitigation action in future	
	policy documents, such as new versions of NDC	
c) Number of public and private sector	There are 7 entities already involved and supporting the GHG inventory system,	
entities supporting the sustainable	directly and indirectly, including MCUD, ERC, Land Management Agency (of	
operation of the GHG inventory system	MCUD), Ulaanbaatar municipality, energy utilities, and other agencies.	
Target: four by EoP		
Outputs of Outcome 1:		

² Objective and goal as mentioned in the ProDoc's results framework

Outo	come indicators and outputs	Achievement
1.1.	Designed and completed capacity	The GHG inventory methodology has been developed and received approval by
	building development programs for	Ministerial order. The GHG inventory methodology is developed with
	decision-makers and agencies on data	modifications from the CDM methodology AMS-II.E and allows for reductions in
	collection and sustainable operation of	emissions from mitigation measures to be quantified. The modified
	the GHG inventory systems	methodology has been used for the development of the standardized baseline,
1.2.	Established and operational energy	which is planned to be submitted to UNFCCC. The GHG inventory is web-based
	consumption and GHG inventory	(http://ghgconstruction.gov.mn) and will continue to be hosted by the
	system for the construction sector	Construction development Center post-project (under agreement with MCUD).
	with improved data availability and	The establishment of the GHG inventory was accompanied by the training of
1 2	Methodology	decision-making and technical staff.
1.5	baseline on energy consumption and	
	CHC omission for the construction	
	sector	
Outo	come 2	
Prio	ritized NAMA in the construction sector de	eveloped and funded for implementation
Indi	cators and end-of-project (EoP) target:	
d)	Number of prioritized NAMA pilots in	Six pilot (demonstration) projects identified were approved by the and started
1	the construction sector developed and	implementation during 2018-2020: ERC (rooftop solar system); CDC Lab
t	funded for the implementation by the	(insulation); UB Municipality (installation of heat meters); Soum heating system
	project	(high-efficiency boiler in Dundgovi aimag, Erdenedalai soum, School building
-	Target: one by EoP	retrofit in Gobi-Altai aimag, Jargalant soum (roof renovation and indoor heating
		system renovation); MUST (rooftop solar system).
e)	No. of individual EE interventions that	The following type of EE measures are installed at the demo sites:
	constitute the construction sector	1. Roof insulation; 2. Indoor heating system renovation; 3. EE heat-only-boiler;
-	NAMA pilot	4. Pre-insulated pipes; 5. Water softener; 6. automated heat pump; 7. Rooftop
	larget: six by Year 4 (up from in one	PV; 8 Three-glazed windows; 9. Heat meters
٤)	Daseline	Three private costor optitics including VAC Dank Aris Dank and Manaphian
т)	No. of identified fully capable and	Inree private sector entities including XAC Bank, Arig Bank, and Mongolian
	qualified private and/or public sector	green financing schemes for EE buildings with support from the NAMA Eacility
	prioritized NAMA pilot projects:	the Municipal Government of Illaanbaatar will implement the Mongolia –
-	Target: three by Year 4 (up from one in	Energy Performance Contracting for Residential Retrofitting in Illaanhaatar
	the baseline)	City, supported by Global Green Growth Institute (GGGI) and ICLEL. Retrofitting
		of residential building was prioritized as a NAMA under Mongolia's NDC
Out	outs:	
2.1	Developed framework for evaluating	Detailed marginal abatement cost curves (MACC) were developed by the
	appropriate climate change mitigation	project for a subset of the technologies mentioned in the TNA, namely high-
	interventions; and identified priority	efficiency (HE) boiler, improved insulation, triple-glazed windows, improved
	climate change mitigation actions	ventilation with heat recovery system, solar panels and efficient lighting. The
		findings from the MACC modeling show that efficient lighting and ventilation
		systems are the most economically viable technologies (in terms of abatement
		cost), however, the emission reduction potential of HE boilers and insulation
		measures is much larger.
2.4	Developed and implemented	The MACC-described EE and other technologies, as well as rooftop PV, have
	construction sector pilot NAMA	been installed in six pilot projects that have been supported by the NAMA (in
		which the pilot could be supported by a maximum of 20% of investments
		costs):
		1. School building retrofit in Gobi-Altai aimag, Jargalan soum (EE measures are
		root renovation and indoor heating system renovation);
		2. CDC Laboratory Building retrofit (EE measure: three-glazed windows and
		basement wall isolation);
		3. Soum central heating system renovation in Dundgobi aimag, Erdenedalai
		sourin (EE measures: HE bolier, insulation of neating pipelines, installation of the water softener equipment, heat meters, nump and its frequency.
		convertor controlling systems):
		נטוועבונטו נטוונוטווווצ געגנבווגן,

UNDP/GEE Mongolia	Terminal Evaluation report	10
ond roll mongona		· •
NAMA in Construction Sector	2010	
NAMA III CONSULUCIION SECIO	2013	

Outcome indicators and outputs		Achievement
		4. ERC new office building (EE/RE measures: solar panel module with smart
		metering system)
		The following projects started implementation during Q1 2020:
		5. Municipality building (installation of heat meters in 24 buildings).
		6. MUST new laboratory building (rooftop solar panels).
2.2	Completed operational structure for	The Project contributed to the development of State policy on the Construction
	coordination among government	sector by providing inputs on low-carbon urban development issues. The
	agencies and key stakeholders for	project has supported the update of Building Code, Norms and Standards
	NAMA	(BCNS)23-02-09 on building energy efficiency aspects. A report on BCNS
		update and development of a roadmap of BCNS was developed in March 2018,
		although the updated BCNSs have not been officially adopted.
2.3	Completed capacity development of	A number of capacity building trainings were organized in 2018 and 2019 on
	private and public sector actors on the	GHG inventory and databases, use and interpretation of MACC, and awareness
	successful development and	on financial instruments for energy efficiency in buildings with participants
	implementation of NAMAs; and in the	from government entities, developers, housing associations, construction, and
	supportive identification of financing	financial sector. The project investigated financing opportunities that will
	options	enable the adoption of energy efficiency technologies in the construction
2.5	Developed financial tools that support	sector. Guidance for financial institutions on conducting pre-and post-
	the implementation of NAMA in the	evaluation of EE activities is provided in the report "Financial Schemes for
	construction sector	Energy Efficient Buildings in Mongolia". Financial instruments are risk
		mitigation tools that help to mobilize private capital for investment. The tool
		proposed is a partial risk guarantee, which is designed to make a project
		'bankable' by reducing project risk, lowering the cost of capital and extending
		tenors. The tool can be used in the building sector in Mongolia
Out	come 3	
Effe	ctive climate change mitigation policies str	engthened by NAMA impacts ascertained through the established MRV system
Indi	cators and end-of-project (EoP) target:	
g)	MRV system for construction sector	Five key GHG and non-GHG parameters and indicators were identified and
	emissions set up and operational	agreed on to be monitored as part of the NAMA. The MRV methodology and
	Target: one by EoP	guidelines have been developed
h)	No of institutions adopting and	Developed and implemented measurement of GHG emission reduction from
	operationalizing MRV systems	three demo projects (Jargalan school and Erdenedalai soum heat supplier to
	Target: two in year 3	which CDC Lab was added in Oct 2019) as part of the MRV system for the
		construction sector NAMA. MCUD, financial institutions, project developers as
		well as energy auditors will be able to adopt and use the MRV system
i)	Number of construction sector NAMA	MRV activities have been conducted at two construction-completed demo sites
	case studies using the approved MRV	with enough info to formulate case studies. The results have been incorporated
	framework and incorporated in policy	in the finalization of the MRV Guidebook (published Oct 2019)
	documents. Target: three by EoP	
Out	outs:	
3.1	Defined key indicators (GHG and non-	The MRV methodology and guideline developed; assessed and discussed
	GHG) to be monitored for the selected	through the Experts' council at CDC. Key GHG and non-GHG parameters and
	mitigation actions	indicators were identified and agreed on 5 required indicators for construction
3.2	Developed and implemented an	sector NAMAs: 1) GHG emission reduction in buildings (in tCO2eq/year); 2)
	accurate MRV system for the	Specific CO2 emissions for the whole building (in tCO2/m2/year); 3) Primary
	construction sector NAMA	energy use (MWh/year); 4) Energy cost savings (MNT/year); 5) Room
3.3	Designed and completed capacity	temperature (°C); Gender and children. The output from MRV of the first demo
	development in the implementation	projects has been fed into the GHG database system. The MRV system
	and institutionalization of the MRV	developed and implemented for demo projects, accompanied by capacity
	system	building and institutionalization with the Minister's order legalizing measuring
		and reporting of mitigation measures in the construction sector.

Conclusions and summary of ratings

Based on the above-given description of achievements, implementation, design, and strategy, sustainability and relevance, the Terminal Evaluation Team comes to the following ratings:

UNDP/GEF Mongolia	Terminal Evaluation report	11
NAMA in Construction Sector	2019	

1. Monitoring and Evaluation	rating	2. IA& EA Execution	rating
M&E design at entry	S	Quality of UNDP Implementation	S
M&E Plan Implementation	S	Quality of Execution - Executing Agency and PIU)	HS
Overall quality of M&E	S	Overall quality of Implementation / Execution:	S
3. Assessment of Outcomes	rating	4. Sustainability	rating
Relevance	R	Governance and financial	L
Effectiveness	S	Socio-economic	ML
Efficiency	S	Environmental:	N/A
Overall Project Outcome Rating	S	Overall likelihood of sustainability:	ML

Ratings for Outcomes, Effectiveness, Efficiency, M&E,	Sustainability ratings:
IA&EA Execution	
6: Highly Satisfactory (HS): no shortcomings	4. Likely (L): negligible risks to sustainability
5: Satisfactory (S): minor shortcomings	3. Moderately Likely (ML): moderate risks
4: Moderately Satisfactory (MS)	2 Moderately Unlikely (MU): significant risks
3.Moderately Unsatisfactory (MU): significant shortcomings	1. Unlikely (U): severe risks
 Unsatisfactory (U): major problems Highly Unsatisfactory (HU): severe problems 	Relevance ratings
Additional ratings where relevant:	1. Not Relevant (NR)
Not Applicable (N/A)	Impact Ratinas:
Unable to Assess (U/A)	3. Significant (S);
	2 Minimal (M).

1. Negligible (N)

Relevance

The project is fully in line with several energy (efficiency) and climate change mitigation policies and strategies and has addressed some barriers to the more widespread dissemination of EE interventions in the Construction sector.

• Attainment of outcomes and the objective; effectiveness

With most of the demo projects operating and installation of the last two to be started soon, it has been estimated that the energy savings from these projects will lead to satisfactory energy savings and thus GHG emission reduction. The 'soft assistance' has resulted, as planned, in the development of a methodology for the GHG inventory in construction (which will be hosted, web-based by CDC), MACC curve development, design of development of MRV methodology and tools, accompanied by capacity strengthening and training activities.

• Overall implementation and execution; efficiency

The project is being adaptively managed, guided by the UNDP Country Office, and implemented in a cost-effective manner. The PIU has effectively engaged with all stakeholders relevant to the project and managed to get a strong commitment from the MCUD. The project start was delayed with almost one year after the signature of the Project document. However, the PIU has managed to implement the Project in a shorter implementation period (32 months) than originally planned (42 months) and with satisfactory results. Co-financing has been mobilized in large part linked with the realization of the demo projects.

o Design logic and strategy

Although the logical framework, in general, has been appropriately designed in terms of outcome, there has been confusion on the definition of "Nationally Appropriate Mitigation Actions". One might have expected the Project to result in a sector-wide NAMA, the TE Team observes that the Project itself has been 'the NAMA' with a GHG inventory, MRV system formulated and some pilots implemented (which confusingly were referred to as NAMAs). What has been missing in the design is the institutionalization of the NAMA concept; in particular, how GHG inventory and MRV methodology will be used systematically not only for a few demo projects but for EE and low-carbon interventions in the construction sector as a whole.

o Sustainability

The lack of NAMA institutionalization can be considered a missed opportunity in the project design. Nonetheless, sustainability seems guaranteed in the short-term (i.e. post-project) through cooperation agreements on GHG emissions in construction have been made between government entities. In the medium term, sustainability is likely as substantial financing has been mobilized for the construction sector and buildings in *ger* areas in programs to be undertaken by UB City, local banks and other Mongolian organizations (with financing support from Green Climate Fund and development

banks), while. Moreover, as part of the Nationally Determined Contribution development, a National Climate Change Committee has been set up which will ensure more institutional cooperation and info exchange as well as overall and inter-sectorial coordination of NDC development and implementation. Thus, there is no need for a separate NAMA institutional setup anymore. Mitigation and adaptation measures under Mongolia's NDC include NAMA-like measures, not only in the construction sector but also in other sectors. With a new project, UNDP will support the overall coordination of the NDC process and focus on providing sectoral inputs to the NDC in transport and construction (in the latter sector, building on the results of the NAMA in the Construction Sector project)³

Some barriers remain that will only be resolved in the longer term. The current tariff system does not encourage energy saving, as customers' bills are being based on payment per square meter (or volume) rather than actual consumption. Revised (energy-relevant) building codes have been drafted but political decision-making regarding approval has been slow and official approval still pending. To be effective, any revised energy building code would need to require (new) housing blocks to be prepared for consumption-based billing (CBB).

• Impact (higher-level outcomes)

The TE Team has the opinion that the Project, although a medium-sized GEF project, has managed to contribute to laying a basis for market transformation for energy efficiency in the construction sector:

- Preparing the ground for demonstration of technologies and approaches in a number of pilot buildings (related to Outcome 2)
- Inform policy-making by providing assessment (GHG inventory) and standards methodologies (GHG methodology and GHG monitoring and verification (related to Outcomes 1 and 3). The info on demonstrations and GHG data and methodologies produced by the Project are now available for use by the relevant government agencies (e.g., MCUD, CDC, Energy, and UB Municipality) and some programs in the buildings and construction sector that are implemented with the support of other development partners;
- Facilitate behavioural change through knowledge enhancement and information dissemination (Outcome 1 and 2)

Recommendations

UNDP and CDC

• Only two pilot projects have been analyzed fully according to the MRV methodology. Two projects were constructed recently in 2019 (ERC and CDC demos) and still need a full winter season of measurements, while the last two will only be installed in Q1 2020. The NAMA Project has recently been extended to the end of April 2020, so, the Evaluation Team proposes that this will enable the complete measurements of the winter season 2019-2020. Apart from this, another season of measurements could be undertaken, thus allowing to see differences between winters between one year and another. It also allows the last two demos (MUST building and UB City buildings) to be monitored during at least one whole winter season. The results (GHG inventory, MRV methodology, findings of the pilot projects, and other materials of the Project) should continue to be disseminated widely. An agreement should be made with CDC to continue the measurements, possibly with some UNDP support by the new UNDP project "Deepening efforts to accelerate NDC implementation and (also with CDC) on post-NAMA project information dissemination.

Government

- NAMAs formulation is not a one-off event but is a continuous process through which developing countries can expand the scope of activities over time. Several programs are being designed of which some are labeled 'NAMA' (such as the program *Mongolia* – *Energy Performance Contracting for Residential Retrofitting* with UB Municipality and GGGI) while other programs may have different labels and titles, but all construction and building sector will have some interrelation and can build and reinforce each other. An institutional oversight framework will be needed to promote coordination and cooperation, avoiding overlap and filling gaps. The newly established National Climate Change Committee (NCCC) can play such a role (or a subcommittee thereof), with NAMA and NAMA-type activities forming implementation of goals and strategies set out within the overall framework of Mongolia's Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC).
- The NAMA concept was introduced in 2007-2009 as part of the UNFCCC framework, referring to a set of policies and voluntary actions that countries undertake as part of a commitment to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. The Conference of Parties (COP) of the UNFCCC in 2015, held in Paris, introduced the (voluntary) Nationally Determined

³ Deepening Efforts to Accelerate NDC Implementation in Mongolia (2019-2021)

Contributions. The NDCs national climate plans highlighting climate actions, including climate-related targets, policies and measures governments. NAMAs can now be seen as a subset of NDC actions and from an institutional point of view, the TE Team recommends continuing climate change mitigation efforts within the NDC framework rather than separately institutionalizing the NAMA concept.

Lessons learned

- One lesson learned from the monitoring of energy consumption is that one has to be critical on data derived from purchase bills for monitoring, as the actual consumption of fuel (coal) may deviate substantially from the actual consumption, In general, there is a scarcity of data on energy consumption in (new) buildings, which are provided by two separate entities. As mentioned, data provided in forms are not always given correctly, either too large or too small or in wrong units. Not all buildings are equipped with hot water meters, which need to be added to a proper monitoring program.
- When designing NAMA preparation and support project it is important to have a common understanding among stakeholders on the definition of the NAMA concept and its priorities and expected goals. Apart from focusing on individual demo project interventions and defining GHG inventory and MRV methodologies and tools, setting up an institutional framework for NAMA development and registration is missing while this may be crucial for reaping the benefits of this and other future NAMA or NAMA-type of development projects and avoid that these will overlap, leave gaps or use mutually incompatible data collection, monitoring, and reporting systems.

ХУРААНГУЙ

Төслийн нэр:	Монгол улсын барилгы	н салбарын хүлэмжийн хи	йн ялгарлыг буу	/руулах
	үндэсний арга хэмжээ			
ДДБОС (GEF) Төслийн дугаар (ID):	5830		Батлах үед <u>(USD)</u>	Дуусах үед <u>(USD)</u>
НҮБХХ PIMS дугаар (ID):	5315	ДДБОС санхүүжилт:	1,269,863	
Улс:	Монгол	Хэрэгжүүлэгч байгууллага/Гүйцэтгэгч байгууллага	100,000	
Бүс нутаг:	Ази, Номхон далай	Засгийн газар:	3,350,000	
Салбар чиглэл:	Уур амьсгалын өөрчлөлт	Бусад:	3,450,000	
FA Objectives, (OP/SP):	GEF5 - CCM2: Эрчим хүчний хэмнэлттэй үйлдвэрлэл, барилгын салбарт зах зээлийн өөрчлөлтийг дэмжих	Нийт санхүүжилт:	6,900,000	
Гүйцэтгэгч байгууллага:	Барилга Хот Байгуулалтын Яам	Төслийн нийт зардал:	8,169,863	
	(БХБЯ)	Хэрэгжүүлэхээр GEF-ээр ба 2016 оны 5-р сар	атлагдсан огноо: рын 16	
Оролцсон бусад түншүүд:	Байгаль Орчин Аялал Жуулчлалын Яам ⁴ (БОАЖЯ);	Төслийн Баримт Бичигт га огноо (Төсөл эхэлсэн о	рын үсэг зурсан гноо):	2016 оны 6-р сарын 28*
	Эрчим Хүчний Зохицуулах Хороо (ЭХЗХ); Барилгын Хөгжлийн Төв (БХТ)	Төслийн хаалтын огноо	Төлөвлөгөө: 2019.12.31	Гүйцэтгэл: 2019.12.31

^{*)} Төслийн бодит хэрэгжилт нь 2016 оны УИХ-ын сонгуулийн дараа засгийн газрын бүтцийн өөрчлөлттэй холбоотойгоор 2017 оны 4-р сард эхэлсэн.

Төслийн танилцуулга

Эдийн засгийн өсөлтийн улмаас орон сууцны эрэлт өсөж, хөдөөгөөс хот руу шилжих хөдөлгөөн, шилжилт улам бүр нэмэгдэж байгаа энэ үед Монгол улсын барилгын салбарын үйлдвэрлэл сүүлийн 10 жилд гурав дахин өссөн байна. Барилгын үйлдвэрлэл өсөн нэмэгдэхийн хэрээр эрчим хүчний эрэлт нэгэн зэрэг нэмэгдэх болно. Өвлийн хүйтний улиралд барилгын халаалтын хугацаа найман сар үргэлжилдэг бөгөөд энэ нь эрчим хүчний хангамжид нэмэлт бэрхшээл учруулдаг. Эрчим хүчний үйлдвэрлэл, хэрэглээний салбарын Хүлэмжийн Хийн Ялгарал нь (ХХЯ) тус улсын нийт ХХЯ-д хамгийн их хувийг эзэлдэг бол барилгын салбарын ХХЯ нь эрчим хүчний хэрэглээний ХХЯ-д мөн томоохон хувийг эзэлдэг. Хүлэмжийн хийн ялгарлыг бууруулах талаар Монгол улсын төрөөс баримталж, хэрэгжүүлж бүй бодлого нь нүүрсний хэрэглээнд байгаль орчинд илүү ээлтэй технологи ашиглахаас гадна нүүрснээс гаргаж авсан цахилгаан, дулааныг илүү үр ашигтай хэрэглэх, эрчим хүчний хэмнэлттэй техник, тоног төхөөрөмжийг ашиглах, дулаан алдагдлыг бууруулахад чиглэж байна. Засгийн газар барилга, байгууламжийн дулааны алдагдлыг 2014 онтой харьцуулахад 2020 онд 20%, 2030 онд 40% бууруулах зорилт тавьсан. Гэсэн хэдий ч барилгын салбарт энэ зорилтыг хэрэгжүүлэхэд чиглэсэн зохицуулалтыг хийхэд институцийн, техникийн, санхүүгийн болон нийгмийн саад бэрхшээлүүд тулгарч байна.

⁴ Хуучнаар Байгаль орчин, ногоон хөгжил, аялал жуулчлалын яам.

Хүлэмжийн хийн ялгарлыг бууруулах үндэсний арга хэмжээ (NAMA) гэж хүлэмжийн хийн ялгарлыг бууруулах талаар олон улсын хамтын нийгэмлэгийн өмнө улс орнуудын хүлээсэн үүрэг, амлалтын хүрээнд хэрэгжүүлж буй бодлого, сайн дурын арга хэмжээний цогцыг хэлнэ. Уур амьсгалын өөрчлөлтийн суурь конвенцын (HYБ-УАӨСК) 2007 онд хуралдсан Бали-гийн бага хурлаар энэ үзэл баримтлалыг талууд баталсан. Монгол улсын Засгийн газар нь NAMA-г зорилтот үйл ажиллагааг хэрэгжүүлэх цогц хэрэгсэл гэж хүлээн зөвшөөрдөг. Барилгын салбарт эрчим хүчний хэмнэлттэй холбоотой өмнө дурдсан бэрхшээлүүдийг арилгахын тулд Даян Дэлхийн Байгаль Орчны Сангийн (ДДБОС) санхүүгийн дэмжлэгтэйгээр Барилга, хот байгуулалтын яам (БХБЯ), НҮБ-ын Хөгжлийн хөтөлбөр (НҮБХХ) Монгол улсын барилгын салбарт хүлэмжийн хийн ялгарлыг бууруулах үндэсний арга хэмжээ (цаашид 'NAMA' төсөл гэх) төслийг хэрэгжүүлсэн байна.

Энэхүү төслийн зорилго нь "Монгол улсын барилгын салбарт хүлэмжийн хийн ялгарлыг бууруулах үндэсний онцлогт тохирсон арга хэмжээг тодорхойлон, хэрэгжүүлэх замаар, барилгын салбарт эрчим хүчний хэмнэлттэй технологийн хэрэглээг нэмэгдүүлэх, зах зээлд нэвтрүүлэхэд дэмжлэг үзүүлэх" явдал юм. Энэхүү зорилтыг барилгын салбарт эрчим хүчний хэмнэлттэй технологийг нэвтрүүлэхэд тулгарч буй бэрхшээлийг арилгах замаар дараах гурван бүрэлдэхүүн хэсэгт хэрэгжүүлэхээр төлөвлөжээ. Үүнд:

- Барилгын салбарын эрчим хүчний хэрэглээ, хүлэмжийн хийн ялгарлын суурь түвшинг тодорхойлох
- Барилгын салбарын хүлэмжийн хийн ялгарлыг бууруулах чиглэлээр үндэсний онцлогт тохирсон арга хэмжээг тодорхойлох, хэрэгжүүлэх
- Хүлэмжийн хийн ялгарлыг бууруулах үйл ажиллагааны үр дүнг хэмжих, тайлагнах, баталгаажуулах /MRV/ тогтолцоог бий болгох.

Төслийн баримт бичигт 2016 оны 6-р сард гарын үсэг зурсан боловч 2016 оны УИХ-ын сонгуулийн үр дүнд засгийн газар шинээр байгуулагдсантай холбоотойгоор төслийн үйл ажиллагаа эхлэх үйл явц бага зэрэг хойшлогдож, 2017 оны 4-р сараас эхэлсэн байна. Гэсэн хэдий ч үүний дараа төслийн хэрэгжилт жигд явагдсан ба, төслийг дуусгах зорилгоор хугацааг нь 2020 оны 04-р сар хүртэл сунгасан.

Зорилго:	Хүлэмжийн хийн ялгарлыг бууруулах арга хэмжээг
	тодорхойлон, хэрэгжүүлэх замаар, барилгын салбарт эрчим
	хүчний хэмнэлттэй технологийн хэрэглээг нэмэгдүүлэх, зах
	зээлд нэвтруулэхэд дэмжлэг үзүүлэх
Хүрэх үр лүн:	
	Барилгын салбарт хүлэмжийн хийн ялгарлыг бууруулах
Үр дүнгийн шалгуур үзүүлэлт:	
• Төсөл хэрэгжиж эхэлснээс төсөл	Эхний таван загвар төсөлд үндэслэн ашиглалтын хугацааны
дуусах хугацаанд СО₂-ийн	туршид (14 жил гэж тооцоход) эрчим хүчний хэмнэлт 134
хуримтлагдсан бууралт: 10,709	ГВтцаг, ХХЯ-ын бууралт 48,140 тСО₂ байна. Төслийн үр
tCO2eq, суурь 2,014 tCO2eq	дүнгийн шалгуур үзүүлэлтийн зорилтот түвшний утгууд
• Төсөл хэрэгжиж эхэлснээс төсөл	(хүлэмжийн хийн ялгарлын бууралт)-ыг төслийн баримт
дуусах хугацаанд дулаан, цахилгааны	бичиг боловсруулах шатанд тийм ч сайн сонгогдоогүй
хуримтлагдсан хэмнэлт: 18,722 МВтц,	байна: төсөл дуусах үед дөнгөж хэрэгжүүлж дууссан зарим
суурь 3,521 МВтц)	загвар төслийн ашиглалтын хугацаанд бий болох эрчим
	хүчний хэмнэлт, ХХЯ-ын бууралтыг тооцохын оронд НАМА
	төсөл хэрэгжиж дуусах цаг хугацаанд бий болох эрчим
	хүчний хэмнэлт, ХХЯ-ын шууд бууралтаар тооцох нь
	зохимжгүй юм. Зургаа дахь загвар төслийг нэмж тооцвол
	ХХЯ-ын шууд бууралт нэмэгдэх болно (тооцоог хийх
	шаардлагатай)
• Барилгын салбарт хэрэгжүүлсэн ХХЯ-	Хүлэмжийн хийн ялгарлыг бууруулах арга хэмжээ буюу
ыг бууруулах арга хэмжээний тоо	NAMA-ийн талаарх талуудын ойлголт харилцан адилгүй
(Зорилго: Нэг)	байснаас энэ шалгуур үзүүлэлт нь талуудын дунд эндүү
	ташаа ойлголтыг бий болгосон. Тухайлбал, загвар
	төслүүдийг 'NAMA' гэж ойлгохоос эхлээд төслийн дараах
	NAMA хөтөлбөрийг (барилгын салбарт) боловсруулах

Хүрсэн үр дүн – Хураангуй

UNDP/GEF -- Mongolia NAMA in Construction Sector

асуудлыг ойлгох гэх мэтчилэн талууд өөр өөрөөр ойлгож,
тайлбарлаж төөрөгдөлтэй байна. The latter has not
happened (even though this was also discussed during the Mid
Term Review but perhaps due to project management changes
not taken up in the project execution, and also because of the
limit in the budget available in this medium-size project).
Гэхдээ, төслийн хүрээнд ХХЯ-ын суурь түвшинг тодорхойлох
аргачлал боловсруулсан, MRV систем бүрдүүлсэн, барилгын
эрчим хүчний хэмнэлтийн боломжуудыг загвар төслүүдээр
таниулсан зэргийг харгалзан энэ төсөл нь "NAMA" төсөл
болсон гэж үнэлгээний багийн зүгээс үзэж байна.

