For Official Use Only
Implementation Completion Report NUMBER: 19368

Operations Evaluation Department

05/24/99

Technical Support and Investment - Ozone Depleting Substances Phaseout

INTRODUCTION

i. Hungary was one of the first countries eligible for Global Environment

Facility (GEF) grant funds to launch a comprehensive Ozone Depleting $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

Substances (ODS) phaseout program. In 1993, the Government of Hungary $\,$

requested World Bank assistance for the preparation of a GEF funded \mathtt{ODS}

of the first successful ODS phaseout projects worldwide. $\ensuremath{\mathsf{GEF}}$ support

provided an incentive for Hungary's early adoption of additional ODS

 $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

PROJECT OBJECTIVES

ii. The principal objective of the Project was to assist Hungary in the phaseout of ODS consumption in a cost-effective manner by the year 1996, as mandated by the Montreal Protocol (MP). Specific objectives included:

a) the progressive phaseout of ODS consumption in Hungary, through the $\,$

adoption of new cost-effective CFC-free technologies; b) the establishment

- of a Hungarian network for refrigerant recovery, reclamation and recycling
- (3R); and c) through institutional strengthening to improve the capability
- of the Ministry of Environment (MOE) to manage and oversee the phaseout of

ODS in Hungary. Subprojects addressed each of the project objectives

(equipment manufacturing, 3R, CFC phaseout).

IMPLEMENTATION EXPERIENCE AND RESULTS

iii. The objectives of the Project and the Country Program were fully

achieved. Use of primary CFCs was phased out through the implementation of $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

sub-projects for which funding was provided by the GEF on a grant basis to

cover the incremental investment and operating costs that enterprises $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

would not have incurred had Hungary not been a party to the MP. In addition the Project enabled appropriate Western technologies to be identified and introduced into the country. The MP is based on the elimination of ODS consumption; the fate of existing stocks of ODS is not.

considered and signatories are free to manage these in any way they choose. Hungary's approach reflects that adopted in all Western Countries.

For completeness, the evolution of CFC refrigerant and halon stocks as the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{CFC}}$

Project progressed has been estimated. Sub-projects 2 and 15 were designed

to conserve refrigerant and halon stocks respectively and thus \min

the need to use costly imported substitutes.

- iv. Project time frame. The original project completion date was December
- 31, 1997. This had to be extended twice by six months to December 31, 1998

because of delays in implementation by the Hungarian Association of Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Enterprises (HRACA), 3R project and

delays in commissioning hydrocarbon foaming projects at Frigolux and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

Metalucon due to the exceptional stringency of Hungarian flammable gas

regulations compared to those countries where the equipment was being

manufactured. Disbursement was delayed for several months early in the

 $\,$ project owing to the slow set-up of the Project Implementation Unit (PIU)

and a change in Hungarian regulations in the financial and banking sector \boldsymbol{x}

by the introduction of State Treasury.

 $\ensuremath{\text{v.}}$ Institutional Strengthening Component. MOE, with the support of the GEF

funds and Bank experts, could set up an ODS Phaseout Project Implementation Unit (PIU) and a Technical Advisory Group (TAG) to provide

technical advice to the PIU and the sub-project companies, and established

ODS monitoring system in Hungary.

vi. The 3R and Investment Components. Major projects involving investments

in equipment were carried out at the Hungarian Refrigeration and $\mbox{\sc Air}$

Conditioning Enterprises Association (HRACA) - 3R scheme -, HAJDU - insulated hot water tanks -, Metisol -insulated panels -, MMG Works

engineering component cleaning -, Frigolux - refrigerator and freezer

production -, Metalucon - insulated panels -, the Hungarian Fire Protection Association (FPA) - halon recovery and reclamation - and Rutitex -dry-cleaning. Smaller investment projects were carried out

Mediroll - medical sterilizing aerosols -, Auto-Mobil Chemical industrial aerosols -, Hitelap - circuit board cleaning Tisza shoe sole

manufacture. One project, BRG (soldering circuit boards) comprised incremental operating costs only. All of these were successfully implemented and the enterprises concerned are satisfied with both

technology chosen and the performance of the new plant. No remaining

technical problems were identified. One enterprise, (Metisol), is still $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

using transitional HCFC-141b for foaming but anticipates use of a ${\tt non-ODS}$

substance by April 1999. One enterprise originally selected (Finommechanika) was dropped since the company closed its ODS consuming

production.

at.

the

vii. All participants benefited from the Project and many are in a financially stronger position now than at the start of the Project. Stronger export performance at HAJDU and Frigolux helped them through the

difficulties of an economic recession following liberalization of the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

Hungarian economy. Metisol found the new technology strengthened its

position with foreign supermarket companies operating in Hungary.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS, FUTURE OPERATION, AND KEY LESSONS LEARNED