Төлөвлөсөн ажил		Гарсан үр дүн
Бүрэлдэхүүн 1		
Барилгын салбарын эрчим хүч	ний хэрэгл	ээ, хүлэмжийн хийн ялгарлын суурь түвшинг тодорхойлох
Үр дүнгийн шалгуур үзүүлэлт:	•	
а) Барилгын эрчим хүчний хэ	рэглээ	Хүлэмжийн тооллого хийх аргачлалыг 2017-2019 онд
болон хүлэмжийн хий ялга	арлыг	боловсруулан БХБЯ, БОАЖЯ, ЭХЯ-ны салбар дундын хамтарсан
тооцох системийг бүрдүүл	ж	шинжлэх ухаан, технологийн хороогоор хэлэлцүүлж, БХБ-ын
ашиглалтад оруулах		сайдын тушаалаар батлуулсан байна. Web-д суурилсан эрчим
Зорилтот түвшин: 3 жилий	н	хүчний хэрэглээ, ХХЯ-ын тооллогын систем боловсруулсан.
хугацаанд нэг систем		
b) Эрчим хүчний хэрэглээ бол	он	БХБЯ болон ЭХЗХ 2019 оны 1-р сарын 4-ний өдөр санамж бичиг
хүлэмжийн хийн тооллогын	1	байгуулсан. Мөн "Барилгын салбарын хүлэмжийн хийн
системд шаардлагатай мэд	ээлэл	тооллого хийх, тайлагнах, баталгаажуулах системийг бий
цуглуулах, солилцох харилі	цааг	болгон үйл ажиллагааг жигдрүүлнэ" гэсэн заалт 2019 оны 2-р
зохицуулсан санамж бичги	йн тоо	сард батлагдсан Төрөөс Барилгын салбарын талаар баримтлах
Зорилтот түвшин: Төсөл дуу	усахад	бодлогыг хэрэгжүүлэх үйл ажиллагааны хөтөлбөрт (заалт 5.3.2)
нэг санамж бичиг		тусгагдсан. Ингэж бодлогын баримт бичигт туссанаар цаашдаа
		уур амьсгалыг өөрчлөлтийг сааруулах талаар төрөөс барилгын
		салбарт баримтлах бодлого, арга хэмжээ болон Үндэсний
		тодорхойлсон хувь нэмрийн (NDC) шинэ хувилбарыг
		боловсруулах, хэрэгжүүлэхэд дэмжлэг болно.
с) Хүлэмжийн хийн тооллогын	4	Барилгын салбарын хүлэмжийн хийн тооллого хийх системд
системиин тогтвортои ажи	ілагааг	шууд болон шууд бус баидлаар оролцож, дэмжиж буи / аж
дэмждэг төр болон хувиин		ахуин нэгж баина. Үүнд БХБЯ, ЭХЗХ, Газар зохион баигуулалт,
хэвшлиин аж ахуин нэгжии	н тоо	геодези зураг зүин газар, Улаанбаатар хотын захиргаа,
Зорилтот түвшин: Төсөл дуу	/сахад	цахилгаан дулаан түгээх байгууллагууд бусад агентлагууд
дөрөв байна.		оагтана.
тр дүн:		V
1.1. Мэдээлэл цуглуулах, ххя-	·ын Портой	хүлэмжийн хийн тооллого хийх аргачлалыг ооловсруулж, бхв-
Тооллогын системий тог	вортои	ын сайдын тушаалаар баглуулав. Уг аргачлалыг цэвэр
ажиллуулах талаар шийд	вэр	хөгжлийн механизмын (цхил) Амб-н.Е аргачлалд үндэслэн
таргатчид болон агентлаг	уудын	дотоодын нөхцөл оаидлыг харгалзан ооловсруулсан өөгөөд
чадавхий сайжруулах		аливаа төсөл, арта хэмжээт хэрэгжүүлсний үр дүнд буй болох
	.dH.	ллл-ын бууралгыг буюу үр дүнг тооцоход ашиглах боломж,
т.2. варилнын эрчим хүчний х	эрэглээ,	полцолии оррдурлжээ. Энэ аргачлалыг ашиглан хүлэмжийн
		хийн элгарлон салоарын суурь түвшинг ооловсруулсан өөгөөд
		$\gamma\gamma \pi n n n \rightarrow b \pi \beta A O C A \gamma \mu \gamma \gamma \beta A \beta \beta h O C A A \gamma \mu \gamma \gamma \beta A \beta \beta h O C A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A$
байца		богоол тосол узрагуни лииссаны дараа Барилгын Уосуулийн
Оайна.		оогоод тосол хэрэгжиж дууссаны дараа барилгын хөгжлийн Төр (БҮТ) ургэлжлуулан (БҮБЯ-ээс БҮТ-л хэриуцуулаах эжльг
		אאונאזאאאא אארא אראט אפאיאא אויאינאא אאיז א איז איז איז איז איז איז איז אי

Төлөвлөсөн ажил	Гарсан үр дүн				
1.3 Барилгын салбарын эрчим	зохион байгуулж байгаа) хэрэгжүүлэх болно. ХХЯ-ын тооллогын				
хүчний хэрэглээ, хүлэмжийн	системийг хэрхэн ашиглах, салбарын хүлэмжийн хийн				
хийн ялгарлын суурь түвшинг	тооллогыг хэрхэн хийх зэрэг чиглэлээр шийдвэр гаргагч нарт				
тодорхойлох	болон техникийн ажилтнуудын чадавхыг бэхжүүлсэн байна.				
Бүрэлдэхүүн 2	Бүрэлдэхүүн 2				
Барилгын салбарын хүлэмжийн хийн ял	арлыг бууруулах үндэсний онцлогт тохирсон тэргүүлэх арга				
хэмжээг тодорхойлох, хэрэгжүүлэхэд дэ	мжлэг үзүүлэх				
Үр дүнгийн шалгуур үзүүлэлт:					
 d) Хүлэмжийн хийн ялгарлыг бууруулах талаар барилгын салбарт хэрэгжүүлэх арга хэмжээг тодорхойлон, санхүүжүүлсэн загвар төслийн тоо Зорилтот түвшин: Төсөл дуусахад нэг 	Төслийн Удирдах Хороо (ТУХ)-ноос 2018 оны 5-р сард зургаан загвар (үзүүлэх) төслийг баталж, 2018-2019 онд хэрэгжүүлжээ. Үүнд: ЭХЗХ (дээврийн нарны цахилгаан үүсгүүр); БХТ-ийн лабораторийн барилга (барилгын дулаалга); Улаанбаатар хотын захиргаа (дулааны тоолуур суурилуулах); Сумын халаалтын систем (Дундговь аймгийн Эрдэнэдалай сумын өндөр үр ашигтай халаалтын зуух), Говь-Алтай аймгийн Жаргалант сумын сургуулийн барилга (дээврийн засвар, дотор халаалтын системийн шинэчлэлт); ШУТИС (дээврийн нарны цахилгаан уусгуур)				
 е) Хүлэмжийн хийн ялгарлыг бууруулах талаар барилгын салбарт баримтлах бодлого, арга хэмжээнд ашиглагдах эрчим хүчний хэмнэлтийн бие даасан технологийн тоо Зорилтот түвшин: 4 жилд зургаан төсөл (нэг суурь түвшинд) 	Загвар төслүүдэд дараах технологийг хэрэгжүүлсэн: 1. Дээврийн дулаалга; 2. Дотор халаалтын системийн шинэчлэлт; 3. Өндөр үр ашигтай халаалтын зуух; 4. Дулаалгатай дулааны шугам, сүлжээ; 5. Ус зөөлрүүлэгч; 6. Дулааны автомат насос; 7. Дээвэр дээрх нарны цахилгаан үүсгүүр; 8. Гурван давхар шилтэй цонх; 9. Дулааны тоолуур				
f) NAMA-гийн туршилтын төслүүдийг санхүүжүүлэх сонирхолтой, бүрэн боломжтой, мэргэшсэн хувийн болон/эсвэл төрийн байгууллагуудын тоо Зорилтот түвшин: 4 жилд гурав (нэг суурь түвшинд)	ХАС банк, Ариг банк, Монголын Ногоон Зээлийн Сан зэрэг хувийн хэвшлийн гурван аж ахуйн нэгж эрчим хүчний хэмнэлттэй барилга байгууламжийн ногоон санхүүжилтийн схемийг хэрэгжүүлэх боломжтой байгууллагууд гэж тодорхойлсон. NAMA үйл ажиллагааны дэмжлэгтэйгээр Улаанбаатар хотын Захирагчийн ажлын алба нь Дэлхийн Ногоон өсөлтийн хүрээлэн (GGGI) болон ICLEI-ийн тусламжаар Улаанбаатар хотын угсармал орон сууцыг дулаалах ажлыг Гүйцэтгэлийн гэрээний үндсэн дээр хэрэгжүүлнэ. NAMA-ийн хүрээнд орон сууцны барилгыг дулаалах ажил нь Монгол Улсын NDC-д нэн тэргүүнд тавигдсан.				
Үр дүн:					
2.1 Уур амьсгалын өөрчлөлтийг сааруулах тохиромжтой арга хэмжээг тодорхойлох, үнэлэх аргазүйг боловсруулж, тэргүүлэх арга хэмжээг тодорхойлсон	НҮБ-ын Байгаль орчны хөтөлбөр, БОНХАЖЯ-ны хамтран хийсэн "Технологийн Хэрэгцээний Үнэлгээ"-гээр уур амьсгалын өөрчлөлтийг сааруулахад барилгын салбарт нэн тэргүүнд ашиглаж болохуйц эрчим хүчний хэмнэлтийн технологиудыг тодорхойлсон байдаг бөгөөд эдгээрээс төслийн хүрээнд дараах технологиудын үр ашигт дүн шинжилгээ, үнэлгээ хийсэн. Үүнд: Өндөр үр ашигтай халаалтын зуух, сайжруулсан дулаалга, дулааны алдагдал багатай цонх, дулаан эргүүлэн ашиглагчтай агаар сэлгэлтийн систем, нарны зайн хавтан, эрчим хүчний хэмнэлттэй гэрэлтүүлгийн технологиудад Хүлэмжийн хийн ялгарлын өртөг, үр ашгийн шинжилгээ хийжээ. Ингэхдээ өртөг, үр нөлөөний шинжилгээ хийх аргачлал (МАСС)-ыг ашиглаж, дээрх технологиудын үр ашигт тооцоо, шинжилгээ хийсэн. МАСС, ийн тооцоодын ур лучгээс харагаа оршим хүший				

UNDP/GEF -- Mongolia NAMA in Construction Sector

Төл	эвлөсөн ажил	Гарсан үр дүн
		хэмнэлттэй гэрэлтүүлэг болон агаар сэлгэлтийн систем эдийн засгийн хувьд хамгийн ашигтай (ХХЯ-ыг бууруулах зардлын хувьд) боловч өндөр үр ашигтай халаалтын зуух, барилгын дулаалга нь ХХЯ-ыг хамгийн ихээр бууруулах боломжтой гэсэн тооцоо гарчээ.
2.2	Барилгын салбарт туршилтын NAMA –г боловсруулж хэрэгжүүлсэн	 МАСС аргаар үр ашгийг нь үнэлсэн нарны цахилгаан үүсгүүр, эрчим хүч хэмнэх болон бусад технологийг NAMA төслийн дэмжлэгтэйгээр хэрэгжүүлсэн загвар 6 төссөлд ашигласан. Үүнд: (загвар төслийн нийт хөрөнгө оруулалтын 20% -иас хэтрэхгүй хувийг NAMA төслөөс санхүүжүүлэх шалгуурыг ашиглажээ): 1. Говь-Алтай аймгийн Жаргалант сумын сургуулийн барилгын дулаалга (Эрчим хүч хэмнэх арга хэмжээ: дээврийн дулаалга, дотор халаалтын системийн шинэчлэлт); 2. БХТ-ийн лабораторийн барилгын дулаалга (Эрчим хүч хэмнэх арга хэмжээ: дээврийн дулаалга, дотор халаалтын системийн шинэчлэлт); 3. Дундговь аймгийн Эрдэнэдалай сумын төвийн нэгдсэн халаалтын систем (Эрчим хүч хэмнэх арга хэмжээ: Өндөр үр ашигтай халаалтын зуух, дулаан түгээх шугамын дулаалга, ус зөөлрүүлэх төхөөрөмж, дулааны тоолуур, хяналтын системтэй давтамж хувиргагч бүхий насос); 4. Эрчим хүчний зохицуулах хорооны шинэ барилга (Эрчим хүч хэмнэх/сэргээгдэх эрчим хүч хэрэглэх арга хэмжээ: ухаалаг хэмжилтийн систем бүхий нарны дэлгэц). 2020 оны 1-р улирлаас суурилуулж хэрэгжүүлнэ; 5. УБ хотын угсармал орон сууц (орон сууцны 24 барилгад дулааны тоолуур суурилуулах); 6. ШУТИС-ийн шинэ байр (дээвэр дээр нарны дэлгэц суурилуулах).
2.3	Хүлэмжийн хийн ялгарлыг бууруулах арга хэмжээг төрийн болон бусад талууд дунд удирдан зохицуулах бүтцийг тодорхойлно.	Төрөөс барилгын салбарын талаар баримтлах бодлого, түүнийг хэрэгжүүлэх арга хэмжээний төлөвлөгөөнд хүлэмжийн хийн ялгарлыг бууруулах, эрчим хүчийг хэмнэх, хаягдал багатай үйлдвэрлэл, хэрэглээг бий болгох зэрэг чиглэлээр хэрэгжүүлэх арга хэмжээг тусгахад хувь нэмэр оруулсан. Мөн барилгын хүлэмжийн хийн ялгарлыг тооцох, бүртгэх, мэдээлэх үйл ажиллагааг БХБ-ын сайдын журмаар зохицуулсан. Барилгын дулаан хамгааллын норм ба дүрэм БНбД 23-02-09-ийг шинэчлэн боловсруулсан ч шинэчлэгдсэн БНбД нь албан ёсоор батлагдаагүй байна.
2.4	Хүлэмжийн хийн ялгарлыг бууруулах арга хэмжээг амжилттай тодорхойлон хэрэгжүүлэх чиглэлээр төр, хувийн хэвшлийн чадавхыг бэхжүүлж, энэ төрлийн арга хэмжээг санхүүжүүлэх хувилбаруудыг тодорхойлно. Барилгын салбарт хүлэмжийн хийн ялгарлыг бууруулах арга хэмжээ (NAMA)-г хэрэгжүүлэхэд дэмжлэг үзүүлэх санхүүгийн арга	Хүлэмжийн хийн тооллого, мэдээллийн сан, МАСС-ийг ашиглах, тайлбарлах, барилга байгууламжид эрчим хүчний хэмнэлт гаргах санхүүгийн арга хэрэгслийн талаар төрийн байгууллагууд, төсөл хэрэгжүүлэгчид, орон сууцны холбоод, барилга, санхүүгийн салбарын оролцогчдод чадавхжуулах сургалтуудыг 2018, 2019 онуудад зохион байгуулжээ. Төсөл нь барилгын салбарт эрчим хүчний хэмнэлттэй технологийг нэвтрүүлэх санхүүжилтийн боломжийг судалж үзсэн. Эрчим хүчний үр ашгийг сайжруулах үйл ажиллагааны өмнөх ба дараах санхүүгийн үнэлгээг хийх гарын авлагыг "Монгол Улсын эрчим хүчний хэмнэлттэй барилга байгууламжийн санхүүгийн схемүүд" тайланд тусгасан болно.
	хэрэгслийг ооловсруулна.	санхүүгийн хэрэгсэл оол хувийн капиталыг хөрөнгө оруулалтад татахад туслах, эрсдлийг бууруулах хэрэгсэл юм. Санал болгож

Гарсан үр дүн
буй хэрэгсэл нь төслийн эрсдэлийг бууруулах, хөрөнгийн өртөгийг бууруулах замаар төслийг 'bankable' болгоход гарах эрсдлийг тооцох хэрэгсэл юм. Уг хэрэгслийг Монгол улсын барилгын салбарт ашиглаж болно
га хэмжээний үр дүнг хэмжих, тайлагнах, баталгаажуулах /MRV/
Барилгын салбарын хүлэмжийн хийн ялгарлыг бууруулах үр дүнг хэмжих таван үзүүлэлтийг тодорхойлсон. MRV аргачлал, холбогдох журам болон гарын авлага боловсруулсан.
Барилгын хүлэмжийн хий ялгарлыг бууруулах арга хэмжээний үр дүнг хэмжих MRV-ийн бүрэлдэхүүн хэсэг болгон гурван загвар төслийн (Жаргалан сумын сургууль, Эрдэнэдалай сумын халаалтын зуух, 2019 оны 10-р сард БХТ-ийн лабораторийн барилга нэмэгдсэн) ХХЯ-ыг хэмжих ажлыг хийсэн. Энэ MRV системийг БХБЯ, санхүүгийн байгууллагууд, төсөл боловсруулагчид, эрчим хүчний аудиторууд эзэмшиж, ашиглах боломжтой.
MRV - ийн үйл ажиллагааны кейс судалгаа болгож хангалттай мэдээлэл бүхий загвар хоёр төсөл дээр хийсэн. Үр дүнг MRV гарын авлагад оруулсан болно (2019 оны 10-р сард хэвлэгдсэн)
MRV аргачлал болон гарын авлага боловсруулсан; БХТ-ийн дэргэдэх орон тооны бус мэргэжлийн зөвлөлөөр хэлэлцүүлж, үнэлүүлсэн. Барилгын салбарын хүлэмжийн хийн ялгарлыг бууруулах арга хэмжээний үр дүнг хэмжих ХХЯ-тай шууд хамааралтай ба хамааралгүй таван үзүүлэлтийг тодорхойлсон: 1) Барилгын ХХЯ-ын бууралт (тоннСО2эк/жил); 2) Барилгын нэгж талбайд ноогдох СО2-ын ялгарал (тоннСО2эк/жил); 3) Эрчим хүчний анхдагч хэрэглээ (МВтц/жил); 4) Эрчим хүчний зардлын хэмнэлт (төгрөг/жил); 5) Өрөөний температур (°С); нийгэм, эдийн засгийн бусад үр ашиг (үр шимийг хүртсэн хүүхэд, жендэр г.м). Эхний загвар төслүүдийн MRV-ийн үр дүнг хүлэмжийн хийн тооллогын цахим системд оруулсан. MRV системийг загвар төслийн хүрээнд боловсруулж, барилгын салбарт ХХЯ-ыг бууруулах арга хэмжээг хэмжих, тайлагнах ажлыг чадавхижуулах, институцийг сайжруулах

Дүгнэлт ба үнэлгээний хураангуй

Хүрсэн үр дүн, хэрэгжилт, зураг төсөл, стратеги, тогтвортой байдал, хамаарлын талаар өгөгдсөн дээрхи мэдээлэл, тайлбарыг үндэслэн Үнэлгээний баг дараах үнэлгээг хийв.

1. Хяналт ба үнэлгээ (Monitoring & Evaluation)	Үнэлгээ (Rating)	2. Хэрэгжүүлэгч байгууллага ба Гүйцэтгэгч байгууллага Implementing Agency (IA) & Executing Agency EA	Үнэлгээ (Rating)
Дизайн Design at entry	Хангалттай (S)	НҮБХХ-ийн хэрэгжилтийн чанар Quality of UNDP Implementation	Хангалттай (S)
Хэрэгжүүлэх төлөвлөгөө Plan implementation	Хангалттай (S)	Гүйцэтгэгч байгууллага болон Төсөл хэрэгжүүлэх нэгжийн гүйцэтгэлийн чанар Quality of Execution — Executing Agency and PIU	Маш хангалттай (HS)
Хяналт үнэлгээний чанар Overall quality of M&E	Хангалттай (S)	Хэрэгжилтийн нийт чанар Overall quality of implementation	Хангалттай (S)
3. Үр дүнгийн үнэлгээ Assessment of Outcomes	Үнэлгээ (Rating)	4. Sustainability Тогтвортой байдал	Үнэлгээ (Rating)
Хамаарал Relevance	Хамааралтай (R)	Засаглал ба санхүүжилтийн Governance and Financing	Эрсдэл багатай (L)
Үр нөлөө Effectiveness	Хангалттай (S)	Нийгэм-эдийн засгийн Socio-economic	Дунд зэргийн эрсдэлтэй (ML)
Yp ашиг Efficiency	Хангалттай (S)	Хүрээлэн буй орчны Environmental	Үнэлэх боломжгүй (N/A)
Төслийн үр дүнгийн ерөнхий үнэлгээ Overall Project Outcome Rating	Хангалттай (S)	Тогтвортой байдлын ерөнхий үнэлгээ Overall likelihood of sustainability	Дунд зэргийн эрсдэлтэй (ML)

Үр дүн, хяналт ба үнэлгээ, үр нөлөө, үр ашиг, хэрэгжүүлэгч байгууллагын үнэлгээний зэрэглэл

Ratings of Outcomes, Effectiveness, Efficiency M&E, IA&EA Execution

6. Маш хангалттай (HS): дутагдал байхгүй

Highly Satisfactory (HS): no shortcomings 5. Хангалттай (S): бага зэргийн дутагдалтай Satisfactory (S): minor shortcomings 4. Дунд зэргийн хангалттай (MS) Moderately Satisfactory (MS) 3. Хангалт муутай (MU): дутагдал хангалттай

Moderately unsatisfactory (MU) 2. Хангалтгүй (U): асуудал ихтэй

Unsatisfactory (U)

1. Маш хангалтгүй (U): асуудал маш ихтэй

Highly unsatisfactory (U)

Тогтвортой байдлын үнэлгээ

4. Эрсдэл багатай (L) Likely (L): negligible risks to sustainability 3.Дунд зэргийн эрсдэлтэй (ML) Moderately likely (ML): Moderate risks 2. Эрсдэл ихтэй (MU) Moderately unlikely (MU): significant risks

Хамаарлын үнэлгээ

Relevance rating

2. Хамааралтай (R) Relevant (R)

1. Хамааралгүй (NR) Not relevant (NR)

Нөлөөллийн үнэлгээ

- Impact rating
- 3, Их (S)Significant;
- 2, Бага (M) Minimal (M)
- 1, Маш бага (N) Negligible (N)

о Хамаарал

Энэхүү төсөл нь эрчим хүч (үр ашиг), уур амьсгалын өөрчлөлтийг сааруулах олон бодлого, стратегиудад бүрэн нийцэж байгаа бөгөөд Барилгын салбарт эрчим хүч хэмнэх ажлыг хэрэгжүүлэхэд тулгарч буй зарим саад бэрхшээлийг арилгахад чиглэгдсэн байна.

UNDP/GEF -- Mongolia NAMA in Construction Sector

• Үр дүн, зорилгын биелэлт; үр нөлөө

Хэрэгжүүлсэн ихэнх загвар төслүүд болон удахгүй хэрэгжүүлэхээр төлөвлөж байгаа хоёр төслөөс харахад эдгээр төслүүд нь эрчим хүч хэмнэх, улмаар ХХЯ-ыг бууруулах төслүүд болох нь харагдаж байна. Төслийн бичиг баримтад төлөвлөсний дагуу барилгын салбарт хүлэмжийн хийн тооллого хийх аргачлал (вэб-эд суурилсан тооллогын системийг БХТ хариуцан ажиллах болно), МАСС үнэлгээний аргачлал, MRV аргачлал боловсруулан, үндэсний чадавхыг бэхжүүлэхэд дэмжлэг үзүүлсэн.

○Хэрэгжилт, гүйцэтгэл; үр ашиг

Төслийг үр ашигтай, хэмнэлттэй байдлаар НҮБХХ-ийн Монгол дахь суурин төлөөлөгчийн газрын зааврын дагуу зохицуулж, хэрэгжүүлсэн байна. Төсөл хэрэгжүүлэх нэгж нь төсөлтэй холбоотой бүх оролцогч талууд болон БХБЯтай үр бүтээлтэй хамтран ажиллажээ. Төслийг эхлүүлэх хугацаа төсөлд гарын үсэг зурснаас хойш бараг нэг жилээр хойшлогдсэн. Гэвч Төсөл Хэрэгжүүлэх Нэгж нь төслийг анх төлөвлөсөн хугацаанаас (42 сар) богино хугацаанд (32 сар) хангалттай үр дүнтэйгээр хэрэгжүүлж чадсан. Загвар төслүүдийг хэрэгжүүлэхтэй холбоотой санхүүжилтийг бүрдүүлж чадсан байна.

о Төслийн үр дүнгийн матриц ба стратеги

Хэдийгээр төслийн үр дүнгийн матрицыг ерөнхийдөө хүрэхээр зорьсон үр дүнгийн хувьд тохиромжтой боловсруулсан хэдий ч "Хүлэмжийн хийн ялгарлыг бууруулах үндэсний арга хэмжээ" гэдгийг янз бүрээр ойлгосон, төөрөгдөл бий болгосон тал байна. Төслийн үр дүнд салбарын хэмжээнд хэрэгжүүлэх хүлэмжийн хийн ялгарлыг бууруулах нэг арга хэмжээ бий болно гэсэн хүлээлт байсан байж магадгүй. Гэхдээ, хүлэмжийн хийн тооллого, MRV систем бүрдсэн, зарим загвар төслүүдийг хэрэгжүүлсэн гээд (загвар төслийг NAMA төсөл гэж андуурч ойлгосон) төслийн хүрээнд бий болсон бүхий л үр дүнгүүдийг харгалзан үзэхэд энэ төсөл нь өөрөө бүхэлдээ "NAMA" арга хэмжээ болсон байна гэж Үнэлгээний Баг үзэж байна. Төслийн баримт бичгийг боловсруулах явцад орхигдуулсан зүйл бол NAMA-ийн үзэл баримтлалыг зөв гаргаж ирэх; ялангуяа, ХХЯ-ын тооллого, MRV аргачлалыг зөвхөн хэдэн загвар төсөлд ашиглах бус барилгын салбарыг бүхэлд нь хамарсан эрчим хүч хэмнэх болон хүлэмжийн хийн ялгараг бүхэлд нь хамарсан эрчим хүч хэмнэх болон хүлэмжийн хийн ялгараг бүхэлд эрч хамжээн үрэг аргах болон хүлэмжийн хийн хийн ялгарлыг бүхэлд нь хамарсан эрчим хүч хэмнэх болон хүлэмжийн хийн ялгарал багатай төсөл, арга хэмжээнүүдэд системтэйгээр хамруулах зарчмыг орхигдуулсан явдал юм.

• Тогтвортой байдал

Төслийн бичиг баримтад ХХЯ-ыг бууруулах арга хэмжээг үндэсний хэмжээнд зохицуулах институцийн талаар дутуу анхаарсныг алдагдсан боломж гэж тооцож болох боловч төрийн байгууллагуудын хооронд байгуулсан хамтран ажиллах санамж бичгийн хүрээнд барилгын хүлэмжийн хийн ялгарлыг тооцох, мэдээлэл солилцох, тайлагнах талаар холбогдох ажлыг хамтран хэрэгжүүлж байгаа нь төслийн тогтвортой байдлыг богино хугацаанд хангасан гэж үзэж болно. БХБЯ, УБ хотын захирагчийн ажлын алба, дотоодын банкнууд болон бусад байгууллагуудын (Уур амьсгалын Ногоон Сан, Хөгжлийн банкнуудын санхүүжилтээр) зүгээс хэрэгжүүлэх төсөл, хөтөлбөрүүдийн хүрээнд барилгын салбарт оруулах хөрөнгө оруулалт, гэр хорооллын дахин төлөвлөлт, дэд бүтэц, орон сууцны барилга байгууламжийн бүтээн байгуулалтын ажилд шаардлагатай ихээхэн хэмжээний хөрөнгийн эх үүсвэрийг бүрдүүлсэн тул дунд хугацаанд тогтвортой байх төлөвтэй байна. Үндэсний Тодорхойлсон Хувь Нэмрийг (NDC) хэрэгжүүлэх ажлын хүрээнд Уур амьсгалын өөрчлөлтийн үндэсний хороо байгуулагдсан нь салбар дундын зохицуулалтыг хангах, мэдээлэл солилцох боломжийг бүрдүүлнэ. Тиймээс цаашид NAMA-ийн тусдаа зохион байгуулалтыг хангах, мэдээлэл солилцох боломжийг бүрдүүлнэ. Тиймээс цаашид NAMA-ийн тусдаа зохион байгуулалтыг айгах, мэдээлэл солилцох боломжийг бүрдүүлнэ. Тиймээс цаашид NAMA-ийн тусдаа зохион байгуулалтыг хангах, мэдээлэл солилцох боломжийг бүрдүүлнэ. Тиймээс цаашид NAMA-ийн тусдаа зохион байгуулалт хийх шаардлагагүй болно. Монгол улсын NDC-д тусгагдсан Уур амьсгалын өөрчлөлтийг сааруулах, дасан зохицах арга хэмжээнд зөвхөн барилгын салбарт төдийгүй бусад салбарт NAMA-тай төстэй арга хэмжээнүүд багтдаг. Шинэ төсөл хэрэгжсэнээр НҮБХХ нь NDC-ийн үйл явцын ерөнхий зохицуулалт болон, тээвэр, барилгын салбарт NDC-г дэмжихэд анхаарч ажиллах болом⁵.

Зарим саад бэрхшээлүүд нь зөвхөн урт хугацаанд шийдэгдэх болно. Одоогийн тарифын систем нь эрчим хүчний хэмнэлтийг дэмждэггүй. Учир нь хэрэглэгчдийн төлбөр тооцоо нь бодит хэрэглээнд үндэслэсэн биш барилгын талбай эсвэл эзлэхүүнээр төлбөр тооцоо хийдэг. Барилгын эрчим хүчний хэрэглээтэй холбоотой зарим норм стандарт шинэчлэгдэж байгаа боловч шийдвэр гаргагчдын санаачилга удаан, одоогоор батлагдаагүй байна. Эдгээр норм стандарт нь үр дүнтэй хэрэгжихийн тулд барилгын дулааны хэрэглээнд суурилсан тарифыг нэвтрүүлэх шаардлагатай.

Зөвлөмж

НҮБХХ ба NAMA төсөл

• Зөвхөн хоёр загвар төсөлд MRV аргачлалын дагуу бүрэн дүн шинжилгээ хийсэн байна. 2019 оны сүүлчээр ЭХЗХны дээврийн нарны хавтан суурилуулах ажил болон БХТ-ийн лабораторийн барилгын дулаалгын ажил дууссан

⁵ Deepening Efforts to Accelerate NDC Implementation in Mongolia (2019-2021)

боловч өвлийн улирлын хэмжилтийг бүрэн хийх шаардлагатай байгаа бол сүүлийн хоёр төслийг (Угсармал орон сууцны дулааны тоолуур суурилуулах, ШУТИС-ын шинэ байранд нарны цахилгаан үүсгүүр суурилуулах) зөвхөн 2020 оны 1-р улиралд хэрэгжүүлэхээр төлөвлөж байна. NAMA төсөл нь 2019 оны 12-р сараар дуусгавар болгож үйл ажиллагаагаа хаасан ч хэмжилт хийх ажлыг дор хаяж 2019-2020 оны өвлийн улирлыг дуустал үргэлжлүүлэх, улмаар бүх төслийн хувьд 2020-21 өвлийн улиралд хэмжилтүүдийг үргэлжлүүлэн хийх (хэрэв санхүүжилт боломжтой бол) ажлыг НҮБХХ-ийн шинэ төсөл - "ҮТХН (NDC)-ийн хэрэгжүүлэхэд дэмжлэг үзүүлэх" төслийн хүрээнд хэрэгжүүлэхийг Үнэлгээний баг санал болгож байна. Ялангуяа сүүлийн хоёр загвар төслийн хувьд (ШУТИС-ийн барилгын дээврийн нарны дэлгэц ба Нийслэлийн угсармал орон сууцны дулааны тоолуурууд) дор хаяж нэг өвлийн улиралд хэмжилт, хяналт хийх шаардлагатай.

• Төслийн үр дүнг (хүлэмжийн хийн тооллого, MRV аргачлал, загвар төслүүдийн үр дүн, төслийн бусад материал) НҮБ-ын Хөгжлийн хөтөлбөрийн шинэ төслийн хүрээнд үргэлжлүүлэн түгээн дэлгэрүүлэх хэрэгтэй. Мөн Вэбсайтыг төслийн дараа хэрхэн яаж ажиллуулах талаар судлах хэрэгтэй, жишээ нь БХТ-тэй хамтран ажиллах гэх мэт.

Засгийн газар

• NAMA-ийн томьёолол нь нэг удаагийн арга хэмжээ биш, хөгжиж бүй орнуудад үйл ажиллагааны цар хүрээг байнга өргөжүүлэх боломжтой удаан хугацаанд үргэлжлэх процесс юм. Зарим нэг төсөл хөтөлбөр "NAMA" нэртэй байхад (Улаанбаатар хотын захиргаа GGGI –ийн хамтран боловсруулж бүй угсармал орон сууцыг дулаалах төсөл гэх мэт), бусад хөтөлбөрүүд нь өөр нэртэй байж болох ч барилгын салбарын эрчим хүчний хэмнэлтийн төслүүд нь хоорондоо нягт уялдаа холбоотой, нэг төслийн үр дүнг нөгөө төслөөр улам бэхжүүлсэн, нэгэн зорилготой байх хэрэгтэй. Үйл ажиллагааг уялдуулах, давхцалыг арилгах, сул талыг нөхөх үүднээс ерөнхийд нь бодлогоор удирдан чиглүүлэх тогтолцоог бий болгох нь чухал юм. Монгол улсын Үндэсний тодорхойлсон хувь нэмэр (NDC) -ийн зорилго, стратегийг хэрэгжүүлэх хүрээнд NAMA ба NAMA-тай төстэй арга хэмжээг хэрэгжүүлэхэд удирдлагаар хангах, зохицуулах чиг үүргийг шинэ тутам байгуулагдсан Уур Амьсгалын Үндэсний Хороо (УАҮХ) эсвэл хорооны дэргэд байх дэд хороо гүйцэтгэж болох юм.

Сургамж

- Эрчим хүчний хэрэглээг хэмжих, хянах явцад авсан нэг сургамж бол худалдан авсан түлшний (нүүрс) хэмжээ бодитоор хэрэглэсэн нүүрсний хэмжээнээс ихээхэн зөрүүтэй байх тохиолдол байдаг. Ерөнхийдөө (шинэ) барилгад эрчим хүчний хэрэглээний талаарх мэдээлэл хомс байдаг. Дээр дурдсанчлан түлш худалдан авсан төлбөрийн баримтаас авсан түлшний хэмжээ нь ихэвчлэн бодит хэмжээнээс хэт их эсвэл хэт бага, эсвэл буруу нэгжээр илэрхийлэгдсэн байдаг. Ихэнх хэрэглэгчид дулааны тоолуургүй учир үүнийг зохих төсөл, хөтөлбөрт тусгаж тоолууржуулах шаардлагатай.
- НАМА-г бэлтгэх, дэмжих төслийг боловсруулахдаа NAMA-ийн үзэл баримтлал, түүний тэргүүлэх чиглэл, хүлээгдэж буй зорилгын талаар оролцогч талуудын дунд нэгдсэн ойлголттой байх нь чухал юм. Бие даасан загвар төслүүд хэрэгжүүлэх, хүлэмжийн хийн тооллого хийх, MRV аргачлал, бусад тооцооны арга хэрэгслийг тодорхойлохоос гадна зөвхөн барилгын салбарын бус харин үндэсний хэмжээнд NAMA-г боловсруулах, бүртгэх институцийг бүрдүүлэх асуудал орхигдсон байна. Үүнийг шийдсэнээр ирээдүйд NAMA эсвэл NAMA-тэй адил төстэй төслүүдийн давхцалыг арилгах, сул талыг нөхөх, үнэн зөв мэдээлэл бүрдүүлэх, хянах тайлагнах зэрэгт чухал ач холбогдолтой.

1.1 Purpose of the Terminal Evaluation and objectives

1.1.1 Background

With an increase in housing demand from economic growth and a surging rural to urban migration, the construction sector has been thriving over the past decade. The projection of housing demand based on the population growth rate indicates about 140,000 apartment units will be constructed between 2020 and 2030⁶. As the building stock continues to grow, energy demand will simultaneously escalate. It is projected to rise at an average rate of over 10% from 2015 to 2035, reaching almost 130 petajoules by 2035⁷. During the long-lasting winter season in Mongolia, heating of homes, apartments, and offices is a necessary condition as air temperatures drop to as low as -40°C. The heating season lasts for eight months which exerts additional constraints on energy demand. This poses a challenge to both the local and global environment since coal remains the major fuel used to meet the demands. The energy sector is by far the largest contributor with almost two-thirds of the total greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Mongolia's GHG mitigation policy is primarily directed towards burning coal by more environmentally friendly technologies, as well as focusing on the efficient use of the electricity and heat produced from coal burning, using energy-efficient appliances and equipment and by reducing heat losses in buildings. The Government aims to reduce 20% of heat loss from buildings by 2020 and 40% by 2030 compared to 2014 levels

In this context, the Government of Mongolia recognizes Nationally Appropriate Mitigation Actions (NAMA) as a comprehensive instrument to translate the short- and medium-term targets into action. For this reason, the Ministry of Construction and Urban Development (MCUD) and the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), with financial support from the Global Environment Facility (GEF) formulated the *Nationally Appropriate Mitigation Actions in the Construction Sector in Mongolia* Project (hereafter referred to as the 'NAMA Project').

1.1.2 Purpose of the Terminal Evaluation (TE)

With the NAMA Project's closure date approaching, a Terminal Evaluation (TE) needs to be undertaken of the project in accordance with the UNDP and GEF Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) policies and procedures. The TE must be carried out by independent consultants, i.e. not previously involved in project design or implementation. In a competitive process, two experts were chosen to undertake the Terminal Evaluation, Mr. Johannes (Jan) VAN DEN AKKER and Mr. Jargal DORJPUREV, hereafter referred to as the "TE Team" or as the "Evaluators".

The evaluation has assessed the performance of the NAMA Project, based on expectations set out in the project logical framework (a.k.a. as results framework), which provides performance and impact indicators for project implementation along with their corresponding means of verification. The evaluation has covered the criteria of relevance, effectiveness, efficiency, sustainability, and impact. The TE then assessed the key financial aspects of the project, including the extent of co-financing planned and realized. It assessed the extent to which the project was successfully mainstreamed with other UNDP priorities, including improved governance, and gender. The Evaluators also looked at the extent to which the project is achieving impacts or progressing towards the achievement of (intended or unintended) impacts.

⁶ PowerPoint presentation by Project Implementation Unit (PIU)

⁷ Strategies for Development of Green Energy Systems in Mongolia (2013-2035); GGGI (2015)

1.2 Scope and methodology

Evaluation criteria

The terminal evaluation is based on the OECD-DAC⁸ criteria of *relevance, effectiveness, efficiency, sustainability, and impact.* The rating has taken place according to the evaluation criteria using the rating scales recommended in the UNDP *Guidance for Conducting Terminal Evaluation of UNDP-supported, GEF-financed Projects* (2012)⁹ and given in Box 1. Evaluation conclusions related to the project's achievements and shortfalls (comprehensive and balanced statements which highlight the strengths, weaknesses, and results of the project, based on the OECD-DAC criteria of relevance, effectiveness, efficiency, sustainability, and impact:

- Relevance: How does the project relate to the main objectives of the GEF focal area, and the environment and development priorities at the local, regional and national levels?
- Effectiveness: To what extent have the expected outcomes and objectives of the project been achieved?
- Efficiency: Was the project implemented efficiently and cost-effectively, in line with international and national norms and standards?
- Sustainability: To what extent are there financial, institutional, social-economic, and/or environmental risks to sustaining long-term project results?

1. Monitoring and Evaluation	rating	2. IA& EA Execution	rating
M&E design at entry		Quality of UNDP Implementation	
M&E Plan Implementation		Quality of Execution - Executing Agency	
Overall quality of M&E		Overall quality of Implementation / Execution:	
3. Assessment of Outcomes	rating	4. Sustainability	rating
Relevance		Financial resources:	
Effectiveness		Socio-political:	
Efficiency		Institutional framework and governance:	
Overall Project Outcome Rating		Environmental:	
		Overall likelihood of sustainability:	
6: Highly Satisfactory (HS): no shortcomings 5: Satisfactory (S): minor shortcomings 4: Moderately Satisfactory (MS) 3. Moderately Unsatisfactory (MU): significant s 2. Unsatisfactory (U): major problems 1. Highly Unsatisfactory (HU): severe problems <i>Additional ratings where relevant:</i> Not Applicable (N/A) Unable to Assess (U/A)	shortcomings	 4. Likely (L): negligible risks to sustainability 3. Moderately Likely (ML): moderate risks 2. Moderately Unlikely (MU): significant risks 1. Unlikely (U): severe risks <i>Relevance ratings</i> 2. Relevant (R) 1. Not Relevant (NR) <i>Impact Ratings:</i> 3. Significant (S) 2. Minimal (M) 1. Negligible (N) 	

Box 1 Rating and rating scales for evaluation criteria in UNDP/GEF projects

⁸ Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) – Development Assistance Committee (DAC)

⁹ Other guidelines consulted are those presented in the UNDP Handbook on Planning, Monitoring and Evaluating for Development Results, Updated Guidance on Evaluation (2012), the UNDP Discussion Paper: Innovations in Monitoring & Evaluating Results (2013) and the GEF Review of Outcomes to Impacts (ROTI) Handbook (2009). Regarding gender aspects, the evaluation refers to the Guide to Gender Mainstreaming in UNDP Supported GEF Financed Projects (2016).

• Impacts: Are there indications that the project has contributed to, or enabled progress toward, reduced environmental or other impacts?

The ratings in this report have been determined based on the project progress reporting and the analysis the Evaluators carried out of the available information and comparing these with observations from the mission (interviews with stakeholders and site visits) and checking with the information presented in project technical reports and policy and background documents. To gather empirical data and information relevant to the project, the evaluators carefully designed several instruments. They included a checklist and evaluative questions for use in collecting primary information. All tools were designed to address the key questions (grouped according to the before-mentioned OECD-DAC criteria) that were part of the Inception Report of the evaluation assignment. Annex D contains the matrix of evaluative questions.

Approach

The TE has been based on the following *sources of information*:

- Desk review of progress reports and project documents (listed in Annex C),
 - CEO Endorsement Request (CEO ER) and annexes; annual progress reports (PIRs, project implementation reviews); Mid-Term Review report and PowerPoints on project progress;
 - o Overview of budget expenditures and realized co-financing; annual work plans
 - Project technical reports and description of outputs;



- Project or counterparts' websites; PowerPoints
- National policy documents on (renewable and rural energy) as well as other relevant reports, PowerPoint presentations, and documents from counterpart organizations.
- An evaluation mission of 10 working days (from 06 to 16 January 2020) to meet UNDP, Ministry of Construction and Urban Development (MCUD), and the Project Team and to hold interviews with project partners and stakeholders in (see the mission itinerary in Annex B). The meetings and interviews helped the reviewers to obtain in-depth information on impressions and experiences and to explore opinions about the Project and their understanding and identify opportunities
- A presentation of the initial findings was made at the end of the evaluation mission (on 15/01/2020).

Regarding *data analysis and methods for analysis*, many relevant reports and documents were collected (where possible before the mission). The review of project and background documents (listed in Annex C) provided the basic facts and information for developing the terminal evaluation report, giving a basic insight into progress (target vs. progress) and reasons for under and over achievements were explored.

The evaluation mission served to verify these basic facts, get missing data and to learn the opinions of stakeholders. The mission basically consisted of conducting key informant interviews (in Ulaanbaatar) were made with the representatives of different sectors, such as (i) government ministries: MCUD; Ministry of Energy; Ministry of Environment and Tourism (MET); (iii) public entities, academia, and NGOs. To gather information from beneficiaries three pilot projects were visited in Ulaanbaatar (two) and Erdenedalai *soum*, Dundgobi *aimag* (province). Also, the Evaluators interacted closely with the UNDP Country Office and Project Management Unit staff (based at MCUD) in Ulaanbaatar to validate the information collected from the different sources.

Triangulation (interviews, and document analysis) have allowed validation of information through cross verification from two or more sources. In appraising the result-wise effectiveness of the program's major interventions, evaluators

thoroughly assessed targets against progress. To supplement this information, the evaluators used information provided by the Project Team¹⁰ and later cross-checked with the documents and interview statements. These processes and methods helped evaluators to gather plenty of evidence about the outcomes of the project. Along with collecting information, evaluators reviewed data from the Project Implementation Reviews (PIRs) and other project-related documents. The evaluators then synthesized and analyzed the collected information in order to arrive at their preliminary findings, conclusions, and recommendations that were shared at a meeting of the Project Executive Board. A draft report was shared with the MCUD-based Project Implementation Unit (PIU) and UNDP in the agreed format and the report was finalized after incorporating feedback and suggestions.

1.3 Structure of the TE report

This report consists of the report body, executive summary, and annexes. The body of this report is structured around the following chapters: it starts with an introduction to the objectives, scope, and methodology of the terminal evaluation (Chapter One), description of the project context and a summary of project facts (such as start date, duration, the context in which the project started), its objectives and stakeholders (Chapter Two).

The assessment and formulation of the "findings" have been guided by the questions of the "evaluative matrix", of which a final draft was formulated at the inception stage of the assignment (see Annex D)¹¹. The report follows the outline for terminal evaluations of UNDP/GEF projects¹² but has split the suggested chapter on "Findings" in three parts for practical reasons due to the chapter size and to permit a more reader-friendly presentation of the information. Findings on relevance, design, and formulation are in Chapter Three. Findings on project implementation and monitoring are presented in Chapter Four. An overview of progress regarding the achievement of outcomes and outputs is given in Chapter Five, which ends with a presentation of findings regarding replication effects and sustainability. Chapter Six presents the conclusions, recommendations, and lessons learned from the project. These include actions that might be taken (by the Government) to help ensure the sustainability and continuity of project achievements, as well as steps that can be taken by UNDP (and GEF) to help improve the design and implementation of future projects.

In development projects, 'results' are the describable or measurable development change resulting from a cause-andeffect relationship. These results include project outputs, short- to medium-term outcomes, and longer-term impacts, (including global environmental and development benefits).

The achievement of the results and the longer-term sustainability thereof is influenced by the:

- way project was formulated and designed (discussed in Chapter 3);
- way the project was implemented by the various project partners (discussed in Chapter 4);
- occurrence and impact of internal and external risks (discussed in Chapter 5).

Annexes at the end of the report include the Terms of Reference (Annex A), field visit details and list of organizations and people interviewed (Annex B), documents collected and bibliography (Annex C), evaluative questions and methodology (Annex D).

¹⁰ PowerPoints, PIR, quarterly progress reports, minutes of meeting.

¹¹ See the *Inception Report* of the Terminal Evaluation (January 2020)

¹² See Annex F, 'Evaluation Report Outline' in the UNDP Guidance for Conducting Terminal Evaluations (2012)

2. PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

2.1 Context and problems that the project sought to address

Building sector

The building sector in Mongolia is divided into three main subsectors according to the heating system they use. Heat supply in Ulaanbataar and the *aimag* centers to commercial and residential apartment blocks is in district heating

through networks that provide hot water and heat, generated in combined heat and power plants (CHP). The second group consists of individual houses and blocks in Ulaanbataar and *soum* centers buildings with individual or small network systems in which energy is generated in heat-only boilers, which are often quite energy-inefficient. Individual *ger* residences in Ulaanbaatar's expanding periurban areas¹³, in small cities and rural areas mainly on solid fuel-fired heating stoves (coal and wood). The *ger* is the traditional Mongolian dwelling (see picture).



The population grew from 2.8 million in 2010 to 3.1 million in 2016 and is expected

to grow to 4.1 million in 2035 (UN 2013)¹⁴. Ulaanbaatar (UB) City has the highest number of building stock in Mongolia, about 31.0 million m² (85% of the national total) of residential apartments and 6.6 million m² of public/commercial buildings (45% of the national total) in 2010¹⁵. Ulaanbaatar currently accommodates 44.5% of the country's population¹⁶, which is expected to increase to about 60% by 2035 (mainly due to the continuing migration of rural population to the city), creating an increased demand for housing. About 140,000 new apartment units are projected to be constructed between 2020 and 2030. The development of commercial and institutional dwellings is expected to increase in urban areas, especially in Ulaanbaatar (UB). This will increase the demand for energy in the building sector, as indicated in Box 3. During the long-lasting winter season in Mongolia, heating of homes, apartments, and offices is a

Growth driver	2010	2020	2035
Population	2.8		4.1
Households ('000)	742	945	1,186
• Urban	464	624	830
- Ulaanbaatar	312	418	581
- Other	152	206	249
Rural	278	321	356
- Herders	185	171	142
- Soum centers	93	150	214
Building volume (million m ³)			
- UB	31.0	42	60
- Total, Mongolia	36.2		
Commercial buildings			
- UB	6.5		
- Total, Mongolia	14.6		

Box 3 Characteristics and energy demand in building sector



Compiled from *Strategies for Development of Green Energy Systems in Mongolia (2013-2035),* GGGI (2015). NAMA in Construction Sector, Project Document

- ¹⁵ Final Report Heat Forecasts, Updating Energy Sector Development Plan, ADB, 2013
- ¹⁶ The urban areas of Ulaanbataar, Erdenet and Dakhan have about 64% of Mongolia's population

UNDP/GEF -- Mongolia NAMA in Construction Sector

¹³ Ger areas account for 60% of Ulaanbaatar's population and 30% of the country's population. Household incomes are generally low to medium10 accounting for 25% of Mongolia's poor.

¹⁴ Based on the United Nations Medium projection for population (2008) and National Statistical Office of Mongolia (2012); World Bank data (2017)

necessary condition as air temperatures drop to as low as -40°C. The heating season lasts for 8 months which exerts additional constraints on energy demand.

Energy sector

Mongolia's power and heat supply are dominated by coal-fired units. In 2012, 95% of electricity and more than 99% of district heat was provided by coal. Coal consumption in 2012 was 3,083 kilotons of oil equivalent (ktoe) and electricity consumption was 4 terawatt-hours¹⁷. Mongolia is a big producer of coal, which is mostly exported.

About 90% of the electricity and heat consumption in Mongolia in five relatively small, independent transmission grids, of which three are located in Ulaanbaatar (UB)¹⁸. All three UB combined heat-power (CHP) plants¹⁹ (referred to as CHP2, CHP3, and CHP4) are coal-fired and generate electricity for the central electricity grid, steam for industrial purposes supplied through a separate pipe network, and hot water for the district heating system (DHS). The main network of the DHS consists of about 130 kilometers (km) of transmission pipelines with diameters from 15 centimeters to 1.2 meters that feed the main substations and distribution points. About 36% of the pipelines in the main network are aboveground. The remaining 64% are underground pipelines placed in concrete ducts, mainly along roadways²⁰. The Ulaanbaatar District Heating Company (DHC) acts as a heat wholesaler, buying heat from the power plants and selling it in bulk to large industrial, commercial, public, and institutional building operators; to the various housing and communal services companies that belong to national²¹ and local government²² and smaller companies.

Greenhouse gas emissions

The energy sector is by far the largest contributor to total greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions in the country. According to the recent Third National Communication of Mongolia (2018), total GHG emissions in 2014 were 34,483 kt of CO_{2-eq}, of which 17,268 ktCO_{2-eq} coming from energy. The building subsector alone contributes to over 11% of the overall carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions, higher than that from the other sectors. In aggregate terms, the residential sector represents 40% cent of energy consumption, more than the industry and transport sectors combined. Urban household energy use dominates energy demand in the buildings sector, which is projected to nearly double between 2010 and 2035, despite the combination of energy efficiency improvements (source: GGGI, 2015).

Mongolia's GHG mitigation policy is primarily directed towards burning coal by more environmentally friendly technologies (including renewable energy). At the same time, it is focusing on the efficient use of the electricity and heat produced from coal-burning by using energy-efficient appliances and equipment and reducing heat losses in buildings. The residential building sector has great potential to reduce energy demand and ultimately to reduce CO₂ emissions. Mongolia's Green Development Policy (2014) and the Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC) document (2016, 2019) set out a goal to reduce building heat loss by 20% by 2020, and 40% by 2030.

Issues and options in the 'energy in buildings' sector

Supply-side interventions

The ESMAP-World Bank report *Paving the Way to a Sustainable Heating Sector* mentions that the district heating system is dilapidated, resulting from a lack of investments for needed rehabilitation and upgrading in past decades. Piping is typically quite old and corroded, resulting in heat losses that were 18.4% in 2014²³. One reason is that the quality of

¹⁷ Source: IEA Statistics. In 2017, electricity consumption had increased to 7 TWh and coal consumption to 3,518 ktoe

¹⁸ The largest is the Central Electricity System (CES), covering 13 provinces along the Trans-Mongolian railway from Ulaanbaatar to Darkhan, accounts for about 80% of the country's installed power capacity.

¹⁹ The three have a total electricity capacity of 910 MW and a thermal capacity of about 2000 Gcal per hour. Connected load is about 1625 Gcal per hour with annual sales of 500,000 Gcal per year.

Source: en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Energy_in_Mongolia. Given aging infrastructure actual output is less,

 ²⁰ Completion Report, Mongolia: Ulaanbaatar Heat Efficiency Project, Asian Development Bank (2008)
 ²¹ Ulaanbaatar District Heating Company (UBDH), owned by MOE. Ministry of Einance and State Property Committee

²¹ Ulaanbaatar District Heating Company (UBDH), owned by MOE, Ministry of Finance and State Property Committee

²² Housing and Public Utilities of Ulaanbaatar City (OSNAAUG), owned by Ulaanbaatar City

²³ For comparison, heat losses in district heating systems in cities with similar climatic conditions are much lower, e.g. Helsinki, 6%, Stockholm 7%, Harbin (China), 9%

replenishment water has not been adequately maintained to prevent corrosion and leakage. The Government aims at improving heat transmission capacity by means of network reinforcement and reducing heat losses to 12% by 2030²⁴.

Urban household energy use dominates energy demand in the buildings sector, which is projected to nearly double between 2010 and 2035 (see Box 3). New power supplies are needed to meet growing electricity demand. The Ministry of Energy has plans to expand the power supply in the coming years, including the construction of CHP5, a new 450 MW coal-fired CHP plant Tavantolgoi, as well as several other power plants, including plants generating power from renewable resources. The increase in production and transmission capacity of the central system will prevent the expansion of individual, smaller and less efficient, boilers.

Demand-side measures

The current tariff system does not encourage energy savings. The tariff structure reflects the heating sector's segregated structure and makes the tariff structure for various customers difficult to understand. The government subsidizes the cost of coal at the power plant to keep the heating tariff low. Importantly, customers' bills are being based on payment per square meter of floor area (or volume) and hot water is billed according to the number of people living in households rather than actual consumption. The absence of control valves on radiators prevents customers from controlling their heat consumption. Although heat energy meters are found in private housing at the building/staircase level, the meter readings are not used for billing purposes. Because the tariff level is relatively low²⁵ and not consumption-based, customers lack further incentives for heat savings.

Experience in similar systems in Eastern Europe and Central Asia, have shown that the introduction of consumptionbased billing (CBB) can generate substantial energy savings (about 25-30% of the heat consumed)²⁶. The introduction of CBB will meet regulatory and physical constraints. The introduction of such a billing system will require the installation of building-level heat meters and apartment-level hot water meters, which would require substantial investment. Moreover, Ulaanbaatar's housing stock is still dominated by pre-cast concrete panel buildings from the 1970s, 80s and the early 90s. More than 20% of the over 1 million city's population lives in these buildings are in an inadequate state due to their age, poor or non-existent maintenance and lack of insulation²⁷. The ESMAP report further mentions that "since the walls between apartments are not insulated, inaccurate measurements may question the economy of individual apartment-level metering. Old buildings with unbalanced piping and radiator systems distribute heat unevenly to apartments, which would lead to unfair billing of apartment owners. In short, the existing DH infrastructure in housing (i.e., single-string systems with multiple vertical risers) makes introducing apartment-level metering virtually impossible".

To improve demand-side efficiency, the Mongolian government has undertaken building EE improvement initiatives. In 2014, the Building Construction Norms and Standards (BCNS) were revised²⁸. The Ministry issued guidelines for the buildings sector to adhere to updated BCNS in new construction. The CDC approves construction drawings and documents that comply with revised BCNS. The state inspection agency is involved in the inspection of commercial and residential buildings during different stages of construction to ensure that building construction is being carried out as per the approved plan and drawings.

²⁴ DH in Mongolia – Energy efficient and cleaner heating in Ulaanbaatar, PowerPoint by E. Agarjev (UBHC), Aprtil 2015

Residential heat tariff in Ulaanbaatar is MNT 460/m² and cost of hot tap water is MNT 1700-2550 person/month. Metered tariff (residential) is MNT 11,356 per Gigacalorie (Gcal). The average electricity price per consumer in the central grid system (CRIPG) is about MNT 151.44 per kWh (which is about 97% of the average estimated cost of power delivery of MNT 155.85/kWh. Source: ERC website

²⁶ ESMAP report *Paving the Way for Sustainable Energy*

²⁷ Case Study Thermo-technical rehabilitation of public and apartment buildings, NEXUS-GIZ

²⁸ The previous UNDP-GEF Buildings Energy Efficiency Project (BEEP) was successful in developing technical and institutional capacity in adopting the energy code and updated 12 revised EE BCNS and In the area of (i) building energy efficiency performance modelling; (ii) methods for determining the total thermal resistance of parts of building; (iii) Thermo-technics of construction materials; (iv) methods of determining the thermal resistance of insulation materials; (v) space heating system energy efficiency; (vi) domestic hot-water system energy efficiency; (vii) thermal resistance of external walls; (viii) thermal resistance of ground floors, basements, and foundations; (ix) thermal resistance of roofs and insulated ceilings; (x) thermal resistance of windows; (xi) air tightness, leakage and ventilation; (xii) energy efficient lighting system

The restructuring of tariff systems and building energy codes is a political process that could take several years to realize the results, as policymakers need to balance the energy and environmental issues with the financial consequences for the State budget and costs for owners of apartments and houses.

Building energy efficiency (EE) measures will help reduce the demand, but may proceed quite slowly due to lack of incentives (as described above) and due to inadequate financing sources and modalities. Specific technical options include (i) improving the energy efficiency of the building envelope, e.g., via insulation of walls, roofs, attics, and basements; and repair or replacement of external doors and windows (as described in Box 17), (ii) improving operations and maintenance (O&M) practices, and (iii) installation of energy-efficient appliances in the buildings.

Barriers and project strategy

Several barriers restrict widespread adoption and investments of energy efficiency interventions (as described in Box 20) in the construction sector²⁹ occur across a range of areas that need to be strategically addressed to facilitate marked progress. Key barriers include (as mentioned in the Project Document):

- Insufficient EE policy implementation and coordination mechanisms
- Lack of systematic approach, comprehensive tools and capacity to inform EE policy measures
- Absence of effective financing models for EE Investments
- Unattractive economic benefits of EE investments for end-users due to subsidized heat and electricity tariffs
- Limited availability of high performing, advanced EE building materials
- Lack of credible information on EE construction materials, equipment and cost-effective state of art technologies
- Lack of tools and guidelines for monitoring and evaluation.

One barrier is that GHG savings and the cost-benefits of low carbon interventions in the building sector have not been systematically quantified in Mongolia and their benefits remained unclear and often assessed on an ad-hoc basis. There has been a dearth of data on energy consumption and GHG emission from end-uses. Major challenges persist in measuring and monitoring the energy consumption and identifying the reference baseline, and this becomes a barrier, particularly noticeable when having to formulate proposals to attract financing for investments in energy efficiency measures.

2.2 Project description and strategy

2.2.1 Objective, outcomes, and indicators

The NAMA Project was designed to provide the Government of Mongolia with opportunities to overcome the regulatory, institutional, technical, financial and social barriers for the scaling up of initiatives the construction sector by strengthen capabilities of its agencies, implementation of pilot (or demonstration) projects, and setting up a implement a robust and transparent GHG inventory and monitoring, reporting and verification (MRV) within a NAMA framework for meeting national GHG targets in the construction sector. For information on the 'NAMA' concept, the reader is referred to Box 4.

The **objective** of the project is to "facilitate market transformation for energy efficiency in the construction sector through the development and implementation of Nationally Appropriate Mitigation Actions (NAMA) in Mongolia". This objective will be achieved by removing barriers to increased adoption of energy efficiency technology in the construction sector through three **components**:

- Establishment of baseline energy consumption and GHG emissions in the construction sector;
- Development and implementation of NAMA in the construction sector;
- Measurement, Reporting, and Verification (MRV) system for NAMA in the construction sector

²⁹ In the Mongolian context, the "construction sector" refers to heavyweight, multi-storey commercial, residential apartment buildings and private houses (within or outside housing estates) since these are connected to water supply, sewage, district heating and domestic hot water systems. The *ger* areas surrounding the UB city centre without access to heat grid or other infrastructure facilities, are outside the scope of the 'construction sector'.

Box 4 What are NAMAs?

Under the aegis of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), endorsed in 1992, over 190 governments have committed themselves to prevent a dangerous level of climate change. Energy efficiency (EE) can play a key role in mitigating climate change since it allows the combination of further economic growth with more efficient use of energy sources thus avoiding GHG emissions. **Nationally Appropriate Mitigation Action (NAMA)** refers to a set of policies and voluntary actions that countries undertake as part of a commitment to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. The concept of NAMA was first used in the Bali Action Plan as part of the Bali Road Map agreed at the UNFCCC in Bali in December 2007, and also formed part of the Copenhagen Accord issued following the United Nations Climate Change Conference in Copenhagen (COP15) in December 2009, which mentions that "Nationally appropriate mitigation actions seeking international support will be recorded in a registry along with relevant technology, finance and capacity building support. Those actions supported will be added to the list in appendix II. These supported nationally appropriate mitigation actions will be subject to international measurement, reporting and verification in accordance with guidelines adopted by the COP".