 $\,$ viii. The Project is the third of its kind to be completed after the Czech

Project. The PIU was fortunate that the Bank's Regional Office could

 $\label{provide} \mbox{provide continuous procurement and project implementation} \\ \mbox{management}$

support. Grant recipients had the opportunity to exchange lessons, $\ensuremath{\operatorname{\mathsf{good}}}$

practices and experience with the Czech and the Slovenian PlUs and companies through the international workshops initiated by the Bank. These

contributed to the efficient management of the Project. The $\operatorname{Hungarian}$

experience is being shared with the neighboring countries and is expected

to prove invaluable for ongoing GEF funded ODS phaseout projects in $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Poland}}$

and the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS).

ix. Legal and Regulatory Framework. ODS phaseout took place within the $\,$

framework of Hungary's obligations under the MP as amended in London and $\,$

Copenhagen, whose provisions have the force of law in the country through

a ministerial decree approved in 1993 (22/1993). This covers the need for $\,$

recovery and recycling of refrigerants and halon fire extinguisher gases,

the licensing of refrigeration technicians, as well as banning the use and

imports of ODS in new products in advance of MP requirements. This legislation is very comprehensive and will not require modification until

 $\hbox{\tt Hungary harmonizes her legislation with European legal codes during the EU}$

accession process. The legislation covers Hungary's obligations under the

MP, which do not include management of the existing stocks of CFC refrigerant, which amounts to now about 3280 tons of CFC-12, 3 tons of

 $\ensuremath{\text{R-502}}$ contained in existing refrigeration systems throughout the country.

No stocks of CFC-11 refrigerant exist.

 $\boldsymbol{x}.$ Major Factors Affecting the Project The most significant factors that

affected the Project were the delays in disbursement resulting from the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

changes as a result of the introduction of the State Treasury in 1997; the

delays in the 3R scheme, due to slow decision making at HRACA; and in the $\,$

implementation of foaming sub-projects using flammable gases owing to the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +$

stringent nature of Hungarian regulations.

 $\,$ xi. The regional workshops, launched by the Bank and organized by the PIU,

were particularly useful in designing the recovery, reclamation and recycling (3R) scheme. Hungary was able to avoid the mistakes made in the

Czech scheme. The close partnership developed through the Bank workshops

will help the Czechs modify their scheme by studying the operation of the

Hungarian scheme.

xii. Recipient Participation. The PIU carried out its duties in an exemplary fashion which can be used as a model for ODS Project implementation elsewhere. The Hungarian PIU enjoyed the advantage of being

set up within the Ministry of Environment and having the Bank's local

office in the same city. This allowed informal solutions to be found to

some problems, which could have proved intractable if their solution

relied on inter-ministerial communication. Overall the Project progressed $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +$

smoothly and provides an example for other countries involved in $\ensuremath{\mathtt{ODS}}$

 $\,$ phaseout. The PIU provided a high level of management co-ordination that

was matched by the level of efficiency and co-operation displayed by the

Sub-project enterprises.

xiii. Bank Performance. The Bank's performance in preparation, appraisal

and implementation was satisfactory, despite two changes in task manager $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

over the life of the Project. The Bank's missions were staffed with highly

 $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

financial fields. The priorities of both Hungary and the GEF were appropriately addressed as were those of the MP and its related amendments

and adjustments. The decision in 1996 to move supervision responsibility

for the Project to the Bank's Regional Office in Hungary was highly welcomed by the Recipients as it overcame problems arising from distance

and time and proved to be the most cost efficient project management for $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

the Bank. Also, project supervision from the field greatly facilitated the

launching and managing of a series of regional workshops with the involvement of the grant recipient countries.

xiv. Implementation Structure. The arrangements for coordinating implementation of the Project worked well. The enterprises and, in turn,

the PIU submitted the progress reports to the Bank in a timely fashion.

All participating entities eventually welcomed the discipline of Bank

reporting requirements and procurement procedures. All found Bank 's.

requirements rigorous, but appreciated the transparency afforded by them

and admitted that acquiring financing commercially would have been very

difficult. All enterprises gradually became familiar with tendering processes, which they normally employed for their own procurement.

enterprises reported they would have closed with the loss of jobs (Frigolux, Metalucon) had GEF grant funding not been available. All would

have been seriously stretched financially.

 $\,$ xv. Sustainability and Future Operations. All enterprises are financially

sound and have successfully implemented their respective subprojects

based on state-of-the-art non-ODS technologies. They are, therefore, $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right$

likely to remain in business and the equipment provided under the Project

will be used until the end of its useful life. The Project addressed every

area of CFCs use, and included schemes for refrigerant and halon recovery $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +$

 $\ -$ the latter being the largest of its kind in Central and Eastern Europe.