The Paris Agreement (at COP21) introduced the **National Determined Contribution (NDCs**) by each individual country to achieve the agreed goal of keeping the increase in global average temperature to well below 2°C above pre-industrial levels; and to pursue efforts to limit the increase to 1.5°C. A NAMA can be seen as an operational vehicle for implementation for the NDC that sets and prioritizes targets on a more tactical level.

By definition, NAMAs will vary by country. While there is a general understanding that NAMAs aim to achieve a deviation from business as usual (BAU) emissions in developing countries, the definition of NAMAs has remained ambiguous, leaving ample room for different interpretations. This has led to diversity in submitted NAMA types. *Policy/strategy-type* NAMAs are actions at the policy/regulatory level and may have a national or sectoral level scope. Examples include energy efficiency building codes; labelling programmes, renewable energy feed-in tariffs. *Target-based NAMAs* focus on achieving a target (e.g. energy intensity, energy efficiency, renewable energy) against a baseline. *Project-type* NAMAs are specific investments, generally in cleaner infrastructure or machinery. An example, is the replacement of boilers with solar water heaters, double glazing and wall insulation, or of high-efficient motors, installation of rooftop PV, etc. The scope of a NAMA for a country could vary from a collection of specific individual actions to a national mitigation goal. Also, NAMAs formulation is not a one-off event, but is a continuous process through which developing countries can expand the scope of activities over time. Thus, countries could initiate with some individual actions, then change to NAMA with sectoral or economy-wide targets.

NAMAs are registered with UNFCCC (see <u>https://www4.unfccc.int/sites/publicnama/SitePages/Home.aspx)</u>. in the sectors a) energy supply, b) buildings, c) transport, d) industry, e) agriculture, f) forestry, g) waste, and h) cross-sectoral The Registry lists NAMAs that have been recognized, NAMAs that need support (for their preparation, or for their implementation), and NAMAs that have received support. Information on NAMAs in various stages of UNFCCC submission (feasibility, under development, implementation) can also be found at the NAMA database (see http://www.namadatabase.org/index.php/Special:RunQuery/QueryData) run by Ecofys that lists (currently) 259 NAMAs and 35 feasibility studies in 69 countries. Technical support for NAMA formulation and capacity building has been provided by UNDP (with GEF and other funding), NAMA Facility, and the Climate Technology Centre and Network (CTCN).

A well-formulated NAMA document encompasses several elements:

- Technical: current and future GHG emissions trends (Business-as-usual scenario), identification and prioritization of mitigation options; and cost estimates of mitigation options. This dimension provides a basis for required Measurement, Reporting and Verification (MRV);
- National benefits: links with national development priorities., including sustainable development, low-emission development and low-carbon strategies and NDC (Nationally Determined Contribution).
- Description of actions, i.e. mitigation measures and options (detailing actions with costs, duration, actors involved, GHG emission reduction or avoidance, and transformational impacts)
- Institutional: description of the decision-making process which can coordinate and reconcile diverse domestic interests, including task allocation of NAMAs formulation and implementation among appropriate ministries and other stakeholders;
- Monitoring, registration and verification (MRV): key elements in assessing GHG emission and other impacts, methodology to estimate these impacts, and arrangements for measuring and reporting. The reporting should be such that is fits in reporting requirements of NDCs and other UNFCCC reporting (e.g. Biennial Update Reports and National Communications)
- Finance: cost of the NAMA (incl. demo pilots, cost of MRV, technical and capacity building) and sources of financing)

Sources: From NAMAs to Low Carbon Development in Southeast Asia: Technical, Mainstreaming, and Institutional Dimensions (IGES, 2012); Guidance for NAMA Design (UNDP, UNEP; 2013); Guidance for NAMA Design in the Context of Nationally Determined Contributions (UNDP, UNEP; 2016)

UNDP/GEF Mongolia
NAMA in Construction Sector

The project concept (Project Identification Form) was approved in June 2014 and the project approved by the GEF for implementation in May 2016 and the Project Document signed in June 2016 to be implemented over 3 years and 4 months (proposed to be closed by 31 December 2019). However, Project Inception was delayed until April 2017, due to government restructuring after the 2016 parliamentary elections. A summary of the project framework with **objective**, **outcomes**, **outputs**, **and indicators** is provided in Box 5.

Box 5	Summary	of the i	projec	t objective.	outcomes.	and out	nuts
DUXJ	Juillia	y or the p	projec	i objective,	outcomes,	and out	puls

Project goal: Reduced GHG emissions in the construction sector Project objective:	 Indicators and end-of-project (EoP) target value Cumulative CO2 emissions reduced from the start of the project to EoP: 10,709 tCO_{2e} from baseline, 2,014 tCO_{2e}) Cumulative heat and electrical energy savings due to the Project by EoP: 18,722 MWh, from baseline 3,521 MWh)
Project objective: To facilitate market transformation for energy efficiency in the construction sector through the development and implementation of NAMA	 Number of construction sector NAMA developed and implemented: one % of new buildings that are fully or beyond BCNS compliance by EoP: 100% from baseline 80% Number of people gainfully employed on EE in the construction sector in Mongolia: 50 (by EoP)

Component 1. Establishment of Baseline Energy Consumption and GHG Emission in the Construction Sector GEF budget: USD 202,700 (TA). Co-financing: USD 796,153

Outcomes and indicators	Output and activities	
Outcome 1: Effective EE policymaking informed by robust energy consumption monitoring	Output 1.1: Designed and completed capacity building development programs for decision-makers and agencies on data collection and sustainable operation of the GHG inventory systems	
and reference baselines for	Activities	
the construction sector	 Develop training modules targeting decision-makers and technical staff on the imperative of data collection and GHG inventory system 	
Indicators:	 Implement the training programs 	
6. Number of energy	 Conduct post-training evaluation survey 	
consumption and GHG emission inventory systems operational	Output 1.2: Established and operational energy consumption and GHG inventory system for the construction sector with improved data availability and methodology	
and adopted for the	Activities	
construction sector NAMA: one by Year 3	 Review existing national communications data inventory system to identify barriers, gaps, needs, lessons and challenges for data collection and compilation 	
 Number of MoU to operationalize the data collection frameworks 	 Formulate GHG inventory protocols and procedures for the construction sector Identify and select key focal points for the collection, compilation, and management of baseline data 	
for the energy consumption and GHG inventory system: one	 Develop institutional arrangements, and coordinate procedures among a broad range of stakeholders engaged in the construction sector Collect compile quality check and analyze data 	
by EOP 8. Number of public and private sector entities	 Develop and test the inventory system and deploy a web-based data collection system Develop and implement guidelines to regularly update and improve the inventory system 	
supporting the sustainable operation	 Develop and conduct training programs for data management staff to strengthen the data collection efforts for inventory at the energy end-use sectoral level 	
of the GHG inventory system by EOP	Output 1.3: Defined and established reference baseline on energy consumption and GHG emission for the construction sector	
The following indicator was	Activities In partnership with national communication inventory team, define and develop 	

UNDP/GEF Mongolia	Terminal Evaluation report	33
NAMA in Construction Sector	2019	

but and activities
rameters for reference baseline and emissions boundary timate the reference baseline for energy consumption and associated GHG hissions st, verify and establish reference baselines for energy consumption in different bes of buildings and GHG emissions in UB

Component 2. Development and Implementation of NAMA in the Construction Sector GEF budget: USD 324,500 (TA) and USD 490,000 (INV). Co-financing: USD 928,845 (TA) and USD 4,385,000 (INV)

Outcome 2: Increased use and deployment of locally- produced high- quality LED lighting technologies Indicators: 9. Number of prioritized NAMA in the construction sector developed and funded	 Output 2.1: Developed framework for evaluating appropriate climate change mitigation interventions; and identified priority climate change mitigation actions <i>Activity:</i> Develop methodology/standardized approach for preparing and updating abatement cost curves Develop detailed marginal abatement cost curves (MACCs) Develop training program and annual budget on the use and management of MACCs Develop and implement selection criteria for prioritization of the most cost-effective measures for inclusion in the NAMA Conduct policy studies to recommend a concerted policy framework to support implementation of priority measures
for the implementation by the project: one (by EoP) 10. No. of individual EE interventions that constitute the construction sector pilots NAMAs ³⁰ : six by Year 4 (up from in one baseline) 11. No. of identified fully capable and qualified	 Output 2.2: Completed operational structure for coordination among government agencies and key stakeholders for NAMA. Activity: Review and assess best practices and recommend options for institutional arrangements (IA) for NAMA development and implementation Define roles and responsibilities of the entities that will be a part of the IA Conduct stakeholder consultations to solicit feedback, refine and endorse the IA from supporting entities and stakeholders Output 2.3: Completed capacity development of private and public sector actors on the successful development and implementation of NAMAs; and in the supportive identification of financing options
private and/or public sector entities that are interested in funding prioritized NAMA	 Activity: Conduct need assessment and design of capacity development programs for private and public sector Execute capacity development trainings for private and public sector participants to public sector participants to public sector participants to public sector participants to public sector public sector participants to public sector public secto
projects: three by Year 4 (up from one in the baseline)	 Output 2.4: Developed and implemented construction sector pilot NAMA Activities: Finalise demonstration projects and conduct detailed energy audits Prepare detailed design and implementation plans for each demonstration host Procure energy-efficient technologies and energy monitoring systems Install and commission energy-efficient technologies/applications for demonstration projects Evaluate the pilot NAMA and develop criteria for categorizing NAMA as supported or voluntary Clearly document and disseminate results and lessons from the demonstration projects

³⁰ In the MTR report is was suggested to change the wording and remove the word 'NAMA' to avoid confusion between the pilot projects and the actual NAMA

UNDP/GEF Mongolia	
NAMA in Construction Sector	

Output 2.5: Developed financial tools that support the implementation of NAMA in the construction sector
Activities:
Detailed feasibility analysis on NAMA financing options
• Design and implement financial instruments to support scaled EE investments and
measures

Component 3. Measurement, Reporting and Verification (MRV) system for NAMA GEF budget: USD 200,963 (TA). Co-financing: USD 530,769

Outcome and output:	Indicators:
3. Effective climate change mitigation policies strengthened by NAMA impacts ascertained through the established MRV system ³¹	 Output 3.1: Defined key indicators (GHG and non-GHG) to be monitored for the selected mitigation actions Activities: Conduct in-depth assessment to determine key indicators and metrics for construction sector NAMA Establish monitoring framework and define key parameters for demonstration projects to be measured, monitored, recorded and updated on the web-based inventory system in
 MRV system for construction sector emissions set up and operational: one by Year 2 No of institutions adopting and operationalizing MRV systems of the pilot NAMA:³² two by Q2 of Year 3 Number of construction 	 Outcome 1 Output 3.2: Defined key indicators (GHG and non-GHG) to be monitored for the selected mitigation actions Activities: Review best practices in MRV methodologies and guidelines based on established CDM methodologies, IPCC and UNFCCC NAMA guidelines and principles Develop MRV standards and methodologies to measure, report and verify GHG and non-GHG indicators Implement the MRV activities for the NAMA in prioritized and pilot demonstrations
sector NAMA case studies using the approved MRV framework and incorporated in policy documents: three by EOP	 Output 3.3: Designed and completed capacity development in the implementation and institutionalization of the MRV system Activities: Commission need assessment and design of capacity development programs for MRV practitioners Execute capacity development trainings for MRV practitioners Design and conduct a post-training evaluation survey of the trainees Prepare communication and knowledge products highlighting the results of the MRV

2.3 Project partners and stakeholders

2.3.1 Main project partners and project implementation arrangement

UNDP is the GEF Implementing Agency with the Ministry of Construction and Urban Development (MCUD) as the Executing Entity and the Ministry of Environment, Green Development and Tourism (MEGDT), the Ministry of Energy (MOE), the Energy Regulation Commission (ERC) and the Construction Development Center (CDC) as key strategic partners.

Day-to-day activities of the NAMA Project are managed by the Project Implementation Unit (PIU), housed within the premises of MCUD, which is responsible for planning activities and budgets, recruiting specialists, conducting training workshops and other activities to ensure the Project is executed as per approved work plans. The PIU reports to MCUD,

³¹ Although not mentioned in the results framework, the following indicators on gender were added in the work plans: a) Percentage of women participated in the capacity building trainings, Baseline 0, Target 40%, b) 2. Number of female beneficiaries in the demonstration projects, Baseline 0, Target 20

³² Ibid.

UNDP, and the Project Steering Committee (PSC). The PM has been headed by а National Proiect Coordinator³³ under the responsibility of the National Project Director, a senior official representing the implementing partner MCUD³⁴. The primary functions of the PSC have been provide the to necessary direction that allows the Project to function and achieve its policy and technical objectives, to oversee the PIU, and to approve the annual Project plans and



monitoring and evaluation (M&E) reports.

Chaired by the MCUD State Secretary, members include United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), Ministry of Construction and Urban Development (MCUD), Ministry of Energy (MOE), Ministry of Environment, Green Development, and Tourism (MEGDT) as well as other members from, for example, non-government organisations.

UNDP also has had a role in project assurance. This role will be exercised by the UNDP Program Officer responsible for the project, based in the UNDP Country Office (CO) and the Regional Technical Advisor (RTA) based in the UNDP Bangkok Regional Hub³⁵.

2.3.2 Stakeholders

The Box below gives a description of the main stakeholders involved in the NAMA Project.

Category	Mandate, function, description	Role of stakeholder in the NAMA Project
Ministry of Construction and Urban Development (MCUD)	MCUD is responsible for implementing the Government's policies and regulations related to the construction sector;	MCUD has been the Implementing Partner for the GEF Project, being responsible for the overall management of the project including day-to-day project implementation, communication and coordination with UNDP and key partners, providing staff and administrative support, liaison with local governments, monitoring and project financial management. MCUD chaired the PSC
Construction Development Center (CDC)	Under MCUD, the Construction Development Center (CDC) is mandated to implement certain policies and some of the functions of the MCUD such as trainings, issuing construction permits and drawing expertise. As a result of certain level of privatization of the services that the CDC is	CDC has been involved in supporting the sustainable operation of the GHG inventory system. A MoU was signed among MCUD, CDC, ERC and the Ulaanbaatar City Mayor's Office. Also, CDC has hosted one of the 6 pilot projects (CDC old laboratory retrofit)

Box 7 List of project stakeholders

³³ Ms. Bayarlkham Byambaa (until 2019) and Mr. Khishigjargal Batjantsan (2019)

³⁴ Ms. Lkhagvatseden Orosoo

³⁵ Ms. Bunchingiv Bazartseren and Ms. Milou Beerepoot, respectively
	offering (building permits, construction safety checks, etc), the CDC is financially independent of the MCUD	
Ministry of Environment and Tourism (MET)	MEGDT is the leading government body for climate change mitigation activities and has the mandate to promote NAMA development and implementation in Mongolia	Of interest regarding employing and adapting GHG emission methodologies in the Mongolian context are the NAMA's the reference baseline survey and analysis for GHG inventory and MRV related activities.
Ministry of Energy (MOE)	The Ministry of Energy (MOE) is responsible for energy and energy efficiency policy development while the has the mandate for	MOE has assisted in energy-related data collection for establishing the baseline energy consumption in the construction sector
Energy Regulatory Committee (ERC)	implementation. ERC regulates the generation, transmission, distribution, dispatching and supply of energy. It issues operational licenses, to review and approve the tariffs	ERC's mandate includes energy conservation. In particular, one of the demo projects (rooftop PV) has been on the new ERC Building
Ulaanbaatar City Government (UB City)	The Energy Conservation Law, approved in November 2015, defines the role of UB City under Article 7 to organize the implementation of legislation on energy conservation and decisions issued by the authority in charge in conformity with the Law, and develop a policy on energy conservation in their respective territories	In the GEF Project, the UB city has worked on improving the building stock data. In addition, the NAMA Project has supported one of the six demo projects with UB (installation of meters in 24 apartment buildings)
Housing and Public Utility Authority (HPUA)	HPUA is responsible for the provision of municipal services in UB including heating and electricity. HPUS supervises three public utility service enterprises owned by UB city and 18 Housing Companies	HPUA is important in providing data on building stock in UB city from its database as well as update of the database. HPUA has also participated in the capacity building to incorporate EE measures in its investment plan for the renovation of public utilities
Financial banks Mongolian Sustainable Finance Association (MSFA/ToC)	The Mongolian banking sector consists of 14 commercial banks. Mongolia's banks work together in the 'sustainable energy initiative'. Institutions, most active in the area of green financing, are Xac Bank ³⁶ , Khan Bank, Arig Bank, and Mongolian Green Credit Fund. Mongolia has a relatively well- developed mortgage market. For a country of just over 3million citizens, it boasts of over 72,000 mortgage holders, worth over MNT 34.3 billion (2018) ³⁷	Banks have participated in the Project to support the formulation and implementation of financial strategies and barrier removal activities to increase investment in the construction sector
Property developers (e.g. Normin Construction, Mongolian Properties)		Building developers have benefitted from capacity building, training, workshops, and seminars.
Mongolian University of Science and Technology (MUST); Supreme Council of Condominium Associations (SCCA); Mongolian Civil Engineers Association (MACE); Building Material Manufacturer Association.		Professional and industry associations are important in disseminating information and raising the awareness of different stakeholders on EE in buildings by using their current networks, and participate in the development of demonstration projects. MUST has implemented one of the 6 demo projects (roofton PV)

³⁶ For example, Xac bank has specialized eco banking unit it has invested in energy efficiency building and retrofit projects for USD 5 million (2018). Arig Bank also has developed green loan products. The MGCF is set up by the Mongolian Bankers Association (MBA)

³⁷ Final Report: "Financial Scheme for Energy Efficient buildings in Mongolia, by B. Narandelger; 2019)

3. FINDINGS: PROJECT DESIGN AND STRATEGY

Next in this report follows an overview of the evaluation findings. Due to the size of the main text it has been divided over three chapters that cover a) project design & formulation, b) project implementation, and c) project results and sustainability. The findings are based on several evaluative criteria and questions (originally formulated in the Inception report and slightly re-formulated). The questions in the orange-coloured boxes in this and in other Chapters are taken from the Evaluative matrix (Annex D) as these correspond to the appropriate Section in this report. Here, the reader can make a link between the evaluative matrix and how the main text addresses these questions.

Chapter 3 looks first at the project relevance and country drivenness (at project design), and links with national and development. Second, it looks at the design logic (in the framework of outcomes and objectives to reach the objective) and how the design framework was formulated, including the indicators and target values for outcomes and outputs.

3.1 Relevance and design

Country priorities and relevance

- Is the project relevant to National priorities and commitment under international conventions?
- Relevance of the project's objectives, outcomes, and outputs to the different target groups of the interventions.
- Has it responded to the real needs and priorities of the targeted aimags?
- Relevance of the project's objectives, outcomes, and outputs to the different target groups of the interventions.

<u>Relevance</u>

Energy efficiency and government policies

The project is fully in line with the national policies and measures that aim directly or indirectly at mitigating greenhouse gas emission emissions:

- The *State Policy on Energy* (2015-2030) covers energy efficiency and renewable energy. Regarding energy efficiency, the Policy's objective is to "create a nation-wide legal environment for regulating energy efficiency and saving's measures".
- The National Determined Contribution (NDC) specifically mentions a target in the construction sector of reducing building heat loss by 20% by 2020 and 40% by 2030, compared to 2014 levels.
- The Green Development Policy (2014) has six strategic objectives³⁸, of which Strategic Objective #1 is to "Promote resource-efficient, low greenhouse gas emission and waste less in production and services". The Action Plan (2014) lists strategies and actions to ensure that the objectives are implemented. Actions relevant to the construction sector are listed in Box 8.
- The *Energy Conservation Law* (2015) mandates large energy consumers to undergo an energy audit and to report annually its energy consumption as well as its plans and activities to reduce their energy consumption. It also forms a basis for the creation of institutional mechanisms for energy conservation and legal environment of ESCO business
- The National Action Programme on Climate Change (approved by Parliament in 2011) intends to meet UNFCCC obligations and commitments, establishing national policy and strategy to tackle the adverse impacts of climate change and to mitigate GHG emissions. A first phase (2011-2016) aims at strengthening capacities and institutional structures, while a second phase (2017-2021) will focus more on adaptation and mitigation measures

³⁸ The formulation of the Green Development Policy benefited from the project "Strategies for Development of Green Energy Systems in Mongolia", involving the Global Green Growth Institute (GGGI), the Stockholm Environment Institute (SEI; making available the LEAP energy modelling software) and several Mongolian ministries. The LEAP model was used to develop several scenarios for energy futures from which the figures in Box 3 and Box 8 are taken.

Box 8 Action Plan, Green Development Policy

One *Strategic Objective* in the "Action Plan, Green Development Policy" is 'Promote a sustainable consumption and production pattern with efficient use of natural resources, reduced greenhouse gas emissions and waste generation' (#01). One approach to achieve this Strategic Objective is # 1.2 to "Reduce building heat losses by 20% by 2020, and by 40% by 2030" for which a number of implementation activities are proposed, as listed below

Implementation activity	Main responsible and	Funding sources
	other agencies	
Develop and advertise/promote the national green building	MCUD	International org.
rating system and its methodology.	MEGDT	
Organize activities to update local norms and standards for	MCUD	State budget;
calculation of construction and energy efficiencies and heat	MEGDT, MOE, LG	International org.
losses, introduce international		
and EU norms and standards.		
Build human resource capacities of the construction sector in	MCUD	International org.
areas of planning, design, and construction of green buildings.	MEGDT	
Create and implement the incentive scheme to promote green	MCUD, MOE	State budget
buildings and energy efficiency measures.	MEGDT	
Implement systematic energy audit and measures to reduce	MOE	
energy and heat losses.	MCUD	
Develop the green architecture and construction design for	MEGDT	International org.
schools and kindergartens, and implement the model project.	MECS, MCUD	
Develop and implement green architecture for	MCUD	State budget;
construction of the state funded public buildings	MECS, MHS, LG	Private sector
Implement projects and programs to reduce heat losses of	Private-public	Internat. (GCF);
existing concrete panel buildings.	MCUD, MEGDT	Private sector
Study solutions of energy efficient, zero-waste and green	MCUD	International;
buildings, such as Passive Zero Building, and implement pilot	MEGDT	State budget
projects.		

Notes: MEGDT: Ministry of Environment, Green Development and Tourism (now known as Ministry of Environment and Tourism, MET); MOE: Ministry of Energy; LG: local government (aimag and/or city governors); MECS: Ministry of Education, Culture and Science; GCF: Green Climate Fund

Compiled from Action Plan, Green Development Policy (2014)

The report *Strategies for Development of Green Energy Systems in Mongolia (2013-2035)* by GGGI (2015) presents four broad scenarios of how energy supply and demand could evolve in Mongolia through the year 2035. These have been used as input for the development of the Green Development Policy. The *reference* scenario reflects a continuation of largely coal-based energy supply in an economy driven largely by mining exports, especially of coal and copper. This scenario assumes relatively few changes in energy supply or the intensity of demand other than gradual improvements in some technologies (see Box 3). The *expanded green energy scenario* (see the figure below) describes a future where Mongolia makes an even stronger transition to renewable energy and implements extensive energy efficiency measures across its economy. The realization of this scenario will



• Energy retrofits of existing apartment buildings proceed rapidly at an annual rate of roughly 5% of the building stock, such that all of the remaining existing buildings are retrofitted by 2035 (better insulation and air sealing; phasing-in of heat metering);

• Higher energy standards for new apartment buildings and introduction of heat metering;

• Increased use of efficient stoves and better insulation (layers of felting) in ger areas);

• Transition to high-efficiency appliances and lighting for all grid-connected

UNDP/GEF -- Mongolia NAMA in Construction Sector

- Mongolia's Second National Communication on Climate Change (to the UNFCCC) lists a number of strategies to reduce GHG emissions from the energy sector, including options to "improve building insulation and heating systems' (mentioning improved building insulation, improved heating systems in buildings and improved lighting efficiency)
- The *State Policy on Construction* (2019) has GHG emission reduction targets of 10.9 kilotons of CO₂ in 2021, 30.1 in 2015 and 53.7 ktCO₂ in 2029;
- At the local level, important relevant plans in the context of the NAMA project are the Affordable Housing Strategy (AHS) for Ulaanbaatar³⁹ and the Ulaanbaatar City Master Plan.

Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

The project document (ProDoc) does not explicitly refer to the SDGs, maybe because it was not a requirement to do so at the time of ProDoc formulation. The Evaluation Team can confirm that the NAMA Project addresses several SDGs both directly as well as indirectly, as indicated Box 9.

Sustainable Development Goals	Linkage with energy efficiency		
Sustainable energy			
 7.2 Increase substantially the share of renewable energy in the global energy mix 7.3 Double the global rate of 	7a. Enhance international cooperation to facilitate access to clean energy research and technologies, including renewable energy, energy efficiency, and advanced and cleaner fossil fuel technologies, and promote investment in energy infrastructure and clean energy technologies		
improvement in energy efficiency	7b. Expand infrastructure and upgrade technology for supplying modern and sustainable energy services for all in developing countries		
11. Make cities and human settlements inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable	Municipalities require careful electricity planning and efficient power distribution		
12. Ensure sustainable consumption and production patterns	The residential and buildings sector is a key part of a future in which there is sustainable consumption of energy and products		
13. Take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts	The carbon-intensive energy sector (based on fossil fuels) is a key driver of climate change.		
17. Partnerships for the goals	Partnerships between governments, the private sector and civil society to achieve green and low-carbon buildings		

Compiled from *Transforming our World: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development* (UN, 2015), *Indicators and a Monitoring Framework for the Sustainable Development* Goals, Sustainable Development Solutions Network (SDSN)

GEF and UNDP programming

The project results framework in the ProDoc refers to the following Outcome (# 1.3) as defined in the Country Programme: "Improved sustainability of natural resources management and resilience of ecosystems and vulnerable populations to the changing climate" with the corresponding Outcome Indicator "Change in energy intensity of economy and greenhouse gas emissions per capita.".

The project falls within the GEF-5 program area "GEF Climate Change Mitigation; Strategic Programme SP-2 "Promote Market Transformation in Industry and the Buildings Sector" with the Outcomes:

- 1.1 Appropriate policy, legal and regulatory frameworks adopted and enforced (Indicator: Extent to which EE policies and regulations are adopted and enforced;
- 1.2 Sustainable financing and delivery mechanisms established and operational (Indicator: Volume of investment mobilized)
- 1.3 Greenhouse gas emission avoided (Indicator: tons of CO_{2-eq})

³⁹ The AHS is a long-term strategy approved by the Ulaanbaatar City Council, for the provision of affordable housing in Ulaanbaatar for families earning up to 140% of the median monthly household income. The UB Master Plan highlights the need for the technology upgradation and modernization of heating infrastructure as well as the development of new heating infrastructure in order to cater to the city expansion strategies and future demand.

Gender

Gender as such is not reflected in the results framework, because at the time of project conceptualization (2015) there were no clear guidelines on including gender-relevant indicators in the results framework. Only the most recent UNDP/GEF ProDoc template now includes a separate section dedicated to gender issues, while a gender action plan needs to be annexed). This does not mean that the Project has ignored gender issues during implementation. For example, the Project Document mentions on page 43 "Key indicators and metrics will be analyzed and determined for both GHG and non-GHG benefits (e.g. income generated, costs saved, employment created, *gender, considerations*, and so on)". On page 49 it is mentioned that "the project aims to put in dedicated efforts to strengthen and enhance equal participation from women and men in the technical design and implementation of EE measures in the construction sector through capacity development trainings. *Gender considerations* will be equally pronounced in key decision-making processes during project implementation".

A Gender Action Plan was formulated (2017), and, consequently, gender-relevant indicators were added to the logical framework at the inception of the project and reporting on participation in the Project's training course and workshop gives a breakdown per gender (see Box 18):

- Percentage of women participated in the capacity building trainings, Baseline 0, Target 40%.;
- Number of female beneficiaries in the demonstration projects, Baseline 0, Target 20

3.2 Conceptualization and results framework

- How were lessons of other similar or earlier projects (e.g. UNDP/GEF EE in Buildings project, 2009-2015) taken into account in the project design?
- Has the project's design (logframe) been adequate to address the problems at hand? Was the project internally coherent in its design (logical linkages between expected results and design (components, choice of partners; scope, use of resources)? Were any (major) amendments to the assumptions or targets been made or planned during the Project's implementation?

Previous efficiency in buildings project

With GEF financing, UNDP has supported the implementation of two projects, a) the Building Energy Efficiency Project (BEEP)⁴⁰, implemented during 2009-2015, and b) Commercialization of Super-Insulated Buildings in Mongolia, implemented during 2002-2006. One activity of the BEEP project has been the development of Energy Building Codes Norms and Standards (BCNS), as detailed in Section 2.1, for new buildings to comply with.

Analysis of the project results framework (logical framework or logframe)

The logframe consists of two parts, a) a description of outcomes, outputs and activities and b) a list of outcome indicators to measure progress with target values against. In the Project Document, the two are presented separately, but in Box 5 these are merged to have a clearer view of how one relates to the other. In general, the Evaluation Team's opinion is that these adequately describe the intended results of the Project.

The project strategy incorporates a holistic approach building off existing baseline initiatives, incorporating international experiences and best practices in the development of NAMAs, and providing guidance to the Government on best available technologies in energy efficiency in the construction sector to maximize GHG emission reductions. In addition, the Project has supported activities to implement pilot projects. Most importantly, the Project was to include the setup of a functional system for credible quantification of GHG emission reductions through a GHG inventory system and an

⁴⁰ Energy Efficiency in New Construction in the Residential and Commercial Buildings Sector in Mongolia

Box 10 Summary of the UNDP/GEF BEEP project

The project's main achievements have been:

- 1) Mongolian Energy Efficiency Building Codes, Norms, and Standards Updated and Strengthened
 - New EE standards developed covering (i) building energy efficiency performance modelling; (ii) methods for determining the total thermal resistance of parts of building; (iii) Thermo-technics of construction materials; (iv) methods of determining the thermal resistance of insulation materials; (v) space heating system energy efficiency; (vi) domestic hot-water system energy efficiency; (vii) thermal resistance of external walls; (viii) thermal resistance of ground floors, basements, and foundations; (ix) thermal resistance of roofs and insulated ceilings; (x) thermal resistance of windows; (xi) Air tightness, leakage and ventilation; (xii) energy efficient lighting system
- 2) Training and Awareness Program
 - Officials trained in the operation and enforcement of the new BCNS energy efficiency provisions;
 - Technological studies covering the investigation of potential new environmentally friendly EE construction technologies available in local market, its design solution, durability, weather protection, EE engineering aspects
 - Strengthening the operation of Energy Conservation Centre (ECC) in Ulaanbaatar, Darkhan and Erdenet
 - Trainings and awareness campaigns
- 3) Access to Energy Efficiency Financing facilitated
 - Training courses for financial institutions (such as Xac Bank, Mongolia Mortgage Corporation) and workshops
 - Lending implemented fort EE housing by Xac Bank (about USD 126,000 in total)

Some conclusions coming out of the Terminal Evaluation (TE) were:

- Overall programme goals were met, and for components 1 and 2, the accomplishments of BEEP exceeded the targets
 defined in project results framework. The project made significant contribution to reduce key technical barriers and
 highlighted the importance of energy efficiency in the building sector;
- The project revised BCNS and addressed the availability of key building material by engaging building products manufacturers in the process of revising codes and standards, which ensured that these products are available in local market. At the time of the project, the non-availability of good quality construction materials such as insulation foam and triple glazed windows (to reduce the heat losses) in the local market hinders implementation of energy efficiency. BEEP worked with the associations of building materials, windows manufacturers and designed 'labels' for insulations, windows.
- MCUD would need additional support to fully implement BCNS in commercial buildings and ensure compliance.

Some recommendations of the BEEP TE Report include:

- Improving energy efficiency in Mongolia's building sector has huge potential for which MEGDT and MCUD will
 require technical assistance;
- Improve capacity of MCUD and its agencies to ensure all new commercial and residential apartments buildings are designed and constructed following new building codes norms and standard throughout the country
- Government funding towards EE in buildings should be designed (considering the new BCNS for two target end users
 - (a) retrofitting the government buildings, and (b) individual home owners and Ger dweller to avail bank financing
 for constructing EE houses)

MRV system. The NAMA project has helped to lay a foundation for climate policy development in the construction sector.

One flaw in the design relates to the interpretation of the NAMA concept, where a common understanding among all relevant stakeholders regarding what constitutes a NAMA has been missing. This may be because the concept of NAMA itself encompasses a range of options from project-type to sector-oriented to economy-wide NAMAs. The project document itself is not very clear and this has resulted earlier in an apparent interpretation that the individual demo projects are NAMAs (as indicated in the Mid-Term Review report).

One of the project objective indicators (see Box 5 and Box 19) is "number of construction sector NAMA developed and implemented" with as 'target' one. One might interpret this as developing a NAMA that could be considered as a new submission to the UNFCCC NAMA registry. However, such a NAMA document has not been elaborated. Instead, the

project has interpreted the indicator as implementation of the project itself to fulfill this indicator. As such, the Project is registered with the UNFCCC as NAMA⁴¹.

Not surprisingly, it has been challenging to convey NAMA concepts with line ministries with regards to implementation and participation in the NAMA process. The MTR report mentions that these are "experiencing difficulties in the comprehension and rationale for NAMA as well as all the UNFCCC nomenclature associated with NAMAs as well as INDCs". There is no real institutional structure for the implementation of NAMAs. However, the experience of the NAMA Project serves as an example and enhance the understanding of all participating line ministries on the NAMA concept and on monitoring and reporting on GHG emission reductions at a sectoral level in general.

Another indicator and target under the Objective level refer to compliance with the Building Energy Code / BCNS, and the MTR report expresses concern about the achievement of the end-of-project target. Although the Project Document is referring to the Building Energy Code/BCNS as an important tool for realizing energy efficiency in new building development, none of the project activities are related to the strengthening of compliance with the Building Energy Code/BCNS. This means there seems to be a disconnect to this indicator and target on the one hand and the project design on the other hand. Therefore, the MTR report suggested to re-interpret the indicator on compliance with the Building Energy Code/BCNS towards an Action Plan for enforcement and compliance checking of BCSN as a mandatory requirement for receiving a building permit. The Project has indeed provided some inputs to MCUD and ERC on renewing the BCNS form an energy efficiency perspective

Indicators as in the	Changes suggested in the MTR report	Evaluation Team's comments
ProDoc/Inception Report	(in red)	
 Number and percentage of men 	This indicator was added during the	See Box 17
and women participated in the	Inception Phase following gender action	
capacity building trainings	plan	
Number of prioritised NAMA in the	 Number of prioritised pilots in the 	Agreed
construction sector developed and	construction sector developed and	
funded for the implementation by	funded for the implementation by the	
the project by EOP	project by EOP	
 No. of individual EE interventions 	 No. of individual EE interventions that 	Agreed, the individual demo (or
that constitute the construction	constitute the construction sector	pilot) projects, small in size,
sector	pilots NAMAs	should not to be labelled NAMAs
 No of institutions adopting and 	 No of institutions adopting and 	Agreed The MRV system should
operationalizing MRV systems of	operationalizing MRV system	be generally applicable, not just
the pilot NAMA		for the demo projects.
 % of new buildings that are fully or 	 Action Plan for enforcement and 	Agreed
beyond BCNS compliance by EOP	compliance checking of BCSN as a	
	mandatory requirement for receiving a	
	building permit.	

The Mid-Term Review (MTR) report (2018) has suggested the following changes in specific indicators:

There is room for improvement in reporting the GHG emission reduction (although this may partly be caused by the fact that the PIR is not requesting this information due to an absent heading of Project Goal in the PIR). Also, only by 2019, the first pilots have been completed (four out of six), so that only now we can start saying something meaningful of the associated lifetime energy and GHG emission reduction savings. The Evaluation Team has added a table on expected direct GHG emission reduction in Box 19.

⁴¹ NS-242 - Nationally Appropriate Mitigation Actions in the Construction Sector in Mongolia

3.3 Ratings for project design

The UNDP/GEF rating requirements and criteria for TEs do not include a 'rating on project design and formulation', except for the item "M&E at design". This is surprising because we think that the 'design' is one of the main factors, alongside 'implementation' and 'external factors' that determine the achievement (or non-achievement) of 'results.

In the rating for 'design' of the NAMA project using a six-point rating scheme:

- Highly satisfactory (HS), no shortcomings
- Satisfactory (S), minor shortcomings
- Moderately satisfactory (MS), moderate shortcomings
- Moderately unsatisfactory (MU), significant shortcomings
- Unsatisfactory (U), major shortcomings
- Highly unsatisfactory (HU), severe shortcomings
- U/A = unable to assess.

Box 11 Evaluation ratings of project design and relevance

Evaluation item	Corresponding section	Rating
Design logic and approach; assumptions and risks	Section 3.2	S
Strategy: formulation of the log- frame (outcomes/outputs; choice and values of indicators)	Section 3.2	MS
Relevance	Section 3.1	R
M&E at design and entry	Section 4.1	S

Regarding '**relevance'**, the rating is on a two-point scale with "R" meaning 'Relevant' and "NR" stands for 'not relevant'. The rating of the project design is strictly speaking is not part of the TE's Terms of Reference. However, the Evaluators have the opinion that the results of the NAMA Project (as described in Section 5) are partly based on the internal logic in the project design, hence the rating of 'S' for the design logic of outcomes and outputs (in terms of addressing barriers). The major flaw, however, is that the project design is very ambiguous regarding the purpose and goal of the "NAMA", which has created confusion on what constitutes a 'NAMA' in the context of the construction sector in Mongolia. Thus, the strategy behind the project design is rated as "MS".

4. FINDINGS: PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION

This part of the Evaluation Report describes the assessment and rating of the quality of the execution by the GEF Implementing Agency (IA), UNDP, and the Executing Partner MCUD. An assessment is made of the partnerships established and stakeholder interaction during implementation and the important role of adaptive management. The Evaluation Report presents an assessment and rating of the project monitoring and evaluation (M&E) at implementation. A special section is dedicated to the budget, expenditures, and co-financing of the NAMA Mongolia project.

4.1 Implementation and management

4.1.1 Management arrangements and adaptive management

- How efficient are partnership arrangements for the project?
- Did the project efficiently utilize local capacity in implementation?
- What have been management responses to issues and recommendations indicated in progress reports? Has the project produced results (outputs and outcomes) within the expected time frame?
- Whether the risks identified in the project document and progress reports were appropriate and corresponding risk management strategies/systems were adopted and implemented?

Management arrangements

The Project is executed under National Implementation Modality (NIM) in project management implementation guidelines agreed by UNDP and the Government of Mongolia. UNDP is the GEF Implementing Agency (IA) for the Project, and MCUD. The NAMA Project is managed by a Project Implementation Unit (PIU) that is led by a Project Manager who reports to the national Project Director within MCUD. The Project Steering Committee (PSC) mandate is to provide overall guidance for the NAMA Project. The PSC includes representatives from MCUD, MET, MOE, NGOs and UNDP. The PSC is chaired by the MCUD State secretary. For more details on the management arrangements, the reader is referred to Section 2.3.1.

The PSC has met about three times per year on average. The PSC meetings entailed detailed discussions on aspects of NAMA Project activities, including the selection of demonstration projects, resulting in proposed actions to support NAMA implementation and a compromise in the selection of demonstration projects. Thus, the PSC meetings appeared to be effective in the context of making key project decisions

Adaptive and risk management

UNDP has provided overall management and guidance from its Country Office in Ulaanbaatar and the Bangkok Regional Hub (BRH) in Bangkok and has been responsible for monitoring and evaluation as well as quality assurance for the project. UNDP has been responsive to the proposed changes when needed.

4.1.2 Monitoring and evaluation

• Was the information provided by the M&E system (annual work plans, PIRs, other) was used to improve performance and to adapt to changing needs; Are there any annual work plans?

M&E: design at entry

At Inception, a total of USD 54,000 was allocated, about 5% of the total GEF budget, which is sufficient given the size of the Project. In the M&E plan as formulated in the project documentation, the performance of the Project is monitored and assessed according to the goals defined and agreed in the AWPs, with outcome indicators (which are based on the logframe of the Project Document) and outputs. The ProDoc also gives a 'standard-type' of M&E Plan of which the main elements are:

- Project Inception Workshop and Project Implementation Workplan:
- Quarterly monitoring of project progress (and update of risk logs in ATLAS); AWP and expenditure reports
- Project Implementation Report (PIR)
- Project Steering Committee (PSC) meetings
- Mid-Term Review and Terminal Evaluation
- Learning and knowledge sharing: results from the Project to be disseminated within and beyond the project intervention zone through existing information-sharing networks and forums.

M&E implementation; reporting

An Inception Report has been prepared, and as a result of the Inception Workshop, Regular quarterly progress reports have been prepared since Q3 of 2015 up to Q2 2019. The annual Project Implementation Reviews (PIR) for 2017, 2018, and 2019 have been prepared. The Completion workshop and reporting is still planned for.

PSC meetings have been used to monitor project progress and results, approve the next year's work plan and provide the orientation on the project implementation. PSC meetings have been held at least twice a year, of which the Minutes of Meeting including discussion points and agreements have been made available. PIU staff and UNDP officials did not make separate field visits to monitor progress periodically but to save cost the monitoring activities have been combined with or accompanying the project activities. The performance of the Project is monitored and assessed according to the goals defined and agreed in the AWPs, with outcomes and outcome indicators (which are based on the logframe of the Project Document) and reported in the before-mentioned PIRs.



UNDP/GEF -- Mongolia Terminal Evaluation report 4 NAMA in Construction Sector 2019 Being a medium-sized project not needing an 'independent' Mid-Term Review (MTR), an 'internal' MTR was conducted in October 2018 by the UNDP Regional Technical Advisor (based in Bangkok). Some main conclusions and findings are:

- The NAMA Project has progressed well in the areas of identification of priority low carbon technologies (through MACC analysis), setting up GHG emission inventory. Demonstration projects are being developed to demonstrate the technology and MRV mechanisms required for monitoring GHG emission reductions from NAMAs Regarding progress towards results, the related outcomes are rated as 'satisfactory'. Being yet in an early stage, the outcome on developing MRV protocols was rated as 'moderately satisfactory'.
- Goal (GHG emission reduction related to pilot projects) was rated as 'moderately satisfactory' (as only one or two
 pilots were operational at that time) and objective (number of NAMA developed) as 'moderately satisfactory'. There
 has been some misunderstanding regarding the interpretation of the NAMA concept. A recommendation is to make
 sure that there is a common understanding of the NAMA concept among the relevant stakeholders involved in the
 project.
- On project design, several suggestions are being recommended to adjust the Project Results Framework target formulation (see Box 5)
- Concerning the interpretation of the NAMA concept and the sustainability of the project, it was found that an institutional framework for NAMA development and registration is missing while this may be crucial for reaping the benefits of this and other future NAMA development projects.

4.2 Stakeholder involvement and relations

• Whether or not national stakeholders participated in project management and decision-making have ownership for project outcomes and their further replication and scaling-up?

Stakeholder involvement

The Project has successfully facilitated partnerships with relevant stakeholders, all related to the efficient implementation of NAMA projects in Mongolia. The Energy Regulatory Committee (ERC), under the Ministry of Energy, is closely involved in the project by means of providing data for the GHG emission inventory system. The Ministry of Energy, in general, has shown less interest in the project. The Ministry of Environment and Tourism (MEGDT) has the responsibility for GHG emission inventory through the Environment and Climate Fund (ECF). The Construction Development Center (CDC) is considered a crucial partner in managing the GHG emission inventory database.

The project has also engaged partnerships with private sector stakeholders and CSOs, especially with Xac Bank, Mongolian Green Credit Fund (MGCF) and Arig Bank being consulted for their interest in developing financial mechanisms. The NAMA Project also has good communication with other donor projects within the sustainable development arena in Mongolia such as with GIZ and GGGI.

External communication

The project has considerably increased awareness on energy inefficiency of the commercial and public buildings, its impact on the increasing greenhouse gas emissions and air pollution among all the relevant stakeholders and the community as well through for example media coverage of the launch of the GHG inventory web-based system and the demonstration projects and by making available knowledge products



UNDP/GEF -- Mongolia NAMA in Construction Sector (example: see picture). The Project itself can be visited <u>http://www.mn.undp.org/content/mongolia/</u> en/home/operations/projects/ environment_and_energy/NationallyAppropriateMitigationActionsinthe ConstructionSectorinMongolia.html.

The Project has published articles, such as the one in Unread Toady (<u>https://www.unread.today/posts/post/1499</u>). A project brochure has been made.

4.3 **Project finance and co-financing**

• How efficient was the financial management of the project, including specific reference to the costeffectiveness of its interventions and co-financing?

The GEF budget planned for disbursement of USD 1.27 million over a period of 42 months, of which the bulk actually has been spent in the shorter implementation period of 32 months (April 2017-December 2019). By the end of 2019 about USD 170,000 has remained which will be utilized for the last expenses (e.g. payment of terminal evaluation consultants, organization of the Completion workshop, and audit/spot check).

Box 13 UNDP/GEF budget and actual expenditures and co-financing data

GEF Budget	Planned	Disbursement	
(USD)		2017 2018 2019	Total
Outcome 1	202,700	92,054 125,902 16,212	
Outcome 2	814,500	68,979 317,437 195,186	
Outcome 3	200,963	71,551 171,266	
Project management	51,700	11,597 3,651 16,509	
Total	1,269,863	172,630 518,542 399,174	1,090,346

Co-financing	Planned			Realised	Realised	Total
(USD)	Cash	In-kind	Total	Cash	In-kind	TOLAT
UNDP	50,000	50,000	100,000	50,000	60,000	110,000
MCUD	100,000	1,400,000	1,500,000	2,426,396	96,407	2,522,803
MOE	25,000	675,000	700,000		12,000	12,000
MEGDT	25,000	725,000	750,000	1,399,844	12,000	1,411,844
CDC		100,000	100,000	228,641	4,400	233,041
City UB		300,000	300000			0
Xac Bank	2,000,000		2,000,000		30,000	30,000
BEEC	50,000		50,000	7,466	3,100	10,566
MUST	1,400,000		1,400,000	3,247,638		3,247,638
Other			0	1,339,838		1,339,838
Total	3,650,000	3,250,000	6,900,000	7,359,985	217,907	8,917,730

Note: The data are compiled from the *UNDP ProDoc* and data provided by the PIU/UNDP. Data on UNDP cash contribution comes from the Combined Delivery Reports (DPR). In-kind co-financing comes from UNDP oversight and support. Other co-financing:

- MEGDT: Cash co-financing includes "green Loan subsidy' (interest difference) budgeted by the Government of Mongolia to pay financial institutes (to Xacbank, State bank, and Khan bank), for loans provided to support energy efficiency in households.
- MUST: cash co-financing for rooftop PV (USD 3.248 million); see Box 19); CDC: cash co-financing (USD 0.229 million) for demo;

- Other: cash co-financing by ERC and Erdenedalai *soum* for demo project (see Box 19);

UNDP/GEF Mongolia	Terminal Evaluation report	48
NAMA in Construction Sector	2019	



at

⁻ MCUD: Co-financing of UB demo (USD 2.426 million) is included as MCUD cash co-financing (see Box 19)

4.4 Ratings of project M&E and project implementation/execution

In assessing 'implementation and adaptive management' of the NAMA Project, a six-point rating scheme is used:

- Highly satisfactory (HS), Implementation of all components, 1) management arrangements, work planning, reporting, project-level monitoring and evaluation, 2) stakeholder engagement and communications, 3) finance and co-finance, is leading to efficient and effective project implementation and adaptive management. The project can be presented as "good practice".
- Satisfactory (S), implementation of most of the components is leading to efficient and effective project implementation and adaptive management except for only few that are subject to remedial action
- Moderately satisfactory (MS), implementation of some of the components is leading to efficient and effective project implementation and adaptive management, with some components requiring remedial action.
- Moderately unsatisfactory (MU), implementation is not leading to efficient and effective project implementation and adaptive, with most components requiring remedial action.
- Unsatisfactory (U), implementation of most of the components is not leading to efficient and effective project implementation and adaptive management.
- Highly unsatisfactory (HU), implementation of none of the components is leading to efficient and effective project implementation and adaptive management.
- U/A = unable to assess.

Box 14 Evaluation ratings of project implementation and execution

Evaluation item	Corresponding report section	Rating
Quality of UNDP implementation	4.1, 4.3	S
(adaptive management; finance)		
Quality of execution (MCUD-PIU), coordination; adaptive	4.1, 4.2, 4.3	HS
management; stakeholder involvement		
Overall UNDP implementation and implementing partner		S-HS
execution		
M&E plan implementation	4.1	S

5. FINDINGS: PROGRESS TOWARDS OUTCOMES AND OBJECTIVE

5.1 Introduction

- To what extent have the expected outcomes and objectives of the project been achieved?
- What outputs and outcomes has the project achieved (both qualitative and quantitative results, comparing the
 expected and realized end-project value of progress indicators of each outcome/output with the baseline value)?
- Were objectives, outcomes, and outputs achieved on time? How did the project contribute to GHG emissions reduction within the project implementation cycle and beyond?
- Were there any unplanned effects? Which external factors have contributed to or hinder the achievement of the expected results?

Chapter 5 presents progress towards results. For each of the three project components, as mentioned in Section 2.2, this section assesses the progress in the implementation of the project's outcomes and outputs, following the 'project results framework' format and as reported by the Project Implementation Unit (PIU) in the annual UNDP/GEF Project Implementation Reports (PIRs). The findings are further based on information and documents provided by the PIU to the Evaluators and on interviews with stakeholders. Section 5.2 describes the progress achieved in outputs and activities for each Component/Outcome, following the outline of outcomes and outputs of Box 5. Section 5.2 tries to provide a quantitative and descriptive overview of the achievements of outputs and outcomes. Section 5.3 provides an assessment of results in terms of attainment of the outcomes and outcome indicators. The baseline and target values of the indicators are taken from the project's logical framework (as reported in the Inception Report and PIRs), while the achievements (i.e. indicator value at project's end, is compiled from PowerPoint presentations made by the project team for the TE mission), supplemented by additional info obtained during the mission (provided by the Project Team) and analysis of the outputs and reports produced during 2015-2019. The greenhouse gas emissions reported have also been reviewed; these are discussed in Section 5.3.3. Section 5.3 ends with a summary of the Evaluators' ratings towards results. Section 5.4 discusses sustainability and replicability.

5.2 **Progress in achieving outputs and outcomes**

5.2.1 Outcome 1 Effective EE policymaking informed by robust energy consumption monitoring and reference baselines for the construction sector

Indicator with end-of-project (EoP) target	Actual value or status of the indicator
Number of energy consumption and GHG	The GHG inventory methodology was developed during 2017-2019. The
emission inventory systems operational and	methodology was reviewed by an inter-ministerial Science and
adopted for the construction sector NAMA	Technology Committee of MCUD, MET, and MOE; and formally adopted
Target: one system by Year 3	by Ministerial Order. CDC will continue to host the GHG inventory after
	the Project's end
Number of MOU to operationalize the data	A MOU between MCUD and ERC was signed on 4 January 2019. In
collection frameworks for the energy	addition, "conducting GHG inventory and MRV activities in the
consumption and GHG inventory system	construction sector" is included in the State Policy on the Construction
<i>Target:</i> one by EoP	Sector (Clause No.5.3.2) and its Action plan, an official document
	approved by the government in February 2019. It also will support
	future climate change mitigation action in future policy documents,
	such as new versions of NDC
Number of public and private sector entities	There are 7 entities already involved and supporting the GHG inventory
supporting the sustainable operation of the GHG	system, directly and indirectly, including:
inventory system	MCUD (direct)
<i>Target:</i> four by EoP	ERC (direct)
	Ulaanbaatar Electricity Distribution Company (indirect)

UNDP/GEF Mongolia	Terminal Evaluation report	50
NAMA in Construction Sector	2019	

•	Ulaanbataar District Heating Company (indirect)
•	Housing and Public Utility Authority of Ulaanbaatar City (indirect)
•	Land Management Agency, MCUD (direct)
•	National Statistics Office (direct)
•	Three more entities are expected to be involved in the system,
	including CDC. ECF (MET) and NSO

Achievements

- Output 1.1. Defined key indicators (GHG and non-GHG) to be monitored for the selected mitigation actions
- Output 1.2. Established and operational energy consumption and GHG inventory system for the construction sector with improved data availability and methodology
- Output 1.3 Defined and established reference baseline on energy consumption and GHG emission for the construction sector

The 'greenhouse gas (GHG) inventory system' comprises several interrelated outputs: a) GHG methodology, b) webbased data collection, c) appropriate institutional arrangements, d) capacity building, e) development of a standardized baseline for the construction sector.

The GHG inventory methodology has been developed and translated into Mongolian, reviewed by Experts' council at CDC and by the Science and Technology Committee at MCUD. The Committee recommended approving the GHG inventory methodology by Ministerial Order. The GHG inventory methodology is developed with modifications from the CDM methodology AMS-II.E⁴², for estimating emissions from the building sector in Mongolia (see Box 15). Modifications consist of a simplified categorization scheme for buildings. The modified methodology allows the determination of baseline emissions from the sector, which can be used for the compilation of the inventory from the building sector. The methodology further allows the estimation of emissions after the implementation of mitigation measures. In order words, reductions in emissions from mitigation measures can be quantified.



Implementation of a Nationally Appropriate Mitigation Action (NAMA) in the building (and construction) sector in Mongolia

Methodology Review and Assessment for the Estimation of GHGs Emissions in the Building Sector in Mongolia



7/31/2018

The modified methodology has been used for the development of the standardized baseline. Reference baseline calculated and presented to the CDC experts council and MCUD Science and Technology Committee, along with the inventory methodology, for approval. Meantime, MCUD and donor organizations including GIZ and GGGI have been using and referring to the results for the development of their proposals. Thereafter, the official reference baseline will be submitted to UNFCCC upon approval of the methodology.

A web-based system was developed by local IT company with technical guidance and day-to-day consultation with the PIU and is accessible at http://ghgconstruction.gov.mn. The inventory web-system will be the main repository of GHG emission data from all buildings in Mongolia and MRV activities of EE projects and programs that will be implemented in the construction sector in the future.

⁴² CDM AMS-II.E: Energy Efficiency and Fuel Switching Measures for Buildings. The methodology can be applied to a single building (residential, commercial, institutional, etc) or a group of similar buildings (such as school district) involving energy efficiency measures and/or fuel switch if the latter is part of energy efficiency measures within the building(s). In case, fuel switch is the primary measure, methodology AMS-III.B is applicable. Energy efficiency measures include improved insulation, efficient appliances to replace existing equipment or be installed in new facilities. the baseline emissions are determined by multiplying the baseline energy consumption by the applicable emission coefficient (electricity, fuels displaced)

Box 15 GHG calculation methodology for district heating and electricity consumption in the construction sector

The methodology consists of the following steps (based on the CDM methodology AMS.II-E in addition to using calculations outlined in the CDM methodology M0091I; see UNFCCC-CDM website):

1) Categorization of buildings: residential, hotels, offices, hospitals, retail, education

2) Conduct a baseline measurement survey (in accordance with sampling and survey guideline):

- Energy consumption data for electricity and fuels
- All independent variables affecting energy use
- Determination of sample size according to 'Simple Random Sampling'

3) Estimation of baseline emissions due to energy consumption



4) Summation of all baseline emissions from all sources for each building \rightarrow total baseline emission per building unit:

BE_{i,j,y} = BE_{EC,i,j,y} + BE_{FC,i,j,y} + BE_{WC,i,j,y}

5) Dividing total baseline emission per building by gross floor area \rightarrow specific baseline emission per building unit per unit area:

$$\Box \quad SE_{BL,i,j,y} = \frac{BE_{i,j,y}}{GFA_{BL,i,j,y}}$$

6) Calculate specific emissions per building category per unit area:

$$\Box \quad SE_{BL,i,j,y} = \frac{\sum_{j} SE_{i,j,y}}{J_{i,y}}$$

7) Multiply average specific emissions per building category per unit area by total gross floor area of NAMA building per category:

$\square BE_y = \sum_i SE_{i,y} \times GFA_{PJ,i,y}$

8) Summation of all baseline emissions of each NAMA category \rightarrow baseline emissions of NAMA buildings which represents the GHG inventory of the building sector:

$$\square BE_y = \sum_i SE_{i,y} \times GFA_{PJ,i,y}$$

Source: Methodology Review and Assessment for the Estimation of GHGs Emissions in the Building Sector, Mongolia (NIRAS, 2018)

UNDP/GEF -- Mongolia NAMA in Construction Sector

This will enable the government and the private sector to access funds from international donor funds on climate change. The web-based inventory system is housed at the Construction Development Centre (CDC). A working group meeting was held with CDC on and discussions are being finalized with MCUD on formalizing CDC's role in the GHG inventory process for the construction sector. CDC will host the inventory, while other organizations (ERC; Ulaanbaatar City Mayor's Office) will be involved by providing data and support the system.

Training modules targeting decision-makers and technical staff on the imperative of data collection, establishment and operation of the GHG inventory system were developed. The capacity building trainings were organized on 14-16 March 2018 and 27-28 June 2018 in Ulaanbaatar, led by NIRAS (the contracted consulting company) and supported by the national consultants and the PIU. As a result of the training, participants gained knowledge on essential concepts on inventory, quality assurance and control (QA/QC), data requirements and equations for calculations of emissions from buildings and associated data providers.

5.2.2 Outcome 2 Prioritized NAMA in the construction sector developed and funded for implementation

Indicator with end-of-project target	Actual value or status of the indicator
Number of prioritized NAMA pilots in the	The six pilot projects identified were approved by the PSC and started
construction sector developed and funded	implementation at various points in time during 2018-20: ERC (rooftop solar
for the implementation by the project	system); CDC Lab (insulation); UB Municipality (Installation of heat meters);
<i>Target:</i> one by EoP	Soum heating system (high-efficiency boiler) in Dundgovi aimag
	Erdenedalai soum, School building retrofit in Gobi-Altai aimag, Jargalant
	soum (roof renovation and indoor heating system renovation); MUST
	(rooftop solar system).
No. of individual EE interventions that	The following type of EE measures are installed at the demo sites:
constitute the construction sector	1. Roof insulation; 2. Indoor heating system renovation; 3. EE heat-only-
pilots ⁴³	boiler; 4. Pre-insulated pipes; 5. Water softener; 6. automated heat pump;
Target: six by Year 4 (up from one in	7. Rooftop PV; 8 Three-glazed windows
baseline)	
No. of identified fully capable and qualified	Three private sector entities including XAC Bank, Arig Bank, and Mongolian
private and/or public sector entities that are	Green Credit Fund are identified as the potential institutions that can adopt
interested in funding prioritized NAMA	green financing schemes for EE buildings. With support from the NAMA
projects:	Facility, the Municipal Government of Ulaanbaatar will implement the
<i>Target:</i> three by Year 4 (up from one in the	Mongolia – Energy Performance Contracting for Residential Retrofitting in
baseline)	Ulaanbaatar City, supported by Global Green Growth Institute (GGGI) and
	ICLEI. Retrofitting of residential building was prioritized as a NAMA under
	Mongolia's NDC

Achievements, demonstration projects

Output 2.1 Developed framework for evaluating appropriate climate change mitigation interventions; and identified priority climate change mitigation actions

Output 2.4 Developed and implemented construction sector pilot NAMA

The *Technology Needs Assessment* (TNA) report (MEGDT, 2013) lists several EE technologies in the residential and commercial sectors. Detailed marginal abatement cost curves (MACC) were developed by the project for a subset of the technologies mentioned in the TNA, namely high- efficiency (HE) boiler, improved insulation, triple-glazed windows, improved ventilation with heat recovery system, solar panels and efficient lighting. The findings from the MACC modeling show that efficient lighting and ventilation systems are the most economically viable technologies (in terms of abatement cost), however, the emission reduction potential was of HE boilers and insulation measures is much larger (see Box 15).