Figures provided by HRACA show the existing stocks of ODS refrigerant $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

(Table 5.1.). The suspected illegal imports of CFCs might make it difficult to predict the future performance of the 3R scheme and the

length of time it will supply recycled CFCs for service needs, however

stricter border and customs control could enhance the sustainability of

the 3R scheme.

 $\ensuremath{\mathtt{xvi}}$. The Bank's completion mission recommended that the operators of the

3R-reclaim center should proceed with plans to increase the buy price of

recovered refrigerants to improved throughput. The center is financially

viable, but has a great deal of spare capacity. The enforcement of regulations banning the import of CFCs and the export of halons will

improve the fortunes of both refrigeration and halon recycling schemes.

xvii. Demonstration Value and Replicability. Apart from Mediroll who have

 $\mbox{\sc made}$ a technical innovation which is potentially interesting to a small

number of users outside Hungary, manufacturing sub-projects consisted of

implementation of state-of-the-art foreign technologies. Several other $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$

smaller technological innovations were made in the course of implementation. Some have significant demonstration value within Hungary

(MMG Works- solvents in engineering firms; Metalucon/Metisol - insulated

building panels); all can serve as a model to other countries implementing $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1$

ODS phaseout projects.

xviii. Cost Effectiveness. The cost effectiveness of some subprojects was

lower than the MP's thresholds. This is due to the fall in production that $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1$

many experienced after 1990. The cost effectiveness ratios were provided

in the original Sub-project documents approved by GEF in 1995. Actual cost

effectiveness ratios are comparable to those predicted in 1995. These are

presented in Statistical Table 5.G.

xviii. Global significance. Several projects reported significant health

benefits to workers. Use of completely hermetic cycles (Metisol, Frigolux, $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

Rutitex) and replacement of CFC solvents with aqueous based systems (MMG $\,$

Works, Tisza, Hitelap) meant that workers were no longer exposed to solvent vapors. The operation of the halon recovery scheme improved the

quality of halon fire fighting gases and revealed dangerous scams operated

by companies servicing fire- fighting equipment. Public safety has thus

been improved in locations where halon is used.

 $\ensuremath{\mbox{xix}}$. Key lessons learned. The most important lessons in the areas of

project design, the Public Awareness Raising Campaign (PARC) and procurement are as follows:

Some equipment were not included in the original sub-projects and thus had

to be procured with remaining unallocated funds (e.g. wastewater treatment

facility at aqueous cleaning projects). During the implementation of the $\,$

3R scheme, HRACA felt that their high Incremental Operating Costs (IOC)

should have been fully compensated - this was almost met by Project completion, since HRACA received altogether 69,000 USD IOC, about 80

percent of their justifiable IOC request. Better planning could have

ensured these were included in their original funding requests.

The PARC management by the multi-national public relations (PR) company

was disappointing. The fault is generally conceded to be the use of a

large multinational PR company with little interest in the Environment.

The HRACA and the PIU learned that environmental nongovernmental organizations NGOs should have already been involved at the preparation

stage of the PARC.

Consultants "hungry" to get the job, but not committed to true environmental improvements are now considered an inappropriate choice for

such a campaign. In the future, PR firms well experienced in environment

together with NGOs may be used as the lead contractors, with resources to $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right$

incorporate the services of PR firms where these may be appropriate'. One $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

 $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$ reason that the Consultants won the contract was the fact that evidence of

previous success in organizing an environmental campaign countered for

only five percent of the evaluation criteria points.

 $\mbox{{\tt HRACA, managing}}$ the 3R scheme, found that one U.S. supplier of recovery

 $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

map, and is not available to deal with the exceptional level of mechanical

failures experienced by his equipment. In fact HRACA had misgivings about

the US supplier at the tendering stage, and endeavored to nullify their $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

declaration of this Bidder as the winner of the International Shopping bid

shortly after it was made.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{HRACA}}$ complained that Bank procurement procedures did not allow them to

examine and p test goods from different suppliers, unaware that this could

have been made a condition of prior participation in the tender. This

suggests that training of sub-project enterprises themselves in procurement procedures in the start-up phase is needed. All activities of

the implementation and budget items should be carefully planned at the

project preparation. The projects animating a large number of participants

as the case of 3R, can have enormous high administrative costs. Plant

level planning involves the environmental accessory equipment, like the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

effluent water treatment in solvent sub-sector.

Knowing what they know now, the PIU would eliminate small disbursement

packages, and leave small projects for counterpart funding. The complication of Bank procedures makes small elements unworkable.

1/ E.g.: making TV shorts

© Copyright 1999,2000 OED, All Rights Reserved