⁴³ The TE Team suggests to consistently refer to pilot (demonstration) projects not as 'NAMA" (as in the original results framework) but as 'pilots' or 'NAMA pilots' to make the difference clear between a set of EE intervention in a building and group of buildings and the NAMA concept as a whole.

The MACC-described EE and other technologies, as well as rooftop PV, have been installed in six pilot projects that have been supported by the NAMA (in which the pilot could be supported by a maximum of 20% of investments costs):

- 1. School building retrofit in Gobi-Altai province, Jargalan *soum* (EE measures are roof renovation and indoor heating system renovation);
- 2. CDC Laboratory Building retrofit (EE measure: three-glazed windows and basement wall isolation);
- Soum central heating system renovation in Dundgobi *aimag*, Erdenedalai *soum* (EE measures: HE boiler, insulation of heating pipelines, installation of the water softener equipment, heat meters, pump and its frequency convertor controlling systems);
- ERC new office building (EE/RE measures: solar panel module with smart system, triple glazed window with Low-E on the glass facade and shading systems);
- 5. Municipality building (installation of heat meters in 24 buildings).
- 6. MUST new laboratory building (rooftop solar panels).

The MUST project has been lagging due to the slow process in securing the main funding for the construction of a new building, hence PSC decided to invest in two projects. The installation of the rooftop PV system as well as the sixth demo project (with UB Municipality) started in Q1 2020. The reader is referred to Box 19 for more details regarding energy savings and corresponding GHG emission reduction.

Output 2.2 Completed operational structure for coordination among government agencies and key stakeholders

To identify the project idea, construction sector mitigation actions were assessed and prioritized. The list of prioritized mitigation actions was reviewed by relevant stakeholders and delivered to MCUD for its submission to National Determined Contribution under the Paris Agreement. Among the mitigation actions, heat metering of public buildings and supporting the development and adoption of a revised heat tariff system was chosen as a potential new project proposal.

The Project contributed to the development of State policy on the Construction sector by providing inputs on lowcarbon urban development issues. Similarly, the NAMA project provides inputs in the climate change policy formulation and strategies. The project has financially and technically supported the update of Building Code, Norms and Standards (BCNS)23-02-09 on building energy efficiency aspects. A report on BCNS update and development of a roadmap of BCNS was developed in March 2018.

Output 2.3 Completed capacity development of private and public sector actors on the successful development and implementation of NAMAs; and in the supportive identification of financing options Output 2.5 Developed financial tools that support the implementation of NAMA in the construction sector

ا "Final Report: "Financial Scheme for Energy Efficient buildings in Mongolia"	Capacity building trainings were organized on 20-22 March 2018 and 16 August 2018. According to the PIU, the trainings have cultivated a technical understanding of the marginal abatement cost curve, how to use it and its value in the energy efficiency and buildings sector in Mongolia and raised an awareness of what the financial tools are for energy-efficient buildings and construction and how they can be used, including how they can be applied to the NAMA context. Participants came from government entities (e.g. MCUD and agencies), the financial sector (e.g. Arig Bank), and developers (e.g. Erel Group, Monbasalt).
Submitted to Project Unit	
NAMA in Building Sector in Mongolia	
	Guidance for financial institutions on conducting pre-and post-evaluation of EE activities is provided in the report "Financial Scheme for Energy Efficient buildings in Mongolia". The report 1) identifies the capacity buildings of the key stakeholders in terms of knowledge of climate-related financing options; 2) makes an analysis of Mongolian mortgage market and to intend identifying
Submitted by Finance Expert (Batbayar Narandelger) NAMA in the Building Sector in Mongolia (18 Jan 2019)	sufficient financing scheme for EE buildings, and 3) assesses an appropriate financial scheme for EE buildings both high-rise residential and commercial buildings and its general terms and conditions.

UNDP/GEF Mongolia	Terminal Evaluation report	54
NAMA in Construction Sector	2019	

Box 16 Marginal abatement cost curve for six efficient technologies in buildings



	Million Tons CO2	Million Tons CO2	\$/Ton CO2		Million Tons CO2	Million Tons CO2	\$/Ton CO2
Option Name	Option Mitigation	Cumulative Mitigation	Cost of Saved CO2	Option Name	Option Mitigation	Cumulative Mitigation	Cost of Saved CO2
Baseline	-	-		Baseline	-	-	
Triple Glazed Windows	0.2	0.2	-\$16	Triple Glazed Windows	0.3	0.3	-\$17
Efficient Lighting	0.0	0.2	-\$196	Efficient Lighting	0.0	0.3	-\$198
Efficient Ventilation System	0.0	0.2	-\$109	Efficient Ventilation System	0.0	0.3	-\$118
High Efficiency Boiler	0.4	0.6	-\$13	High Efficiency Boiler	0.4	0.7	-\$13
Improved Insulation	1.4	2.0	-\$12	Improved Insulation	2.0	2.7	-\$11
Solar Power	0.0	2.0	\$99	Solar Power	0.0	2.7	\$99



A marginal abatement cost curve (MACC) illustrates the cost-effectiveness potential or technical improvements. Measures below the horizontal axis have a negative cost, i.e. they represent cost savings. Those above the horizontal axis have a net cost, i.e. they cost more than they save. The width of a block shows the volume of emissions reductions that can be achieved by investments. Also, the further right you go on the axis, the greater the lifetime cost of the technology intervention.

The majority of the six mitigation options (except for solar) have negative marginal abatement cost (MAC). This means they are economically viable. The most attractive investment option is the Efficient Ventilation System.



In addition, high efficiency boilers, triple-glazed windows, efficient lighting and improved insulation also present viable investment options that should be considered. Solar has a positive direct unit cost which means it costs more than it saves financially. It is therefore less of a reasonable investment versus the other technologies in this bundle (unless maybe if netmetering would be introduced in Mongolia).

The software used to carry out this analysis is called "GHG Mitigation Excel Tool", developed by the Stockholm Environment Institute (SEI).

UNDP/GEF -- Mongolia NAMA in Construction Sector

Box 17 Applicable building energy efficiency technologies

A number of EE technologies have been chosen in demonstration projects:

a) Retrofit inefficient windows with triple-glazed windows

Triple-glazed windows consist of three glass windows separated by a vacuum or gas-filled space to reduce heat transfer across a part of the building envelope. The maximum insulating efficiency of a standard unit is determined by the thickness of the space, which can be 6 mm plus 12 mm or 9 mm plus 9 mm. The effectiveness of the insulation can be expressed by the 'U-value', typically < 1.8 W/(m².K). Double-glazed windows (with an air space between the windows of about 6mm has a higher U-vale (> 2.2 W/(m².K).





b) Efficient ventilation

Ventilation is the intentional introduction of outdoor air into a space and is mainly used to control indoor air quality by diluting and displacing indoor pollutants; it can also be used for purposes of thermal comfort or dehumidification. Natural ventilation is the intentional passive flow of outdoor air into a building through planned openings (such as doors, and windows). Mechanical ventilation uses fans to drive the flow of outdoor air into a building. An efficient mechanical system with well-controlled regulation system is more energy-efficient and with better indoor quality than conventional methods.

c) Wall insulation and roof insulation

An external wall insulation system is a thermally insulated, protective, decorative exterior cladding procedure involving the use of expanded polystyrene, mineral wool, polyurethane foam or phenolic foam, topped off with a reinforced cement based, mineral or synthetic finish.

The thickness of thermal insulation is dependent on whatever type is required in order to create a partition with a heat transmission factor of U=0.25-0.3 W/(m^2 .K), in comparison with the U-value of non-insulated walls (about 1 W/(m2.K)).

Roof insulation with EPS or mineral wool and a thickness of 25 cm gives a U-value of 0.18 W/(m^2 .K), in comparison will conventional methods in Mongolia with material thickness of 5-15 cm and a U-value of about 0.9 W/(m^2 .K)

d) Retrofit Low Efficiency Heat-Only Boiler with High-Efficiency Models

Heat-only boilers be used to heat public buildings and housing complexes. The boiler will generate steam which is distributed to each building where it is used to make domestic hot water for human consumption or for air heating. The steam may be sold to each customer and billed through the use of a steam flow meter. Efficient boilers with automatic regulation are more efficient (> 75%) than older, manually regulated types (< 50%).

e) Efficient lighting

LED lamps are more efficient than other lamps and have a longer lifetime 20,000-60,000 hrs) compared to fluorescent lighting (6000-30,000 hrs)

The table on the right gives a cost-benefit analysis of selected technologies used in the NAMA Project pilots.

NAMA technology	Technology Cost (MNT)	Fuel reduction in (GJ)	Reduction in (KW/h)	Loan amount (MNT)
Triple Glazed Windows /per 1m2/	3,491	11.0	3,056	2,444
Efficient Lighting /1 bulb/	32	0.2	55	22
Efficient Ventilation System /1 unit/	10,004	97	26,828	7,003
High Efficiency Boiler /1 unit/	148,620	7,832	2,175,665	104,034
Improved Insulation /1 unit/	2,518	75	20,794	1,762
Solar Power /per kw/	5,300	3	908	3,710
Total	169,964	8,018	2,227,306	118,975

Source: Project Document ; Project Implementation Unit

```
UNDP/GEF -- MongoliaTerminal Evaluation report5NAMA in Construction Sector2019
```



Financial instruments are risk mitigation tools that help to mobilize private capital for investment. The tool proposed by the Project is a partial risk guarantee, which is designed to make a project 'bankable' by reducing project risk, lowering the cost of capital and extending tenors. The tool can be used in the building sector in Mongolia and deployed in future programs, including successor NAMA projects.

5.2.3 Outcome 3 Effective climate change mitigation policies strengthened by NAMA impacts ascertained through the established MRV system

Indicator with end-of-project target	Actual value or status of the indicator
MRV system for construction sector emissions set	Five key GHG and non-GHG parameters and indicators were
up and operational	identified and agreed on to be monitored as part of the NAMA.
<i>Target:</i> one by EoP	The MRV methodology and guidelines have been developed
No of institutions adopting and	Developed and implemented measurement of GHG emission
operationalizing MRV systems	reduction from three demo projects (Jargalan school and
<i>Target:</i> two by Q2 of year 3	Erdenedalai soum heat supplier to which CDC Lab was added in
	Oct 2019) as part of the MRV system for the construction sector
	NAMA. MCUD, financial institutions, project developers as well as
	energy auditors will be able to adopt and use the MRV system The
	MRV system development has been accompanied with capacity
	building and institutionalization with the Minister's order
	legalizing measuring and reporting of mitigation measures in the
	construction sector.
Number of construction sector NAMA case studies	MRV activities have been conducted at two construction-
using the approved MRV framework and	completed demo sites with enough info to formulate case studies.
incorporated in policy documents	The results have been incorporated in the finalization of the MRV
<i>Target:</i> three by EoP	Guidebook (published Oct 2019)

Output 3.1 Defined key indicators (GHG and non-GHG) to be monitored for the selected mitigation actions

Output 3.2 Developed and implemented an accurate MRV system for the construction sector NAMA

Output 3.3 Designed and completed capacity development in the implementation and institutionalization of the MRV system

The Monitoring, Reporting, and Verification (MRV) system comprises of multi activities including MRV methodology; key parameters and indicators; institutional arrangement; MRV implementation and reporting through a web-based system. The MRV methodology and guideline developed; assessed and discussed through the Experts' council at CDC. Key GHG and non-GHG parameters and indicators were identified and agreed on the following required indicators in the MRV for construction sector NAMAs as given below.

1.	GHG emission reduction in buildings (in tCO _{2eq} /year);	Quantity of the emissions referred to reduced energy consumption, resulting from mitigation actions in the building. Emissions follow from electricity consumption (using the grid emission factor (tCO_2/MWh) in the grid system. Similarly, emissions from heat can be calculated using a hot water emission factor (tCO_2/GJ) . Given Mongolia's dependence on coal both will be based largely on heating value (18.9 GJ/ton; IPCC value or national data) and emission factor of coal (0.0961 tCO_2/GJ; IPCC value)
2.	Specific CO ₂ emissions for the whole building (in tCO ₂ /m ² /year);	These (as well as the corresponding specific energy consumption in kWh/m ² /year) are important indicators for the efficiency of the building. The gross floor area (m ²) can be determined from buildings plans supplemented by on-site measurements
3.	Primary energy use (MWh/year)	Energy carrier used for electricity and heat generation (see further)
4.	Energy cost saving (MNT/year)	Cost savings as a result of the avoided energy consumption

In determining the energy consumption, the following indicators are used:

UNDP/GEF Mongolia	Terminal Evaluation report	57
NAMA in Construction Sector	2019	

a.	Electricity consumption (kWh/yr)	Measurements are based on kWh-meter readings (monthly) and recordings
		(continuously). The meter should be verified by the State Inspection Authority.
		Data should be aggregated annually
b.	Heating (hot water consumption in GCal44/year or GJ per year)	Measurement by hot water meter (if not there, should be installed). The recording should be continuous with monthly readings, and aggregated on an annual basis.
с.	Coal consumption (tons a year)	Monitoring by checking payment bills (when, quantity, sum) and/or surveys

Other indicators involve the indoor environment quality (with air temperature as indicator)⁴⁵ and gender aspects:

5.	Room temperature (°C)	Monitoring by installed thermometers that should be checked weekly	
6.	Gender and children	Number of men (>18 yr), women (> 18 yr), boys (< 18 yr), girls (< 18 yr) that are	
		living in or have activities related to the building (e.g. working, servicing). Monitored by checking registries, payrolls (on an annual basis)	

Training module on the MRV system was developed and the capacity building training was conducted on 29 June 2018 in Ulaanbaatar, led by NIRAS, the international consultancy team and supported by the national consultants and the PIU. The training helped the 51 participants to gain knowledge on essential concepts on MRV system and offered a platform for discussion on the institutionalization of inter-institutional cooperation to enable monitoring and reporting in the building sector. The output from MRV of individual EE should be fed into the GHG inventory system so that this updated as 'real' data from the projects become available.

5.3 **Progress towards the objective**

- Impact: how did the project contribute to GHG emissions reduction and socio=economic development within the project implementation cycle and beyond?
- To what extent the project was successfully mainstreamed with other UNDP priorities, including poverty alleviation, improved governance, the prevention and recovery from natural disasters, and gender.

5.3.1 Gender and capacity building

The Project aimed to support the implementation of Gender Policy of Construction and Urban Development sector through capacity development trainings and in key decision-making processes. One indicator in the MRV system of the NAMA pilots concerns "Gender and children" (see Section 5.2.3). The indicator "Number of female beneficiaries in the demonstration project" was added after Project inception in the results framework, but subsequently not included in the PIR monitoring.



Box 18 Demo project and training beneficiaries by gender

⁴⁴ G= giga (10⁹). 1 cal = 4.184 Joule

⁴⁵ Other indicators could be humidity or CO level, but are not measured

UNDP/GEF -- Mongolia NAMA in Construction Sector

5.3.2 Objective and GHG emission reduction

GHG emission reduction

The implementation of the six pilot projects will result in energy savings and CO_2 emission reduction. The following pilots have been implemented:

Box 19 Direct GHG emission reduction estimates (pilot/demo projects)

	Investment	: (USD)	Energy	Emission	
	Total	GEF	savings	reduction	
		support	(MWh/yr)	(ton CO ₂ /yr)	
School building retrofit in Gobi- Altai province (aimag), Jargalan soum. EE measures are roof renovation and indoor heating system renovation		59,003	210.3	102.7	
<i>CDC laboratory building retrofit.</i> EE measure is outer wall insulation	347,273	118,631	183.4	83.9	
Soum central heating system renovation in Dundgobi province, Erdenedalai district (soum). EE measures are high-efficiency boiler, insulation of the heating pipelines, installation of the water softener equipment, heat meters, pump and its frequency convertor controlling systems	291,168	71,205	8,341.4	2,838.5	
ERC new office building. EE measures are triple glazed window and shading systems. Project contribution to rooftop PV system only	1,192,427	72,551	160.0	164.8	
MUST new laboratory building. EE measures are triple glazed windows, installation of a mechanical ventilation system with heat recovery. Project contribution to rooftop PV system only (to be constructed)	3,276,537	28,899	22.8	19.6	
UB municipality. Meters in 24	2,457,459	31,063	to be	to be	
buildings (to be installed)	7 564 962	201 252		upuated	
	7,564,863	381,353	8,918	3,210	
Cumulative (14 year lifetime)			133,/69	48,143	

It is not straightforward to compare these estimates with the calculation approach given in the Project Document. However, the amount of direct GHG emission reduction target, given in the ProDoc, is 9,355 MWh annually (in the period 2020-2025) with corresponding direct GHG emission reduction of 5,351 tCO₂ per year. Thus, the achieved direct emission reduction (due to investments in the six pilot projects during 2018-19) is less than targeted. However, the estimated emission reduction of the sixth pilot project has not been calculated yet (by PIU) and does not appear in the table. In the pilot projects in ERC and MUST buildings, only savings are counted due to investments in the PV systems. In fact, the building owners have implemented other sustainable energy measures. One can argue that these measures

UNDP/GEF -- Mongolia NAMA in Construction Sector

(and GHG reduction benefits) could also be counted as contribution by the building owners of sustainable energy improvements as a whole in the building facility. Thus, the (cumulative) direct energy savings and GHG emission reduction will surpass the end-of-project targets in the ProDoc.

Air pollution

Although not an indicator as such in the Project's results framework, it should be noted that energy savings lead to less coal burning, and helps clean up the air in Ulaanbaatar. Apart from its climate change impact, coal-burning one of the major causes of dirty air. UB's air is less intensely polluted than Beijing, Karachi, Dhaka or Delhi when measured annually. According to UNICEF and WHO, extreme peaks in PM 2.5 (particulate matter) levels during the winter are unlike those seen anywhere else⁴⁶.

Project goal and objective

The table in Box 20 provides an overview of progress against the indicators reported in the project's results framework and a subsequent PIRs.

Project goal: Reduced GHG emissions in the construction sector	 Indicators and end-of-project (EoP) target value Cumulative CO2 emissions reduced from the start of the project to EoP: 10,709 tCO_{2e} from baseline, 2,014 tCO_{2e}) Cumulative heat and electrical energy savings due to the Project by EoP: 18,722 MWh, from baseline 3,521 MWh) 	Realization (by Jan 2020) Note: See Box 19 for an estimate of the CO ₂ emissions and energy savings (based on data provided by the PIU)
Project objective: To facilitate market transformation for energy efficiency in the construction sector through the development and implementation of NAMA	 Number of construction sector NAMA developed and implemented (target: one). % of new buildings that are fully or beyond BCNS compliance by EoP: 100% from baseline 80%. In MTR revised as Action plan for enforcement and compliance checking of BCNS as a mandatory requirement for receiving a building permit (target: one) Number of people gainfully employed on EE in the construction sector in Mongolia: 50 (by EoP) 	 The NAMA project is mentioned in the UNFCCC NAMA registry Draft action plan for compliance checking for EE BCNS 23-02-19 developed; the Updated BCNS 23-02-19 has been approved by the Experts' council at CDC. Apart from project staff at PIU, 50 more indirect jobs to be created through capacity building training on energy efficiency

Box 20 Development progress (objective and indicators)

Impact

The Project objective is "to facilitate market transformation for energy efficiency in the construction sector through the development and implementation of NAMA". The TE Team has the opinion that the Project, although a medium-sized GEF project, has indeed contributed to market transformation, in the sense that the data and methodologies produced by the Project are now available for use by the relevant government agencies (e.g., MCUD, CDC, Energy, and UB Municipality) and several programs in the buildings and construction sector that are implemented with the support of other development partners (which are summarized in the next Section 5.4).

UNDP/GEF -- Mongolia NAMA in Construction Sector

⁴⁶ <u>https://time.com/longform/ulan-bator-mongolia-most-polluted-capital/</u>. In January 2018, a government-installed sensor reported a PM2.5 per cubic meter rate of 3,320 in parts of Ulan Bator. That's 133 times the level the World Health Organization (WHO) deems safe.

5.4 Sustainability

- To what extent are there financial, institutional, social-economic, and/or environmental risks to sustaining long-term project results? How sustainable (or likely to be sustainable) are the outputs and outcomes? Are there any unaddressed barriers remaining?
- Is there an exit strategy that is well planned? What could be done to strengthen exit strategies and ensure the sustainability of interventions made?
- How do the main stakeholders plan to provide sustainability to the project's results in the future? Is there evidence financial resources are committed to supporting project results after the project has closed

Sustainability is generally considered to be the likelihood of continued benefits after the project ends. Consequently, the assessment of sustainability considers the risks that are likely to affect the continuation of project outcomes (discussed in detail in Section 5.2). Many risks are in one way or another related to the "barriers" mentioned in the Project Document). The occurrence of the "risks" and failure to implement risk mitigation, implies that it will be more difficult to lower corresponding "barriers" substantially, thus negatively affecting the likeliness of "sustainability" of the project's interventions. The critical "assumptions" then is that the "internal risks" (i.e. risks that can be mitigated or managed by Project management), and 'external risks' have a low incidence and/or impacts, in such a way that sustainability remains (moderately) likely. The quality of adaptive management (mentioned in Section 4.1) is determined by the mitigation response of Project management to these external and internal risk factors as these manifests themselves more intensely and/or more frequently than expected.

In assessing the 'sustainability' of the Project, a simple rating scheme is used:

- Likely (L): negligible risks to sustainability;
- Moderately Likely (ML): moderate risks to sustainability;
- Moderately Unlikely (MU): significant risks to sustainability; and
- Unlikely (U): severe risks to sustainability.

Governance and financial sustainability (likely)

Project level

Current risks to the sustainability of the NAMA Project are mainly related the sustainability of management and operation of the GHG emission inventory database, later to be combined with the MRV system. Recently, a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between MCUD and ERC to cooperate on the implementation of the National Energy Saving Program on 12 activities including the "facilitation of a system for collecting data and statistics of GHG emission in the construction sector". This implies working together on the operationalization of the data collection frameworks for the energy consumption and GHG inventory system.

The GHG emission calculation methodology developed by the Project was approved by the Minister's Order (BD 25-105-19). A web-based GHG inventory system is deployed and in operation (hosted by CDC). MCUD's role in the area of green buildings and climate change will be formalized by appointing an official as 'green buildings specialist' within its Dept. of Policy Development. Similarly, it was decided that the GHG inventory will continue to be hosted by CDC (for which purpose, a job description for a dedicated database specialist of CDC's Dept. of Public Utility will be modified in February 2020). Several public and private sector entities are supporting the sustainable operation of the GHG inventory system. Apart from the before-mentioned MCUD, CDC (host organization) and ERC (energy data provider), this includes the Agency for Land Administration and Management, of MCUD (providing building stock data based on geographic information system)

National level

With respect to the longer-term sustainability of energy efficiency in construction and buildings, it has been noted that the Government seems quite engaged in the subject. Under the Paris Agreement, Mongolia did commit in its Nationally Determined Contributions to reducing building heat loss by 20% before 2020 and 40% by 2030. Other relevant policies regarding EE in buildings are discussed in Section 3.1

In cooperation with international development partners (supplementing the Government's own resources) several programs are being designed and/or implemented that will bring in significant sources of funding for low-carbon buildings and green urban development. These are summarized below.

- With support from the NAMA Facility, the Municipal Government of Ulaanbaatar and Development Bank of Mongolia will implement the *Mongolia – Energy Performance Contracting for Residential Retrofitting in Ulaanbaatar City*, supported by Global Green Growth Institute (GGGI) and ICLEI. The NAMA Facility has provided financial and technical support for the detailed preparation of the NAMA proposal. The proposal aims at retrofitting 375 older apartment blocks (about one-third of the total of this type of 'older' apartment blocks). The project will have a budget of EUR 18 million (to which UB City will add 10%) and include a financial scheme for apartment owners to implement retrofit measures⁴⁷. MCUD is planning/proposing to use the GHG and MRV methodology and regulation developed by the NAMA project for this new incoming project. So, in a way, this could ensure sustainability.
- The Municipal Government of Ulaanbaatar will implement the Ulaanbaatar Green Affordable Housing and Resilient Urban Renewal Project that is envisioned to support the development and construction of climate-resilient and low carbon eco-districts in polluting and substandard ger areas, by providing affordable housing in apartments (about 10,000 units) that are connected to the main urban infrastructure service networks. AHURP will leverage ADB and GCF finance to attract additional investments from commercial banks, as well as equity investments from real estate developers. It envisages grant and debt financing from GCF and Asian Development Bank (ADB) of up to USD 225 million. The aggregate value of the GCF grants and loans will be up to USD 145 million, which will finance about 26% of AHURP's total estimated cost of USD 540 million. The draft project budget allocates low carbon investments (street lighting, insulation, solar panels, metering, and monitoring systems) for social and market housing at an amount of about USD 63 million out of which about USD 50 million GCF loans and grants). Also, funds are made available for infrastructure and public facilities, adaptation investments (greenhouses) and policy environment and capacity strengthening⁴⁸.
- On the energy supply side, the European Bank for Restructuring and Development (EBRD) approved in Sep 2019 a
 USD 10 million loan to the Ulaanbaatar District Heating Company for (i) the rehabilitation and the replacement of
 selected sections of the district heating network, (ii) the installation of an energy-efficient booster pumping station,
 (iii) other auxiliary investments, such as upgrading of central heating substations or installing individual heating
 substations
- At Khan Bank, a Green Economy Financing Facility (GEFF) will be set up with a USD 45 million loan from EBRD and USD 15 million provided by the Green Climate Fund (GCF)⁴⁹. With Xac Bank, another funding proposal is under preparation with GCF support for the *EE Consumption Loan Program* focusing on the *ger* areas. The USD 21.5 million program will support household EE lending, comprised of a USD 18 million facility with concessional loans to consumers purchasing EE heating appliances (USD 3 million of the facility) and EE housing solutions (USD 15 million of the facility) which includes EE housing insulation retrofits and EE housing construction. The facility will be co-financed by XacBank and the GCF (each committing USD 9 million), with USD 1 million in grant financing from the GCF to match the USD 2.5 million in grant co-financing from GERES, a French NGO.
- Mongolia lacks a market instrument that can facilitate the channeling of national-scale green financing aligned with the government's priorities. Administered through GGGI, GCF has provided 'readiness funding' (USD 350,000) to support the Government of Mongolia and the Mongolian Bankers Association in the establishment of the *Mongolia Green Credit Fund* (MGCF), a national financing vehicle to bring long-term finance to projects and programs that stimulate green growth in the four areas of energy, housing, waste management, and sanitation.
- The German GIZ implements the project Thermo-technical rehabilitation of public and apartment buildings in Ulaanbaatar / Mongolia from 2013-2016 making some USD 11 million available for measures in apartments (external wall insulation, roof insulation, basement ceiling insulation, replacement of windows and entrance doors, complete renovation of the heating system) and school-type buildings (schools, orphanages, and kindergartens). A successor project Energy Efficient Building Refurbishment in Mongolia (EEP) is being implemented (2019-2021) by

⁴⁷ Reportedly, loan applicants pay back about 45% of the funding provided over time on the utility bill (to which 20,000-30,000 MNT is added monthly

⁴⁸ The programme addresses the barrier of limited access to long-term and low-cost financing for the developers, constrained access to long-term and low-cost financing for the buyer (e.g. in the form of long-term affordable mortgages), and inadequate supply of affordable, climate resilient housing

⁴⁹ The operation will benefit from a technical cooperation component of USD 6 million (of which USD 2 million provided by GCF).

the UB Municipality with GIZ (and Swiss) support. A total of 20 public buildings such as schools and kindergartens will be renovated and equipped with energy-efficient technologies, while 10 energy-efficient homes in *ger* areas will be constructed. Citizens, especially parents and teachers, are trained to participate in public procurement, while guidelines for transparent, effective and gender-sensitive processes will be developed, and private-sector-oriented training will be provided. A local Energy Efficiency Action Plan for the construction sector will be adopted (Municipality, ERC, MCUD).

Moreover, as part of the Nationally Determined Contribution development, a National Climate Change Committee
has been set up which will ensure more institutional cooperation and info exchange as well as overall and intersectorial coordination of NDC development and implementation. Thus, there is no need for a separate NAMA
institutional setup anymore. Mitigation and adaptation measures under Mongolia's NDC include NAMA-like
measures, not only in the construction sector but also in other sectors.

Socio-economic sustainability (moderately likely)

The current tariff system does not encourage energy savings. Customers' bills are being based on payment per square meter of floor area (or volume) and hot water is billed according to the number of people living in households rather than actual consumption. In 2014, the Building Construction Norms and Standards (BCNS) were revised and new energy efficiency norms were developed to ensure that all new buildings are constructed in compliance with energy efficiency designs and principles. Other measures, such as introducing energy labels are under discussion (see Box 21).

To be effective, (future) revisions of the energy building code will need installations and housing blocks to be prepared for consumption-based billing (CBB). Even if introduced, CBB would meet physical constraints. Ulaanbaatar's housing stock is still dominated by pre-cast concrete panel buildings from the 1970s, and 1980s, accommodating at least 20% of UB's population. The buildings are in an inadequate state due to their age, poor or non-existent maintenance and lack of insulation. Old buildings with unbalanced piping and radiator systems distribute heat unevenly to apartments, which would lead to unfair billing of apartment owners if apartment-level metering would be introduced.



6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1 General conclusions

The UNDP/GEF Project "Nationally Appropriate Mitigation Actions in the Construction Sector in Mongolia" (here referred to as the 'NAMA Project' project consists of three Components:

Component 1: Establishment of baseline energy consumption and GHG emission in the construction sector Component 2: Development and implementation of NAMA in the construction sector Component 3: Measuring, reporting and verification (MRV) system for NAMA.

The Project objective is "to facilitate market transformation for energy efficiency in the construction sector through the development and implementation of NAMA". The TE Team has the opinion that the Project has indeed contributed to market transformation. To be able to appreciate the Project's achievements, the following table gives an overview of barriers the Project was designed to address, what results have been regarding lowering of barriers, and describes barriers remaining.

Box 22 Achievements of NAMA Project in addressing barriers to energy-efficiency in the construction sector

Barriers the Project has sought to address	Contribution by the NAMA in Construction Sector Project
(as mentioned in the ProDoc)	
 Lack of systematic approach, comprehensive tools and capacity to inform EE policy measures: Data on building stock is categorized in different units to serve respective agencies' purposes, e.g. floor area in m², or the number of occupied rooms for hotels and hospitals. Likewise, there has been no systematic approach in consolidating, maintaining and regularly verifying detailed data on historical and current energy consumption in the Construction sector. Agencies such as District Heating Company, MOE, MET are separately engaged in compiling energy consumption data but there is no collaborative approach to compile, analyze and share the data to fulfill various purposes such as establishing reference baselines, benchmarking and for comparisons 	 Outcome 1: Effective EE policymaking informed by robust energy consumption monitoring and reference baselines for the construction sector The GHG Inventory methodology for the Construction sector has been developed and adopted legally by Ministerial Order. Meantime, MCUD and donor organizations (such as including GIZ and GGGI) are reportedly using and referring to the results for the development of their proposals. Recently, a MOU was concluded between MCUD and ERC with the objective to cooperate on the implementation of the National Energy Saving Programme, including working together on the operationalization of the data collection frameworks for the energy consumption and GHG inventory system. Web-based GHG emission Inventory system operationalized and adopted for the construction sector NAMA and will be hosted post-project by CDC and support by several government entities. In general, the capacities in technical subject areas have been strengthened, such as concepts on inventory, QA/QC, data requirements, calculation of emissions from buildings
 Lack of tools and guidelines on monitoring and evaluation: Local building practitioners and construction sector professionals do not have sufficient tools or guidelines to help them understand the significance of monitoring and evaluating energy savings accrued from EE measures 	 Outcome 3: Effective climate change mitigation policies strengthened by NAMA impacts ascertained through the established MRV system Key GHG and non-GHG parameters and indicators were identified and agreed on five required indicators for construction sector NAMAs.; MRV methodology and guidelines were developed and have been used for MRV of two demonstration projects
 Lack of credible information on EE construction materials, equipment and cost-effective state of art technologies: Although the UNDP/GEF BEEP project has been very instrumental in generating widespread awareness on EE, there is still a compelling need to propagate more information, for instance, on EE construction 	 Outcome 2: Prioritized NAMA (pilots) in the construction sector developed and funded for implementation The Project has carried out cost-benefit analyses and developed the methodology with an Excel-based tool for marginal abatement cost curves (MACC) of the following technologies (which were used in the pilot projects): high-efficiency boiler, improved insulation, triple glazed windows, improved ventilation with heat recovery system, solar panel, and efficient lighting;

UNDP/GEF -- Mongolia NAMA in Construction Sector

materials and equipment, list of professional services and suppliers. Real-life demonstrations of cost-effective, best available technologies and practices to fully comply with and further go beyond the proposed updated building codes are also limited.	• Four demonstration projects (pilots) have been implemented, namely 1) ERC (rooftop solar system); 2) CDC Lab (insulation); 3) Soum heating system (high-efficiency boiler), 4) School building retrofit in Gobi-Altai (roof renovation and indoor heating system renovation), while 5) construction of the rooftop solar system at MUST and 6) insulation of heat meters in by UB municipality in buildings will start in the coming months.
 Absence of effective financial models for EE Investments: A lack of financial ability to target end-users and limited financial capabilities of construction companies has been restraining the propagation of EE technology. If developers are not able to recoup the incremental investment in energy-efficient properties, they will be unwilling to further invest in such business propositions. Suitable and effective financial mechanisms and fiscal products (e.g. off-balance-sheet financing, tax incentives) to support EE building designs and investments are hardly available. 	 In the report "Financial Scheme for Energy Efficient buildings in Mongolia" (commissioned by the Project) an assessment is made of the capacity buildings of the key stakeholders in terms of knowledge of climate-related financing options and status of the Mongolian mortgage market and proposes a financing scheme for high-rise residential and commercial buildings. This activity of the Project has been accompanied by meetings with key financial stakeholders, such as Xac Bank, MBA, and Arig Bank; The report furthermore describes financial instruments appropriate for the building sector in Mongolia (and could be deployed in buildings NAMA). The instrument proposed is a partial risk guarantee. Partial risk guarantees are designed to make a project 'bankable' by reducing project risk, lowering the cost of capital and extending tenors.
Insufficient EE policy implementation and coordination mechanisms	 Project contributions: The Project contributed to the development of State policy on the Construction sector (reflecting low-carbon urban development issues and with GHG reduction targets) Recently, a MOU was concluded between MCUD and ERC to cooperate on the implementation of the National Energy Saving Program, including working together on the operationalization of the data collection frameworks for the energy consumption and GHG inventory system. Work on the renewed thermal performance of building code and norms BNbD 23-02-09 (has continued from the previous UNDP/GEF BEEP project. However, the Building Energy Code is still not a mandatory requirement for building permits. Thus, addressing building code compliance (as originally mentioned in the ProDoc) have not been carried out, instead, the Project has provided inputs for the elaboration of compliance and other requirements
	 Project strategy and design: In the project design, there has been confusion about the NAMA concept. The ProDoc seems to have a narrow definition of the individual pilots as 'NAMAs' rather than as the preparation phase for a sectoral NAMA proposal that could have laid a basis for an institutional framework for NAMA development and registration; On the other hand, there are several programs being developed (notably with GCF support and by development banks) in the buildings and construction sector by MCUD, UB City and local banks with support from development regarding the medium-term sustainability of the NAMA Project's efforts
Unattractive economic benefits of EE investments for end-users due to subsidized heat and electricity tariffs	 The current tariff system does not encourage energy savings. Customers' bills are being based on payment per square meter of floor area (or volume) and hot water is billed according to the number of people living in households rather than actual consumption. On the longer-term policy would need to shift towards consumption-based billing (CBB), starting in new buildings under a renewed building code that requires these to be prepared for CBB

Box 23 Evaluat	ion rating	gs of the	NAMA Project
Evaluation item	Rating MTR	Rating TE	Comment / correspondence with sections in the report
Design logic; Strategy; Attainment of the project goal	N/A MS	S MS S	See Section 3.2 and 3.3 Although the logical framework in general has been appropriately designed in terms of outcome, there has been confusion on the definition of "Nationally Appropriate Mitigation Actions". One might have expected the Project to result in a sector-wide NAMA, the Project itself has been 'the NAMA' with a GHG inventory, MRV system formulated and some pilots implemented (which confusingly were referred to as NAMAs). What has been missing in the design is the institutionalisation of the NAMA concept. Fortunately, follow up will be given post-project to the above-mentioned outputs by 'NAMA-like' initiatives undertaken by UB City, local banks and other Mongolian organisations (see 'Sustainability'), while cooperation agreements on GHG emissions in construction have been made between government entities. Also, a new UNDP-supported project on NDC Implementation (see Box 23) will build on the NAMA Project, so, in this sense, the Project 'goal' can be judged as to have been achieved and be rated as 'satisfactory',
Relevance	N/A	R	See Sections 3.1 and 3.3 The project is fully in line with a number of energy (efficiency) and climate change mitigation policies and strategies and has addressed some barriers to the more widespread dissemination of EE interventions in the Construction sector
Efficiency and overall implementation and execution	N/A	S-HS	The project is being adaptively managed and implemented in a manner that is cost-effective. The PIU has effectively engaged with all stakeholders relevant to the project and managed to get strong commitment from the Ministry of Construction and Urban Development (MCUD). Despite initial delays, the PIU has managed to implement the Project with satisfactory results in a shorter implementation period (32 months) than originally planned (42 months). Co-financing has been mobilized in large part linked with the realization of the demo projects of Outcome 2.
Outcome 1	S	S	Section 5.2.1. The GHG inventory methodology was developed (in 2018) and a web- based system was launched in October 2018, while sustainability of the scheme is supported by agreements between entities involved (MCUD, MEGTD, ERC, UB City)
Outcome 2	S	S	Section 5.2.2 The MACC curve analysis was conducted, while 4 out of 6 pilot projects have been completed with the other two demo installation/construction starting soon and expected to be completed by end of April 2020.
Outcome 3	MS	S	Section 5.2.3 The MRV methodology and tools has been designed and used for MRV of the first demo project, while the first data of these projects has been used to help finalise the MRV methodology
Overall project outcomes	N/A	S	Based on the rating of the Outcomes 1 to 3
Attainment of the objective; Effectiveness	MS	S	Section 5.3. With most of the demo projects operating and installation of the last two to be started soon, it has been estimated that the energy savings from these projects will lead to satisfactory energy savings and thus GHG emission reduction. The 'soft assistance' activities will have a multiplier effect, so indirect emission could be several times more the direct emission reduction results
Financial and institutional (medium-term)	N/A	L	With respect to the longer-term sustainability of energy efficiency in construction and buildings, it has been noted that the Government seems quite engaged in the subject. Under the Paris Agreement, Mongolia did commit in its Nationally Determined Contributions to reducing building heat loss by 20% before 2020 and 40% by 2030. In cooperation with international development partners (supplementing the Government's own resources) several programmes are being designed and/or implemented that will bring in significant sources of funding for low-carbon buildings and green urban development
Socio-economic (longer-term)	N/A	ML	Some barriers remain that will only be resolved on the longer term. The current tariff system does not encourage energy saving, as customers' bills are being based on payment per square meter rather than actual consumption. Revised (energy-relevant) building codes have been drafted but political decision-making regarding approval has been slow and official approval still pending. To be effective, any revised energy building code would need to require (new) housing blocks to be prepared for consumption-based billing (CBB)
Likelihood of sustainability	N/A	ML	Per instruction in GEF Evaluation manual, the lowest rating should be chosen for the overall sustainability rating

UNDP/GEF -- Mongolia NAMA in Construction Sector

Note to the table:

- (HS) Highly Satisfactory: Project is on track to exceed its end-of-project targets, and is likely to achieve transformational change by project closure. The project can be presented as 'outstanding practice'.
- (S) Satisfactory: Project is on track to fully achieve its end-of-project targets by project closure. The project can be presented as 'good practice'.
- (MS) Moderately Satisfactory: Project is on track to achieve its end-of-project targets by project closure with minor shortcomings only.
- (MU) Moderately Unsatisfactory: Project is off track and is expected to partially achieve its end-of-project targets by project closure with significant shortcomings. Project results might be fully achieved by project closure if adaptive management is undertaken immediately.
- (U) Unsatisfactory: Project is off track and is not expected to achieve its end-of-project targets by project closure. Project results might be partially achieved by project closure if major adaptive management is undertaken immediately.
- (HU) Highly Unsatisfactory: Project is off track and is not expected to achieve its end-of-project targets without major restructuring.
- (U/A) Unable to assess; N/A: not assessed
- Sustainability
- (L) Likely: negligible risks to sustainability.
- (ML) Moderately Likely: moderate risks.
- (MU) Moderately Unlikely: significant risks.
- (U) Unlikely: severe risks.
- Relevance
- (R) Relevant; (NR) Not Relevant (NR).
- Impact Ratings:
- (S) Significant; (M) Minimal (M); (N) Negligible (N).

The Project's goal is "reduced GHG emissions in the construction sector". Based on the first five (demo) projects the lifetime (taken conservatively as 14 years) energy savings and GHG emission reduction are 134 GWh and 48,140 tCO₂. Direct emission reduction will actually be higher of the last demonstration project (to be finalized by April 2020) is also taken into account.

6.2 Recommendations

UNDP and CDC

Only two pilot projects have been analyzed fully according to the MRV methodology (see Box 26). Two projects were constructed recently in 2019 (ERC and CDC demos) and still need a full winter season of measurements, while the last two will only be installed in Q1 2020. The NAMA Project has recently been extended to the end of April 2020, so, the Evaluation Team proposes that this will enable the complete measurements of the winter season 2019-2020. Apart from this, another season of measurements could be undertaken, thus allowing to see differences between winters between one year and another. It also allows the last two demos (MUST building and UB City buildings) to be monitored during at least one whole winter season. The results (GHG inventory, MRV methodology, findings of the pilot projects, and other materials of the Project) should continue to be disseminated widely. An agreement should be made with CDC to continue the measurements, possibly with some UNDP support by the new UNDP project "Deepening efforts to accelerate NDC implementation" (described in Box 23) and with CDC on post-NAMA project information dissemination.

Government

NAMAs formulation is not a one-off event but is a continuous process through which developing countries can expand the scope of activities over time. Several programs are being designed of which some are labeled 'NAMA' (such as the program *Mongolia – Energy Performance Contracting for Residential Retrofitting* with UB Municipality and GGGI) while other programs may have different labels and titles, but all construction and building sector will have some interrelation and can build and reinforce each other. An institutional oversight framework will be needed to promote coordination

Box 24 Deepening efforts to accelerate NDC implementation in Mongolia

As a part of the global programme on NDC support, UNDP with MCUD will implement this Project (in cooperation with MEGDT) that aims to address the gap in the NDC partnership plan and ensure the parallel processes are well coordinated. The Project Document was recently signed in October 2019 with a total budget of USD 540,000 and will be implemented from Oct 2019 to June 2021. A close partnership of the NDC working group and SDG thematic working groups will be facilitated. The objective of the project is to ensure a well-coordinated NDC process that would result in an actionable plan with a robust financing strategy fully aligned with the SDGs and Mongolia's 2030 Vision document that was approved in 2016. The objective will be achieved by two interlinked outputs (and activities):

- 1. Efforts coordinated for effective implementation of NDC and Partnership plan
 - 1.1 Align the NDC processes with SDG planning and implementation
 - 1.2 Establish a platform for NDC implementation and coordination
 - 1.3 Strengthen coordination capacity of the newly established National Climate Change Committee.
 - 1.4 Develop the NDC document consolidating sectoral inputs and validate for submission
- 2. Inclusive sectoral transformation towards NDC implementation supported
 - 2.1 Improve national GHG inventory methodology and data collection in the transport sector
 - 2.2 Set up a national MRV system in construction and transport sectors
 - 2.3 Undertake cost-benefit analysis (CBA) and financial needs assessment for key mitigation actions in the transport and construction sectors
 - 2.4 Develop capacities of national stakeholders to access climate finance

and cooperation, avoiding overlap and filling gaps. The newly established National Climate Change Committee (NCCC)⁵⁰ can play such a role (or a subcommittee thereof), with NAMA and NAMA-type activities forming implementation of goals and strategies set out within the overall framework of Mongolia's Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC).

The NAMA concept was introduced in 2007-2009 as part of the UNFCCC framework, referring to a set of policies and voluntary actions that countries undertake as part of a commitment to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. The Conference of Parties (COP) of the UNFCCC in 2015, held in Paris, introduced the (voluntary) Nationally Determined Contributions. The NDCs national climate plans highlighting climate actions, including climate-related targets, policies and measures governments. NAMAs can now be seen as a subset of NDC actions and from an institutional point of view, the TE Team recommends continuing climate change mitigation efforts within the NDC framework rather than separately institutionalizing the NAMA concept.

6.3 Lessons learned

1) One lesson learned from the monitoring of energy consumption is that one has to be critical on data derived from purchase bills for monitoring, as the actual consumption of fuel (coal) may deviate substantially from the actual consumption, as is explained in Box 24.

The report *CO2 Emission Reduction Calculation, Standardized Baseline Emission Factor Setting, and MRV in the Building Sector under the Paris Agreement* mentions challenges regarding data collection and implementing GHG inventory and MRV methodologies too. In general, there is a scarcity of data on energy consumption in (new) buildings, which are provided by two separate entities (and data. As mentioned, data provided in forms are not always given correctly, either too large or too small or in wrong units. Not all buildings are equipped with hot water meters.

UNDP/GEF -- Mongolia NAMA in Construction Sector

Set up in May 2019, the role of the NCCC will include create an enabling environment for and oversee NDC implementation, establishing working groups and taskforces and defining their scope of work, providing support, guidance to and approval of NDC & NDC-related policy, action plan, and programmes and monitoring and evaluation of the above.

Box 25 Impacts of energy-efficiency initiatives in the construction/building sector

The diagram below summarizes the 'theory of change' of a typical GEF-type of green building of energy efficiency project. The figure gives the elements and chains of causality of interventions leading towards market transformation in the construction/buildings sector) and associated energy savings with greenhouse gas emission reduction. The project's activities in the end are envisioned to contribute to a change of market conditions that mobilizes and channels investments into energy efficiency (or low-carbon) initiatives. By simultaneously lowering barriers to broader adoption (increasing availability of financing) and increasing profitability of corresponding investments (creating positive incentives through policies and regulation), the activities seeks to create a market pull for energy efficiency in the building sector, and similarly, by lowering barriers to behavioural change (increasing knowledge of and demand for energy-efficient designs and approaches and introduction of building energy performance labels) to create a market push.



To be able to say something about the impacts (higher-level) outcomes, it should be noted that the NAMA Mongolia project has been 'medium in size' and has contributed (only) to the elements in the causal pathways of the figures indicated in red. To really appreciate the efforts undertaken in Mongolia, one has to realise that the NAMA project is just one initiative. It builds on earlier UNDP initiatives (such as the BEEP project that worked in building codes) and, in cooperation with international development partners (supplementing the Government's own resources) several programmes are being designed and/or implemented that will bring in significant sources of funding with appropriate financial instruments for low-carbon buildings and green urban development. It is important to note that a full market transformation cannot be expected from each individual initiative alaone, but by the implementation of all over time, in conjunction with government policy incentives, tariff revision, and regulatory implements.

In the above sketched situation, the TE Team has then opinion that the NAMA project did lay some groundwork for market trabsformation that has been noted and taken further by the Government and development partners:

- Preparing the ground for demonstration of technologies and approaches in a number of pilot buildings
- Inform policy-making by providing assessment (GHG inventory) and standards methodologies (GHG methodology)
- Facilitate behavioural change through knowledge enhancement and information dissemination

2) When designing a NAMA preparation and support project it is important to have a common understanding among stakeholders on the definition of the NAMA concept and its priorities and expected goals. Apart from focusing on individual demo project interventions and defining GHG inventory and MRV methodologies and tools, setting up an institutional framework for NAMA development and registration is missing while this may be crucial for reaping the benefits of this and other future NAMA or NAMA-type of development projects and avoid that these will overlap, leave gaps or use mutually incompatible data collection, monitoring, and reporting systems.

Box 26 Monitoring of GHG emission reduction in the demo projects in buildings

Measurements and data collection and calculation of energy savings (and GHG emission reduction) has been carried out for the first two demo/pilot projects over the period November 2018-November 2019

Emission reduction in School building retrofit in Gobi-AltaiEnergy useMeasured energy
consumption (in MWh)Corresponding GHG emission
reduction (tCO2)Baseline511.91291.85Project333.13113.93Savings178.78101.92

83 tons

Soum demonstration project – Erdenedalai, Dundgobi

Coal saved

Energy use	Type of data		Corresponding GHG
			emission reduction (tCO ₂)
Baseline	Coal consumption (purchase bills)	3,222.0 tons	6,148.3
	Estimated by heat mater	6,184.3 MWh	1,425.3
Project	Coal consumption (purchase bills)	710.0 tons	1,362.0
	Estimated by heat mater	2,083.3 MWh	950.2
Savings	Coal consumption (purchase bills)	2,512.0 tons	4,786,3
	Estimated by heat mater	1,388.9 MWh	475,1

Interesting in this case is the wide discrepancy between GHG emission value based on coal purchase bills and value based on heat meters. From the measured values we can deduce that the actual coal consumption is much less than what can be derived from heat meter measurements. With reported coal consumption much higher than the actual, one can conclude that much purchased coal 'disappears' for uses other than for the *soum* boiler system!

TERMS OF REFERENCE

INTERNATIONAL CONSULTANT FOR TERMINAL EVALUATION OF THE NAMA IN THE CONSTRUCTION SECTOR IN MONGOLIA PROJECT

INTRODUCTION

In accordance with UNDP and GEF M&E policies and procedures, all full and medium-sized UNDP support GEF financed projects are required to undergo a terminal evaluation upon completion of implementation. These terms of reference (TOR) sets out the expectations for a Terminal Evaluation (TE) of the Nationally Appropriate Mitigation Actions in the Construction Sector in Mongolia (PIMS 5315).

The essentials of the project to be evaluated are as follows:

PROJECT SUMMARY TABLE

Title: Nation	nally Appropriate Mitigation Actions i	in the Constructio	n Sector in Mongoli	a
GEF Project ID:	6020		at endorsement	at completion
	0000		(USS)	(USS)
UNDP Project ID:	5315	GEF financing:	1,269,863	1,269,863 ¹
Country:	Mongolia	IA/EA own:	100,000	
Region:	Asia and the Pacific	Government:	3,350,000	1,446,633.25 ¹
Focal Area:	Cimate Change	Other:	3,450,000	
FA Objectives,	CCM2 for GEF 5: Promote market	Total co-		
(OP/SP):	transformation in the energy	financing:	000 000 2	
	efficiency industry and building			
	sector			
Executing	Ministry of Construction and	Total Project	0 160 062	
Agency:	Urban Development	Cost:	C00,201,0	
Other Partners	Ministry of Environment and	ProDoc Signat	ture (date project	10 20152
involved:	Tourism,		began):	1011C 70' 7010
	Energy Regulatory Commission,	(Operational)	Proposed:	Actual:
	Construction Development	Closing Date:	December 31,	December 31,
	Center		2019	2019

OBJECTIVE AND SCOPE

 3 Although project document was signed on this date, actual project inception took place in April 2017 due to the restructuring of the government resulted from 2016 parliamentary election.

The project was designed to facilitate market transformation for energy efficiency in the construction sector through the development and implementation of NAMA in Mongolia. This objective will be achieved by removing barriers to increased adoption of energy efficiency technology in construction sector through three components;

- establishment of baseline energy consumption and GHG emission in the construction sector ii. development and implementation of NAMA in the construction sector
 - iii. measuring, reporting and verification (MRV) system for NAMA.

This project was implemented over a 32 months period (originally planned to implement over a 40 months period) and is expected to achieve GHG emission reductions through the displacement of electricity heat generation from coal power plants and CHPs. Direct GHG emission reduction over the lifetime of the project is estimated to be 64,219 tCO2e. The estimated range of potential indirect emission reduction is 57,435 to 438,926 tCO2e that is cumulative for a 10-year period after.

The TE will be conducted according to the guidance, rules and procedures established by UNDP and GEF as reflected in the UNDP Evaluation Guidance for GEF Financed Projects. The objectives of the evaluation are to assess the achievement of project results, and to draw lessons that can both improve the sustainability of benefits from this project, and aid in the overall enhancement of UNDP programming.

EVALUATION APPROACH AND METHOD

An overall approach and method³ for conducting project terminal evaluations of UNDP supported GEF financed projects has developed over time. The evaluator is expected to frame the evaluation effort using the criteria of relevance. effectiveness. efficiency, sustainability, and impact, as defined and explained in the <u>UNDP Guidance for</u> <u>Conductore</u>. Effectiveness. efficiency, sustainability, and impact, as defined and explained in the <u>UNDP Guidance for</u> <u>Conductore</u>. For the evaluations of <u>UNDP-supported</u>, <u>GEF-financed Projects</u>. A set of questions covering each of these criteria have been drafted and are included with this TOR <u>(Annex O)</u> The evaluator is expected to amend, complete and submit this matrix as part of an evaluation inception report, and shall include it as an annex to the final report.

The evaluation must provide evidence-based information that is credible, reliable and useful. The evaluator is expected to follow a participatory and consultative approach ensuring close engagement with government counterparts, in particular the GEF operational focal point, UNDP Country Office, project team, UNDP GEF Technical Adviser based in the region and key stakeholders: The evaluator is expected to conduct a mission to Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia including the field visit the project sites (Erdenedalai soum in Dundgobi Province, Jargalan soum in Gobi-Altai province, Energy Regulatory Commission, Laboratory of Construction Development Center, Mongolia University of Science and Technology). Interviews will be held with the following organizations and individuals at a minimum:

- Ministry of Construction and Urban Development (MCUD)
- Ministry of Environment and Tourism (MET)
- Ministry of Energy (MOE)
- Energy Regulatory Commission
- Construction Development Center
 Ioral Government Authorities and henefit
- Local Government Authorities and beneficiaries (Dundgobi and Gobi-Altai aimag)
 - Ulemj Khurd LLC, Dundgobi province

2

¹ To be completed upon the commencement of the TE

³ For additional information on methods, see the <u>Handbook on Planning. Monitoring and Evaluating for Development Results</u>. Chapter 7, pg. 163

- General Authority for Specialized Inspection (GASI)
- General Authority for Specialized Inspection (
 - Ulaanbaatar City Government (UB City)
 Housing and Public Utility Authority (HPUA)
 - XacBank
- XacBank
 School of Control of Authority Management
- School of Civil Engineering and Architecture, Mongolian University of Science and Technology (MUST);
 Supreme Council of Condominium Associations (SCCA);
- Mongolian Civil Engineers Association (MACE);
- Building Material Manufacturer Association

The evaluator will review all relevant sources of information, such as the project document, project reports – including Annual PIR, project budget revisions, midterm review, progress reports, GEF focal area tracking tools, project files, national strategic and legal documents, and any other materials that the evaluator considers useful for this evidence-based assessment. A list of documents that the project team will provide to the evaluator for review is included in <u>Annex B</u> of this Terms of Reference.

EVALUATION CRITERIA & RATINGS

An assessment of project performance will be carried out, based against expectations set out in the Project logical Framework/Results Framework (see <u>Annex A</u>), which provides performance and impact indicators for project implementation along with their corresponding means of verification. The evaluation will at a minimum cover the criteria of: relevance, effectiveness, efficiency, sustainability and impact. Ratings must be provided on the following performance criteria. The completed table must be included in the evaluation executive summary. The obligatory rating scales are included in <u>Annex D</u>.

Evaluation Ratings:			
1. Monitoring and Evaluation	rating	2. IA& EA Execution	rating
M&E design at entry		Quality of UNDP Implementation	
M&E Plan Implementation		Quality of Execution - Executing Agency	
Overall quality of M&E		Overall quality of Implementation / Execution	
3. Assessment of Outcomes	rating	4. Sustainability	rating
Relevance		Financial resources:	
Effectiveness		Socio-political:	
Efficiency		Institutional framework and governance:	
Overall Project Outcome Rating		Environmental:	
		Overall likelihood of sustainability:	
Environmental Status Impact			
Improvement			
Environmental Stress Reduction			
Progress towards stress/status			
change			
Overall Project Results			

PROJECT FINANCE / COFINANCE

The Evaluation will assess the key financial aspects of the project, including the extent of co-financing planned and realized. Project cost and funding data will be required, including annual expenditures. Variances between planned should actual expenditures will need to be assessed and explained. Results from recent financial audits, as available, should be taken into consideration. The evaluator(s) will receive assistance from the Country Office (CO) and Project Team to obtain financial data in order to complete the co-financing table below, which will be included in the terminal evaluator report.

Co-financing	UNDP own	_	Government		Partner Ager	ζ,	Total	
(type/source)	financing	(mill. US\$)	(mill. US\$)		(mill. US\$)		(mill. US\$)	
	Planned	Actual	Planned	Actual	Planned	Actual	Planned	Actual
Grants	50,000							
Loans/Concessions								
 In-kind support 	20,000		3,350,000		50,000			
Other					3,400,000			
Totals	100,000		3,350,000		3,450,000			

MAINSTREAMING

UNDP supported GEF financed projects are key components in UNDP country programming, as well as regional and global programmes. The evaluation will assess the extent to which the project was successfully mainstreamed with other UNDP priorities, including poverty alleviation, improved governance, the prevention and recovery from natural disasters, and gender.

IMPACT

The evaluators will assess the extent to which the project is achieving impacts or progressing towards the achievement of impacts. Key findings that should be brought out in the evaluations include whether the project has demonstrated: a) establishment of baseline energy consumption and GHG emission in the construction sector. b) development and implementation of NAMA in the construction sector, and/or c) measuring, reporting and verification (MRV) system for NAMA⁴.

CONCLUSIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS & LESSONS

The evaluation report must include a chapter providing a set of conclusions, recommendations and lessons. Conclusions should build on findings and be based in evidence. Recommendations should be prioritized, specific, relevant, and targeted, with suggested implementers of the recommendations. Lessons should have wider applicability to other initiatives across the region, the area of intervention, and for the future.

IMPLEMENTATION ARRANGEMENTS

⁴ A useful tool for gauging progress to impact is the Review of Outcomes to Impacts (ROtt) method developed by the GEF Evaluation Office: <u>ROTI Handbook 2009</u>
The principal responsibility for managing this evaluation resides with the UNDP CO in Mongolia. The UNDP CO will contract the evaluators and ensure the timely provision of per diems and travel arrangements within the country for the evaluation team. The Project Team will be responsible for liaising with the Evaluators team to set up stakeholder

interviews, arrange field visits, coordinate with the Government etc.

EVALUATION TIMEFRAME

E.
a
60
₽.
3
≅
9
a.
÷
0
50
Ē
P
ō
U
no
ž
8
2
ä
=
3
-
<u>e</u> .
te d
2
5
a
ž
2
Ö
5
E:
0
5
-
2
2
e

Activity	Timing for international	Timing for national	Expected completion date
	consultant	consultant	
Preparation	4 days	8 days	Starting from the contract
			commencement date.
Evaluation Mission	14 days	14 days	After acceptance of inception
			report
Draft Evaluation Report	7 days	7 days	After the evaluation mission
Final Report	2 days	7 days	After comments and feedback
			received on draft report.

DUTY STATION

Mongolia. It is expected that the mission will be conducted around late November or early December and will not count for more than 14 days in total, which include not more than 5 days of in-country travel. Local The international and national consultants will be home-based and carry out One mission to Ulaanbaatar, travel cost shall be covered by the project based on UNDP policy or UN-EU cost-norm.

EVALUATION DELIVERABLES

The evaluation team is expected to deliver the following:

Deliverable eption	Content Evaluator provides	Timing No later than 2 weeks	Responsibilities Evaluator submits to UNDP CO
ţ	clarifications on timing and method	before the evaluation mission.	
ntation	Initial Findings	End of evaluation mission	To project management, UNDP CO
Final t ^s	Full report, (per annexed template) with annexes	Within 3 weeks of the evaluation mission	Sent to CO, reviewed by RTA, PCU, GEF OFPs and IP
Report*	Revised report	Within 1 week of receiving UNDP comments on draft	Sent to CO for uploading to UNDP ERC.

National Consultant must ensure that Draft Report and Final Report are translated into Mongolian language, in order to obtain comments and feedbacks from national counterparts

ŝ

TEAM COMPOSITION AND RESPONSIBLITIEIS

experience in evaluating similar projects and possess a demonstrable senior evaluation expertise coherent with the consultant will be designated as the team leader and will be responsible for finalizing the report. The evaluators The evaluation team will be composed of 1 international and 1 national evaluators. The consultants shall have prior requirements of this assignment. Experience with GEF financed projects is an advantage. The international selected should not have participated in the project preparation and/or implementation and should not have conflict of interest with project related activities.

approach and methods proposed in the inception report. The Team members will participate in briefing and debriefing meetings, discussions, field visits, and will contribute to the evaluation with written inputs and oral presentations. The Evaluation Team shall collaborate on a single document for each of the four main deliverables (inception report, presentation on preliminary findings, draft report and final report). The Team Leader will be responsible for coordinating the inputs and ensuring all deadlines are met; the National Consultant will be Both consultants will be responsible for conducting the evaluation as described in this ToR. They will apply the responsible for local-level engagement.

The Team Leader is expected to:

Lead, organize, and supervise the work of the Evaluation Team, ensuring a division of labour that is commensurate with the skills profiles of the individual team members. He/she will have overall responsibility to produce deliverables, the evaluation report, and is ultimately accountable for its quality. •

Specifically, the international expert (team leader) will perform the following tasks:

- Guide the national expert in collecting data and information and preparation of relevant sections in the Lead and manage the evaluation mission; report • •
- Design the detailed evaluation scope and methodology (including the methods for data collection and analysis); •
- Conduct an analysis of the outcome, outputs and partnership strategy (as per the scope of the evaluation described above); •
- Draft related parts of the evaluation report; and
 - Finalize the entire evaluation report.

The National Consultant is expected to:

- lead the organization and facilitation of meetings and discussions with key stakeholders. •
- ensure that all deliverables are translated and available in English and Mongolian languages.

Specifically, the national expert will perform the following tasks:

- Documentation of evaluation and data gathering and consultation meetings; Contributing to the development of evaluation plan and methodology; •
- Conducting specific elements of the evaluation determined by the International Lead Consultant;
- Contributing to presentation of the evaluation findings and recommendations at the evaluation wrap-up meeting:
- Contributing to the drafting and finalization of the evaluation reports, notes of the meetings and other related documents prepared by the international consultant

Performing translation for the international consultants during meetings with various stakeholders and necessary documents discussed during the international consultant's mission. •

QUALIFICATIONS OF THE SUCCESSFUL EVALUATORS

The International consultant must present the following qualifications.

For International Consultant (Team Leader)

- Advance university degree (Master's) in project management, energy efficiency, construction, climate change, environmental sciences or relevant fields.
- At least ten (10) years of international experience in the areas of project development, implementation, and evaluation for donor-funded development projects in developing countries. •
 - Recent experience with results-based management evaluation methodologies; Demonstrated experience working with the GEF or GEF-evaluations; •
 - •
- Demonstrated experience from evaluations of similar types of GEF financed projects, using guidance and approaches proposed by UNDP and the GEF.
- Project evaluation/review experiences within United Nations system will be considered an asset; •
- Work experience in climate change mitigation, energy efficiency projects in developing countries in Asia is •
 - an advantage; Experience applying SMART indicators and reconstructing or validating baseline scenarios; Experience applying participatory monitoring approaches; •
 - Good interpersonal and analytical skills and ability to work under diverse/varied cultural environments; Demonstrated command over writing professional reports in English. • •

EVALUATOR ETHICS

Evaluation consultants will be held to the highest ethical standards and are required to sign a Code of Conduct (Annex E) upon acceptance of the assignment. UNDP evaluations are conducted in accordance with the principles outlined in the UNEG 'Ethical Guidelines for Evaluations'

PAYMENT MODALITIES AND SPECIFICATIONS

Milestone	Upon receiving and accepting inception report	Following submission and approval of the 1ST draft terminal evaluation rep	Following submission and approval (UNDP-CO and UNDP RTA) of the final te	report
		report	al terminal evaluation	
	Milestone	Milestone Upon receiving and accepting inception report	Milestone Upon receiving and accepting inception report Following submission and approval of the 1ST draft terminal evaluation report	Milestone Upon receiving and accepting inception report Following submission and approval of the 1ST draft terminal evaluation report Following submission and approval (UNDP-CC and UNDP RTA) of the final terminal evaluation

ANNEX B. ITINERARY OF THE EVALUATION MISSION

No	Time	Organization	Name	Position	Meeting contents	Venue and Focal
Monda	y, 06 January 202	0				Points
1.	9:00 - 12:30	PIU Team Project Terminal evaluation team (PTE)	 Mr. Khishigjargal Ms, Bayarmaa Mr. Munkhbayar Ms. Natsagbadam Ms. Khongorzul Mr. Van den Akker Mr. Dorjpurev 	 NPC Project officer, Comp.1 Project officer, Comp 2 Project officer, Comp 3 Communications and outreach Team leader Team member 	Briefing of overall results and per Component	MCUD Meeting Room
Tuesda	y, 07 January 202	0				
2.	9:00 – 10:30	MCUD PTE	 Ms. Lkhagvatseden Mr. Bayarbat Ms. Misheel Mr. Jan van den Akker Mr. Dorjpurev. 	 NPD (Head of Public Utilities Sector Policy Implementation and Coordination Department, MCUD) MCUD (Policy and Planning Department); PSC member Officer, MCUD Team leader Team member 	 Relevance to national priorities and international commitments (State Construction Policy, the State Housing Policy and the contribution to the Paris Agreement) Follow-up (GGGI is beginning project for insulation of old concrete panel buildings. MCUD is cooperating with support Project achievement (methodology for calculation of the GHG emissions from the construction sector, methodology for calculation of GHG emission reductions and establishment of MRV system; detailed survey of 32 different type of buildings connected to the central heating system) 	MCUD Meeting room
3.	11:00-12:00	PIU Team PTE	- Ms. Bayarmaa - Mr. Jan van den Akker - Mr. Dorjpurev	- Project officer, Comp.1 - Team leader - Team member	 Establishment of baseline GHG emission and inventory (GHG emission calculations; Web-based GHG inventory system; Formalization of MCUD's and CDC role in GHG inventory' MoU between MCUD and ERC on Ministry of Construction and Urban Development and Energy Regulatory Commission on National Energy Saving Program within 12 activities including GHG inventory in the Construction Sector 	PIU (MCUD)
4.	14:00-15:30	Environment and Climate Fund PIU	 Ms. Tegshjargal Mr. Batjargal Mr. Jan van den Akker Mr. Dorjpurev 	 CCPIU, ECF-MEGDT (specialist for GHG inventory) PCC; Focal Point, NCF, UNFCCC Team leader Team member 	 Difference between national GHG inventory (top-down analysis using IPCC methodology and) NAMA project sectoral inventory (bottom-up; CDM methodology) Activities of other development partners (Green Climate Fund; Japan Joint Credit Mechanism 	ECF Office
5.	16:00-17:00	ERC PTE	- Mr. Atjargal - Ms. Tsolmen - Mr. Van den Akker	- Director, ERC - Building expert - Team leader	 Visit to Demo site (rooftop solar PV with a capacity of 30 kW. The total investment cost for the new building including solar PV is 3 billion MNT (of which project support of 195 million MNT for the roof solar PV) 	ERC office

No	Time	Organization	Name	Position	Meeting contents	Venue and Focal Points
			- Mr. Dorjpurev	- Team member	 New ERC building (with rockwool insulation and other EE measures) is 2-4 times more efficient than the old building Solar panels can give electricity to the central grid during low power consumption time. There is an electricity meter to calculate electricity consumption. However, at present, the regulations and tariffs for electricity supply have not been approved 	
Wedn	esday, 08 January	2020				
6.	10:00-11:00	PIU Team PTE	 Ms. Matsagbadam Mr. Jan van den Akker Mr. Dorjpurev 	 Project officer, Comp.3 Team leader Team member 	 MRV methodology and calculation tool Status of calculation MRV for (three) demo projects (Dundgovi Erdenedalai HOB, Govi-Almai Jargalant insulation of school building and Laboratory CDC) Issues in data collection and measurements 	PIU (MCUD)
7.	14:30-15:00	MOE PTE	- Mr. Bavuudorj - Mr. Van den Akker - Mr. Dorjpurev	 Head, Renewable energy Division; PSC member Team leader Team member 	 Role and importance of NAMA project Cooperation MOE and ERC MoE and ERC con setting up tariff system (incl. net-metering) for rooftop PV system RE Law update 	MOE office
8.	16:00-17:00	GIZ PTE	- Mr. Tuvshinkhuu - Mr. Van den Akker - Mr. Dorjpurev	- Senior officer - Team leader - Team member	 Status of the "Energy-efficient building refurbishment in Mongolia" Joint GIZ-NAMA project study on actual energy consumption and compare with design capacity or design consumption for 32 buildings (schools, kindergartens and other buildings). A study conducted by "Tumen building research" company. Total cost of the study was about 100 million MNT (NAMA: MNT 20 million) 	City Center (GIZ Office)
Thurse	lay, 09 January 20	20				
		Travel to Mandalgo Travel to Erdenedal	bi ai soum			

No	Time	Organization	Name	Position	Meeting contents	Venue and Focal
9.	13:00-17:00	Erdenedalai soum	- Mr. Munkhbatar - Mr. Lkhagvasuren	- Governor - Director kindergarten	 Project site visit to Demo site: Erdenedalai soum heating. Observations: HOB is working properly. The capacity of the HOB 	Soum centre; kindergarten
			-		is 2 MW. About 2 tons of coal is consumed per day. In winter,	-
		PTE	- Mr. Van den Akker	- Team leader	the high-quality coal from Tsogt tsetsii is used in winter. In the	
		DUL	- Mr. Dorjpurev	- Team member	spring and autumn, the low quality (3000 kcal / kg) coal from the	
		PIO	- Ms. Natsaghadam	- Project officer	Celsius. It is important to use the flue gas temperature for	
			inst iterseguedent		increasing feed water temperature by 10 degrees. The efficiency	
					of the boiler is estimated at 80%. However, no measurements	
					were taken. Consumers are charged in m3. The total budget is	
					MNT 680 million. From this amount, 180 million MNT are from	
					• The Kindergarten has over 330 children. The old 4 huildings had	
					4 heating boilers. There was a bad condition. Ignition of 4	
					furnaces was very difficult. The old four furnaces burned about	
					70 tons of coal. After connection to centralized heating, the	
		C: : ! : !			working environment is much better.	
	•	Stay overnight in Ma	andalgobi travel back to LIB	•		
Friday	/. 10 January 2020	Thursday morning. t				I
	,		L		1	
10.	12:00-13:00	CDC laboratory	- Ms. Enkhtuya	- Head of Construction materials	Project site visit to Demo site: CDC laboratory. The building was	CDC Lab building
		DTF	- Mr. Van den Akker	- Team leader	constructed with poor quality in 2013. Increatter, the basement	
			- Mr. Doripurev	- Team member	replaced by triple glazing. All insulation works completed in	
		PIU	- Mr. Munkhbayar	- Project officer	September by contractor Saksai Ugruu. MNT 247 million	
			- Ms. Natsagbadam	- Project officer	provided by the NAMA Project.	
Satur	day-Sunday, 11-12	January				
Repor	ting					
Mond	lay, 13 January 202	20				
11.	10:30-11:30	Mongolian Finance	- Ms.Nandin-Erdene	- Project & partnership manager	Exchange information about Sustainable Finance condition of	ToC office
		Association (ToC)	- Ms.Oyungerel	- Project & partnership manager	energy efficiency and green development projects in Mongolia and role of ToC	
		PTE	- Mr. Van den Akker	- Team leader		
			- Mr. Dorjpurev	- Team member		
		PIU	- Ms. Khongorzul	- Project officer		

Terminal Evaluation report 2019

77

No	Time	Organization	Name	Position	Meeting contents	Venue and Focal Points
12.	12.30-13.30	UNDP	- Ms. Bunchingiv	- Programme analyst	UNDP activities in climate change mitigationNAMA implementation and follow up	UNDP CO
13.	17:00-18:00	Mongolian Association of Construction Designers	- Mr. Gantulga	- CEO	 NAMA project developed a procedure for counting, recording and reporting GHG emissions. More training and publicity are needed 	Association office
		PTE	- Mr. Dorjpurev	- Team member		
14.	17.00-18.00	UNDP – Regional hub PTE	- Ms. Beerepoot - Mr. Van den Akker	- RTA - Team leader	 Discussion on findings mid-term review report 	Via skype
Tuesd	ay, 14 January 202	:0		1		
15.	10.30-11.30	Ulaanbaatar City government PTE	 Mr. Gantimir Mr. Altangerel Mr. Otgonbat Mr. Van den Akker Mr. Dorjpurev 	 General manager Senior officer Senior officer Team leader Team member 	 Discussion on Demo site (in 2019, 24 prefabricated houses with high heat loss were insulated (exterior walls). Installation of heat meters on these insulated buildings was carried out with the support of the Construction NAMA project). Constant monitoring of meter readings will be done by the association of apartment owners Another new NAMA project will be implemented with GGGI support, focusing on 362 block buildings (out of 1077 block buildings in total). A grant of €18 million will be raised by the International NAMA and €1.8 million from the city. Users will then pay in addition to the monthly fee of 20-30,000 MNT. 	UB City office
Wedn	esday, 15 January	2020				
16.	09.00-10.00	CDC	- Mr. Enkhbold	- Vice-Director and Chief Engineer	 CDC cooperated with NAMA project on GHG inventory database in 2018 and in the Demo site (insulation of the CDC lab building: walls, windows at an investment of MNT 220 million) MCUD will decide on the continuation of work on GHG inventory 	CDC office
17.	16.00-17.00	UNDP	- Ms. Nashida Sattar - Ms. Bunchingiv	- DRR (Deputy Res. Rep) - Programme analyst	Debriefing with presentation of preliminary findings	UNDP CO
		PTE PIU	 Mr. Van den Akker Mr. Dorjpurev Ms. Lkhagvatseden Mr. Mr. Khishigjargal Ms. Khongorzul 	- Team leader - Team member - NPD - NPC - Project officer		

ANNEX C. LIST OF DOCUMENTS COLLECTED AND REVIEWED

Project design documents and progress reports

- NAMA Construction, UNDP Project Document
- NAMA Construction, CER (CEO Endorsement Request) document
- Inception Report (June 2017)
- Project Implementation Reviews (PIR) 2017, 2018, 2019
- Project brochure
- Mid-term Review Report (by M. Beerepoot, 2018)
- Gender Action Plan (2017)
- Project Steering Committee minutes of meeting

Project technical reports

- Methodology Review and Assessment for the Estimation of GHGs Emissions in the Building Sector in Mongolia (NIRAS, 2018)
- Marginal Abatement Cost Curve for the Buildings Sector in Mongolia (NIRAS, 2018;2019)
- CO₂ Emission Reduction Calculation, Standardized Baseline Emission Factor Setting, and MRV in the Building Sector under the Paris Agreement (UNDP, Niras, MCUD; 2019)
- Final Report: Financial Scheme for Energy Efficient buildings in Mongolia (by N. Batbayar; finance expert; 2019)
- GHG Mitigation Excel Tool, 2020 and 2030
- Excel sheet, Cost-benefit analysis of NAMA 6 technologies

National policy and planning documents; reports, articles

- Mongolia Second Assessment Report on Climate Change 2014 (MEGDT, 2014)
- Intended Nationally Determined Contribution (INDC) Submission by Mongolia (2016)
- The Report: Mongolia 2015 (Oxford Business Group). Chapters on "Construction and Real Estate" and "Energy"
- Action Plan, Green Development Policy of Mongolia (2014)
- Energy Sector of Mongolia, Sustainable Development Policy, PowerPoint by Yeren-Ulzii (Ministry of Energy), 2016
- In-Depth Review of Energy Efficiency Policies and Programmes: Mongolia, Energy Charter Secretariat, 2012
- Paving the Way to a Sustainable Heating Sector A Roadmap for Ulaanbaatar Urban Heating, World Bank/ESMAP
- Strategies for Development of Green Energy Systems in Mongolia (2013-2035), GGGI (2015)
- Financing Household Clean Energy Solutions, Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia, UNEP Frankfurt School Centre (2018)
- Intended Nationally Determined Contribution of Mongolia, MEGDT (2015)
- Technology Needs Assessment, Volume 2: Climate Change Mitigation in Mongolia, MEGDT (2013)
- Mongolia: Readiness for Climate Finance (GIZ, 2012)

Project documents and concepts

- GCF, EBRD GEFF Regional Mongolia Khan Bank (approved 2019)
- GCF, ADB Ulaanbaatar Green Affordable Housing and Resilient Urban Renewal Project (AHURP), funding proposal
- GCF, UNEP, Scaling-up of Implementation of Low-Carbon District Heating Systems in Mongolia, readiness proposal
- GCF, Xac Bank, Energy Efficient Consumption Loan Programme, funding proposal (2018)
- GCF, GGGI, Green Credit Fund (MGCF), readiness proposal (2017)
- GIZ, Thermo-technical rehabilitation of public and apartment buildings in Ulaanbaatar / Mongolia, project design document (2013)
- NAMA Facility, *Energy Performance Contracting for Residential Retrofitting in Ulaanbaatar City* (GGGI-ICLEI, UB City and Development Bank of Mongolia, Preparation phase (2016)

ANNEX D. QUESTIONNAIRE AND EVALUATION MATRIX

Contents	Model evaluation criteria and/or questions	Indicator(s)	Means and sources of	Sources of
			information	verification
 Findings: Relevance and design Relevance and country drivenness Stakeholder involvement Assessment of logframe and M&E design 	 Relevance: Is the project relevant to National priorities and commitment under international conventions? Relevance of the project's objectives, outcomes and outputs to the different target groups of the interventions. Has it responded to the real needs and priorities of the targeted aimags? Relevance of the project's objectives, outcomes, and outputs to the different target groups of the interventions. Design: How were lessons of other similar or earlier projects (e.g. UNDP/GEF EE in Buildings, 2009-2015) taken into account in the project design? Has the project's design (logframe) been adequate to address the problems at hand? Was the project internally coherent in its design (logical linkages between expected results and design (components, choice of partners; scope, use of resources)? Were any (major) amendments to the assumptions or targets been made or planned during the Project's implementation? 	 Relevance: Extent to which Project supports national energy priorities, policies, and strategies Coherency and complementarity with other national and donor programmes Extent to which the GEF climate change focal area is incorporated Degree to which the project supports aspirations and/or expectations of stakeholders Design: Degree of involvement of government partners and other stakeholders in the Project design process Number and type of performance measurement indicators (SMART) 	 Desk review of project design and technical documents; Documents from GEF; national policies and strategies; Interviews with project staff management, project partners (incl. former staff), stakeholders (local and national government entities, private sector, universities/NGOs) and UNDP staff 	 Interviews with project partners and stakeholders and analysis thereof Document and report analysis
 2. Findings: Results and effectiveness Assessment of outcomes and outputs (cf. with baseline indicators) Effectiveness Global environmental and other impacts 	 Results and effectiveness To what extent have the expected outcomes and objectives of the project been achieved? What outputs and outcomes has the project achieved (both qualitative and quantitative results, comparing the expected and realized end-project value of progress indicators of each outcome/output with the baseline value)? Were objectives, outcomes and outputs achieved on time? How did the project contribute to GHG emissions reduction within the project implementation cycle and beyond? Were there any unplanned effects? Which external factors have contributed or hinder the achievement of the expected results? 	 Results and effectiveness: Level of achievement (as laid out in the logframe) Achievement of outputs (qualitative, quantitative) and description of activities Evidence of adaptive management and/or early application of lessons learned 	 Desk review of project design and technical documents other relevant docs Interviews with project staff management, project partners (incl. former staff), stakeholders (local and national government entities, private sector, universities/NGOs) and UNDP staff 	 Interviews with project partners and stakeholders and analysis; Document and report analysis Check with publicly available information

UNDP/GEF	Terminal Evaluation report	80
RERL Nepal	2019	

Contents	Model evaluation criteria and/or questions	Indicator(s)	Means and sources of information	Sources of verification
Contents 3. Findings: implementation, processes and efficiency • Management and administration; role of UNDP • Monitoring and evaluation systems • Stakeholder engagement and	 Model evaluation criteria and/or questions Has the project produced results (outputs and outcomes) within the expected time frame Is the project proactively taking advantage of new opportunities, adapting its theory of change to respond to changes in the development context? Are there any unaddressed barriers remaining? Implementation and management How efficient are partnership arrangements for the project? Did the project efficiently utilize local capacity in implementation? What have been management responses to issues and recommendations indicated in progress reports? Was the information provided by the M&E system (annual work plans, PIRs, other) was used to improve performance and to adapt to changing needs; Are there any annual work plans? Whether the risks identified in the project document and progress reports were appropriate and corresponding risk management strategies/systems were adopted and implemented? 	Indicator(s) Implementation and management • Extent to which project partners committed time and resources to the project • Extent of the commitment of partners to take over project activities • Evidence of clear roles and responsibilities for operational and management structure	 Means and sources of information Interviews with project experts (national and international) Desk review of project design and technical documents (incl, PIRs; data on budget; other relevant docs; media coverage, official notices, and press releases Interviews with project staff management, project partners (incl. 	Sources of verification • Interviews with project partners and stakeholders and analysis thereof • Document and report analysis
communications • Budget, expenditures, and co-financing; procurement	 Whether or not national stakeholders participated in project management and decision-making have ownership for project outcomes and their further replication and scaling-up? How efficient was the financial management of the project, including specific reference to the cost-effectiveness of its interventions and co-financing? 	 M&E Actual use of the M&E system to change or improve decision-making/adaptive management Share of M&E in the budget Quality and quantity of progress reports Stakeholders and communications Extent to which project partners committed time and resources to the project Extent of the commitment of partners to take over project activities Financial planning Extent to which inputs have been of suitable quality and available when required to allow the Project to achieve the expected results; 	former staff), stakeholders (local and national government entities, private sector, universities/NGOs) and UNDP staff Interviews with project experts (national and international)	

Contents	Model evaluation criteria and/or questions	Indicator(s)	Means and sources of	Sources of
			information	verification
 4. Findings: sustainability and impact Risks and external factors Replication 	 Sustainability To what extent are there financial, institutional, social-economic, and/or environmental risks to sustaining long-term project results? How sustainable (or likely to be sustainable) are the outputs and outcomes? Is there an exit strategy that is well planned? Are there any unaddressed barriers remaining? What could be done to strengthen exit strategies and ensure the sustainability of interventions made? How do the main stakeholders plan to provide sustainability to the project's results in the future? Is there evidence financial resources are committed to supporting project results after the project has closed? Impact How did the project contribute to GHG emissions reduction within the project implementation cycle and beyond? To what extent the project was successfully mainstreamed with other UNDP priorities, including poverty alleviation, improved governance, the prevention and recovery from natural disasters, and gender. 	 Sustainability Extent to which risks and assumptions are adequate and are reflected in the project documentation Extent to which project is likely to be sustainable beyond the project; Extent to which main stakeholders plan to provide sustainability to the project's results in the future, including the commitment of financial resources 	 Desk review of project design and technical documents (incl, PIRs; other relevant docs) Interviews with project staff management, project partners (incl. former staff), stakeholders (local and national government entities, private sector, universities/NGOs) and UNDP staff 	 Interviews with project partners and stakeholders and analysis thereof Document and report analysis* Check with international practices and publicly available information
 5. Conclusions and recommendations Conclusions on the attainment of objectives and results Lessons learned Recommendations 	 Evaluation conclusions related to the project's achievements and shortfalls (comprehensive and balanced statements which highlight the strengths, weaknesses, and results of the project, including a summary of evaluation criteria⁵¹: Relevance Effectiveness Efficiency Sustainability Impacts What lessons can be learned from the project regarding efficiency What recommendations, if any, can be made to follow up or reinforce initial benefits from the project; Proposals for future directions related to the main objectives 	 Ratings of evaluation criteria Lessons that have been learned regarding the achievement of outcomes and efficiency (implementation) Recommendations for post-project and future actions 	 Interviews with project staff and partners Desk review of project docs and reports as well as external policy and other docs 	 Interviews with project partners and stakeholders and analysis thereof Document and report analysis

⁵¹ Relevance: How does the project relate to the main objectives of the GEF focal area, and to the environment and development priorities at the local, regional and national levels? Effectiveness: To what extent have the expected outcomes and objectives of the project been achieved? Efficiency: Was the project implemented efficiently and cost-effectively, in line with international and national norms and standards? Sustainability: To what extent are there financial, institutional, social-economic, and/or environmental risks to sustaining long-term project results? Impacts: Are there indications that the project has contributed to, or enabled progress toward, reduced environmental or other impacts?

ANNEX E. CONSULTANT CODE OF CONDUCT FORM

Evaluators/reviewers:

- 1. Must present information that is complete and fair in its assessment of strengths and weaknesses so that decisions or actions taken are well-founded
- 2. Must disclose the full set of evaluation findings along with information on their limitations and have this accessible to all affected by the evaluation with expressed legal rights to receive results.
- 3. Should protect the anonymity and confidentiality of individual informants. They should provide maximum notice, minimize demands on time, and respect people's right not to engage. Evaluators must respect people's right to provide information in confidence, and must ensure that sensitive information cannot be traced to its source. Evaluators are not expected to evaluate individuals and must balance evaluation of management functions with this general principle.
- 4. Sometimes uncover evidence of wrongdoing while conducting evaluations. Such cases must be reported discreetly to the appropriate investigative body. Evaluators should consult with other relevant oversight entities when there is any doubt about if and how issues should be reported.
- 5. Should be sensitive to beliefs, manners, and customs and act with integrity and honesty in their relations with all stakeholders. In line with the UN Universal Declaration of Human Rights, evaluators must be sensitive to and address issues of discrimination and gender equality. They should avoid offending the dignity and self-respect of those persons with whom they come in contact in the course of the evaluation. Knowing that evaluation might negatively affect the interests of some stakeholders, evaluators should conduct the evaluation and communicate its purpose and results in a way that clearly respects the stakeholders' dignity and self-worth.
- 6. Are responsible for their performance and their product(s). They are responsible for the clear, accurate and fair written and/or oral presentation of study limitations, findings, and recommendations.
- 7. Should reflect sound accounting procedures and be prudent in using the resources of the evaluation.

Evaluation/reviewer Consultant Agreement Form

Agreement to abide by the Code of Conduct for Evaluation in the UN System

Name of Consultant: J.H.A. VAN DEN AKKER (Team Leader)

Name of Consultancy Organization (where relevant): _

I confirm that I have received and understood and will abide by the United Nations Code of Conduct for Evaluation.

Signed at Westerhoven, Netherlands	
Signature:	

s	Weber	

ANNEX F. ABOUT THE REVIEWERS

Mr. Jan van den Akker is a technology management scientist with a Master's degree from Eindhoven University of Technology (Netherlands), specializing in international development cooperation. He is an expert on sustainable energy policy and technologies. Mr. Van den Akker specializes in studies and analytical work, project design and development, project coordination and implementation, project monitoring and evaluation, knowledge management, capacity strengthening and public-private partnerships in the field of sustainable energy strategies, energy efficiency, energy technologies and supply, climate change and the Clean Development Mechanism. He has lived and worked abroad for over 7 years in Zambia, Mexico, and Thailand. In addition, has undertaken numerous short missions to about 45 countries in Africa, Latin America, and Asia & the Pacific.

In 2003/2004, he founded ASCENDIS, as an independent office, and has been providing consultancy on sustainable energy and climate change, specializing in development issues. ASCENDIS is based in Westerhoven, Netherlands, but offers services in Africa, Asia and the Pacific, Europe and Latin America & the Caribbean, often by associating itself with local freelance experts, professionals, and organizations. As a long-term expert with the United Nations system, Mr. Van den Akker has provided advice to governments and organizations on the design of investment and capacity building programs for UNEP, UNDP and UNIDO (mostly in GEF-funded activities), UNFCCC, European Commission and for NGOs/consultancy companies (e.g., Practical Action Consulting, Winrock, GFA) in the area of renewable energy, energy efficiency, and sustainable transportation.

As an independent consultant, he has reviewed and evaluated about 40 GEF-funded sustainable energy projects and assisted in the design of 42 sustainable energy projects, mostly for UNDP. He worked as UNDP Regional Technical Advisor on climate change mitigation (in Eastern and Southern Africa) during 2007-2009 and as Key Expert in the European Union Technical Assistance Facility for Sustainable Energy for All (2015-16). He also worked as Technical Advisor in the implementation of individual projects in Guatemala, Peru, and Malawi and as a renewable energy expert in the EU project on off-grid electrification in Zambia (2018).

Mr. Jargal Dorjpurev is a Director and Senior Consultant in Energy Environment Research and Consulting company - EEC Co., Ltd . He received his B.S. from Irkutsk Technical University in 1976 and his Ph.D from Leningrad Technical University in 1989.

Originally trained as an electrical engineer and energy economist, the author has been worked as a senior researcher on energy efficiency and energy planning at the Energy Research State Institute for 1976-1996. He has established Energy and Environment research and consulting company EEC in 2000.

His research and consulting have concerned a wide range of energy and environment studies including energy planning strategy, sustainable energy development, climate change, greenhouse gas emissions and its mitigation studies and energy efficiency in different areas of energy supply and consumption. He also has been working as Head of Renewable energy division at the Ministry of Fuel and Energy for 2006-2007.

He is a member of Mongolian Society of Engineers and Mongolia Energy Association.

ANNEX G. AUDIT TRAIL

Annexed in a separate document

ANNEX I: EVALUATION REPORT CLEARANCE FORM

(to be completed by CO and UNDP GEF Technical Adviser based in the region and included in the final document)

Evaluation Report Reviewed and Cleared by				
UNDP Country Office				
Buyandelger Ulziikhuu Name:				
Signature: Brugan delger Ulzüblum	5/28/2020 Date:			
UNDP GEF RTA				
Name: <u>Milou Beerepoot</u>				
Signature: Date: May 1, 2020				